

# Food choice at work study

<b>Submission date</b> 24/06/2013	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 02/07/2013	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 11/09/2018	<b>Condition category</b> Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The increasing occurrence of heart disease, stroke, cancer and diabetes is of major public health concern worldwide. These diseases can be prevented by a diet that is low in unhealthy saturated fat, sugar and salt. It is accepted that health behaviours are influenced by the surrounding environment in which individuals live and work. Modifying these environments can help accelerate this change. Therefore the workplace is a potentially important setting to promote a healthy diet as individuals spend two thirds of their waking hours at work. Workplace health promotion programmes have become increasingly popular; however, at present we have only limited evidence that these programmes are effective and provide good value for money. Therefore we propose to assess the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a workplace dietary intervention that is focused on environmental dietary modification alone or combined with nutrition education to improve employees' diet, nutrition knowledge and health.

### Who can participate?

This workplace dietary intervention will be conducted in four large manufacturing workplaces based in Cork in the Republic of Ireland over 20-23 months. This study aims to recruit 448 (112 per workplace) permanent, full-time employees who purchase and consume at least one main meal from their workplace canteens on a daily basis.

### What does the study involve?

The four participating workplaces (A, B C and D) will receive different interventions. No intervention will be offered to workplace A. Workplace B will receive nutrition education. Workplace C will receive nutrition education and environmental dietary modification. Workplace D will receive environmental dietary modification. Environmental dietary modification includes changing the menu to restrict levels of fat, saturated fat, sugar and salt, and increase fibre, fruit and vegetables; price discounts for whole fresh fruit; strategic positioning of healthier alternatives; and portion size control. Nutrition education includes group presentations, individual nutrition consultations and detailed nutrition information. A sample of workplace stakeholders (catering managers, human resources managers, occupational health managers and employee representatives) will be interviewed at the start of the study and at follow-up after 7-9 and 20-23 months. The cost-effectiveness of each intervention will also be measured. Data will be collected at the start of the study and after 3-4 months, 7-9 months and 20-23 months to examine changes in employees' diet, health and nutrition education. Data will be collected during employees' working hours in the individual workplaces. Participants will be

asked to complete questionnaires and physical assessments (weight, BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure, urine analysis) and 24-hr dietary recalls will be conducted by trained research assistants.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The interventions may improve employees' diet and reduce their diet-related disease risks. This study will provide critical evidence of the effectiveness of workplace interventions in the promotion of healthy diet in the manufacturing working population. It may assist in the development of future guidelines to improve diet in the workplace and will inform future researchers. It may influence national and international catering stakeholders and policy makers and motivate the food industry to provide healthier food choices. We don't anticipate any risks for those participating.

Where is the study run from?

This study has been set by the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health at University College Cork, Ireland.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

Recruitment for the study started in February 2013 and data collection is ongoing. Participants will be enrolled for a period of 20-23 months. The interventions were implemented over a period of 9 months.

Who is funding the study?

This work is supported by the Health Research Board (HRB) Centre for Health & Diet Research grant, which is funded by the HRB, Ireland and by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, Ireland.

Who is the main contact?

Professor Ivan J Perry (principal investigator)

i.perry@ucc.ie

Ms Fiona Geaney (lead investigator)

f.geaney@ucc.ie

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Ivan J Perry

### Contact details

Department of Epidemiology and Public Health

University College Cork

4th Floor Western Gateway Building

Western Road

Cork

Ireland

-

+353 (0)21 4205506

i.perry@ucc.ie

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Ms Fiona Geaney

**ORCID ID**

<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3962-3048>

**Contact details**

Department of Epidemiology and Public Health

University College Cork

4th Floor Western Gateway Building

Western Road

Cork

Ireland

-

+353 (0)21 4205506

f.geaney@ucc.ie

## **Additional identifiers**

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

Protocol

## **Study information**

**Scientific Title**

The effectiveness of complex workplace dietary interventions on dietary behaviours and diet-related disease risk: the food choice at work study: clustered controlled trial protocol

**Study objectives**

Current hypothesis as of 02/02/2015:

It is hypothesised that the combined workplace dietary intervention that includes environmental dietary modification and nutrition education elements will be more effective than the other interventions when considering positive changes in employees' diet, health and nutrition knowledge outcomes.

Previous hypothesis:

Workplace complex dietary interventions that combine environmental modification and nutrition education are more effective and cost-effective than nutrition education interventions alone and environmental interventions alone when considering positive changes in dietary behaviour, health status and diet-related disease risk.

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Ethical approval has been granted by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork Teaching Hospitals in the Republic of Ireland (March 2013). Permission has been granted by the managing directors and catering managers in all workplaces.

## **Study design**

Clustered controlled trial

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Secondary study design**

Cluster randomised trial

## **Study setting(s)**

Other

## **Study type(s)**

Quality of life

## **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Improving dietary behaviours to reduce diet-related disease risk

## **Interventions**

Current interventions as of 02/02/2015:

A complex workplace dietary intervention will be conducted over a period of 20-23 months in four large manufacturing workplaces in Cork using a cluster control trial.

### **Theoretical perspective of the intervention**

The complex intervention design has been developed using the Medical Research Council (MRC) framework and the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines and will be reported using the Transparent Reporting of Evaluations with Nonrandomized Designs (TREND) statement. Evidence suggests that food behaviours occur consciously and unconsciously and that in recurring contexts, habits emerge on the deliverance of valued goals. Actions become associated with time, people and places. The work environment and workplace canteens can represent a recurrent context which facilitates the emergence of a repeated behaviour where many of the food choices made are not at a conscious level. Food quantities consumed and even foods eaten are directed by the environment and what is contained in the environment. Behavioural trajectories can be adjusted through two routes:

1. Individuals consider their current behaviour and are motivated to change
  2. By adjusting the environment the individual's behaviour will change without conscious thought
- This intervention has been designed to trigger conscious deliberations on food choices through the use of individual nutrition counselling and traffic light menu labelling. The repositioning of certain healthy foods in the canteen will help trigger conscious thoughts and the restriction of

fat, saturated fat, sugar and salt on menus will facilitate intake changes without conscious thought. Overall the intervention design will draw on a soft paternalism nudge theoretical perspective

#### Intervention elements

No intervention will be offered in workplace A (control). Workplace B will receive nutrition education. Workplace C will receive nutrition education and dietary environmental modification. Workplace D will receive dietary environmental modification alone.

Nutrition education will consist of three elements:

1. Group presentations will consist of monthly 'lunch and learn' group nutrition sessions and will be delivered to all employees.
2. Individual nutrition consultations with a nutritionist or dietician will be conducted with each participant at baseline, and follow-up sessions held at 3-4 months, 7-9 months and 20-23 months
3. Detailed nutrition information will be offered throughout the duration of the intervention using six key methods:
  - 3.1. Posters and leaflets
  - 3.2. Emails
  - 3.3. Menu labelling (unique healthy eating traffic-light coding system will be applied to the daily menus in employees' canteens and worksite vending machines)
  - 3.4. Quizzes
  - 3.5. Shopping cards
  - 3.6. Personalized measurement cards

#### Dietary environmental modification

Workplace stakeholders and the research team will discuss and reach a consensus on all environmental dietary modifications in the workplace canteens and vending machines. Dietary environmental modification will consist of the following five elements:

1. Restriction of fat, saturated fat, sugar and salt
2. Increase fibre, fruit and vegetables
3. Price discounts for whole fresh fruit
4. Strategic positioning of healthier alternatives
5. Portion size control

#### Menu modification in the workplace canteen

For the restriction of fat, saturated fat, sugar and salt all menus will need to be modified. Stock and bouillon should be removed from all recipes and replaced with a recommended low-salt stock. Salt should be eliminated from all cooking processes. Fresh herbs, spices and garlic should be introduced to develop additional flavour. Savoury options that are high in salt, saturated fat and fat should be restricted (for example, sausage rolls, croissants) and replaced with low-fat or low-salt options. High salt products (gravy mixes, stock cubes) and processed meats (bacon, corned beef) will be reduced and replaced where possible with low-salt options (turkey, chicken, fish). Full fat dairy products (that is milk, cream, cheese and butter) will be replaced with low-fat options where possible and reduced in dishes. Cooking methods with oil, such as deep-fat frying, will be limited and will be replaced with methods of boiling, poaching, grilling, steaming and baking where possible.

#### Previous interventions:

Study involving four large manufacturing workplaces in Cork will be conducted over a period of 13-16 months.

The complex intervention design has been developed using the Medical Research Council (MRC) framework and the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines and will

be reported using the Transparent Reporting of Evaluations with Nonrandomized Designs (TREND) statement. It will draw on a soft paternalism 'nudge' theoretical perspective. Nutrition education will include three elements: group presentations, individual nutrition consultations and detailed nutrition information. Dietary environmental modification will consist of five elements: (a) restriction of fat, saturated fat, sugar and salt, (b) increase fibre, fruit and vegetables, (c) price discounts for whole fresh fruit, (d) strategic positioning of healthier alternatives and (e) portion size control. No intervention will be offered in workplace A (control). Workplace B will receive nutrition education. Workplace C will receive nutrition education and dietary environmental modification. Workplace D will receive dietary environmental modification alone.

## **Intervention Type**

Behavioural

## **Primary outcome measure**

Current primary outcome measures as of 02/02/2015:

Study outcomes will assess the effect of the intervention on dietary behaviours and improvements in diet-related disease risk. Primary outcomes will include changes in employee's dietary intakes of salt and changes in body mass index (BMI).

Previous primary outcome measures:

Study outcomes will assess the effect of the intervention on dietary behaviours and improved diet-related disease risk. Primary outcomes will include changes in dietary behaviour and health status.

1. Analysis of multiple 24-hour dietary recalls, food frequency questionnaires, food sales data and food purchasing patterns will indicate changes in dietary behaviour.
2. Changes in body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, resting blood pressure and urinary electrolytes including sodium and potassium (24-hour urine collections and random urine samples) will highlight improved health status outcomes.

## **Secondary outcome measures**

Current secondary outcome measures as of 02/02/2015:

Secondary outcomes will include changes in dietary intakes of total fat and total sugars, the DASH score as an overall measure of diet quality, nutrition knowledge and economic cost outcomes.

Previous secondary outcome measures:

Secondary outcomes will determine food motives and eating behaviours, changes in nutrition knowledge, and economic cost outcomes. A cost-effectiveness economic evaluation will be conducted and absenteeism trends will be recorded during the study period.

## **Overall study start date**

01/11/2012

## **Completion date**

31/03/2015

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

Current inclusion criteria as of 02/02/2015:

**Workplace selection:**

A list of Cork-based manufacturing companies were obtained from the industrial development authority website and systematically contacted in alphabetical order. A total of 20 potentially suitable companies were contacted based on size and staff profile. The four most suitable workplaces were then purposively selected based on meetings with individual workplace stakeholders (i.e. HR manager, catering manager). Only workplaces that employed >250 employees, operated a daily workplace canteen and were able to commit to the intervention elements for the study duration were eligible.

**Employee selection:**

Only permanent, full-time employees who purchase and consume at least one main meal from their workplace canteens daily are eligible to participate. Lists of permanent, full-time employees were obtained from the HR manager in each workplace. Employees were randomly selected to participate using random number generation software and then screened for eligibility. The number of employees recruited per workplace reflected the difference in company size. The sample had 80% power at the 5% significance level to detect a decrease in BMI by 1 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and a 2 g average fall in dietary salt intake between the control and intervention groups post-delivery of the interventions.

**Previous inclusion criteria:**

While the data will be collected at the individual level, the primary unit of analysis will be at the workplace level. Only workplaces and employees that meet the specified selection criteria will be recruited.

**Inclusion criteria:**

**Workplace level:**

1. Any manufacturing multi-national workplace that employs more than 250 employees
2. Has a daily workplace canteen for employees can be included in the study
3. The workplace must be located in Cork
4. Represented on the Industrial Development Agency (IDA) website
5. Able to commit to all components of the complex intervention for the duration of the study

**Individual level:**

1. Any permanent, full-time employee who is contracted to work for the duration of the study period
2. Purchases and consumes at least one meal in the main canteen daily

**Participant type(s)**

Healthy volunteer

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

448 (112 per workplace)

**Key exclusion criteria**

Current exclusion criteria as of 02/02/2015:

Workplaces were excluded if they were not a Cork-based manufacturing workplace represented on the Industrial Development Agency (IDA) website employing less than 250 employees; not operating a daily workplace canteen and unable to commit to the intervention elements for the duration of the study

Employees will be excluded if they:

1. Have a part-time contract
2. Do not have contracts to work during the study period or are temporary contractors
3. Do not work in the workplace full-time (for example, work from home 2 days a week)
4. Travel regularly for work ( $\geq$  once a month)
5. Do not purchase and consume a main meal from the staff canteen daily
6. Are medically advised not to participate in the study/on long-term sick leave or pregnant
7. Are likely to leave the company during the study (i.e. retirement)
8. Are involved in an ongoing diet programme external or internal to work (e.g. Weight Watchers). However, all participating workplaces will be requested not to implement any dietary or physical activity initiatives during the study period

Previous exclusion criteria:

Workplace level:

1. All non-manufacturing national workplaces that employ less than 250 employees
2. Do not have a workplace canteen
3. Are not represented in the IDA website
4. Not located in Cork
5. Not able to commit to the intervention design for the study period

Individual level:

Employees will be excluded if they are:

1. Part-time employees
2. Employees that do not have contracts to work during the study period
3. Employees that do not work in the workplace full-time (e.g. work from home 2 days a week)
4. Employees that travel regularly for work (more than once a month)
5. Do not purchase and consume a main meal from the staff canteen daily
6. Medically advised not to participate in the study
7. Involved in an on-going diet programme external to work (e.g. weight watchers)

**Date of first enrolment**

01/02/2013

**Date of final enrolment**

15/07/2013

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Ireland

**Study participating centre**



**University College Cork**  
Department of Epidemiology and Public Health  
Cork  
Ireland  
-

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**  
University College Cork (Ireland)

**Sponsor details**  
c/o Fiona Geaney  
Department of Epidemiology and Public Health  
4th Floor Western Gateway Building  
Western Road  
Cork  
Ireland  
-  
-  
f.geaney@ucc.ie

**Sponsor type**  
University/education

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/03265fv13>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
Government

**Funder Name**  
This work was supported by the HRB Centre for Health & Diet Research grant (HRC2007/13) which is funded by the Health Research Board (Ireland) and by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (Ireland)

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

The long-term follow-up data (initially planned for 13-16 months and now planned for 20-23 months) will be available in March 2015 and the main findings from the cost effectiveness evaluation and process evaluation will be available in June 2015.

Future dissemination strategies for our research findings will include:

1. Workplace dissemination: Following study completion, web-links will be included in all study workplace websites to disseminate the study findings.
  2. Academic dissemination: Dissemination will occur through the UCC main website and print media, television, radio, electronic and social media channels utilised by the Office of Media and Public Relations. Additionally dissemination will occur through a range of academic international peer-reviewed journals and international conferences will be attended to disseminate study findings using posters and oral presentations.
  3. Wider health community: The observational study was published in the autumn 2012 edition of the HSE Health Matters supplement (HRB). Dissemination will continue with the Catering Management Association Ireland (CMAI) via their regular e-newsletters and future CMAI's international conferences. It is an excellent opportunity to influence the Irish food industry and inform all caterers' nationally and internationally about the methods of the study.
  4. General public: Findings will be disseminated through mediums of social media e.g. a study Facebook page and a Twitter account. Findings will be published in future press releases to inform the public, food industry and public health policy-makers.
- Finally a 'Food Choice at Work' toolbox (concise teaching kit to replicate the intervention) will be developed to inform and guide future researchers, relevant stakeholders and policy makers.

## Intention to publish date

01/04/2015

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	pilot study results	01/08/2011		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2013		Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	06/11/2013		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/01/2015		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/01/2015		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/08/2015		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	21/04/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	cost-effectiveness results	03/03/2018		Yes	No