# Impact of replacing conventional emergency department diagnostic procedures by a single-pass whole body computed tomography (panscan) on the survival of patients with severe trauma

Submission date 14/07/2011	Recruitment status  No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered	
		Protocol	
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan	
24/08/2011	Completed	[X] Results	
<b>Last Edited</b> 19/04/2017	Condition category Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning	Individual participant data	

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Despite major improvements in emergency care, severe trauma, mainly due to road traffic crashes, falls, and assaults remains the leading cause of death in the industrialized countries. The rapid transfer of trauma victims to a specialized trauma centre is life-saving. On admission, the goal of diagnostic work-up is to identify life-threatening injuries, immediately followed by surgical and intensive care. The current diagnostic standard includes different imaging procedures like ultrasound, x-rays, and a cranial (head) computed tomography (CT) scan supplemented by CT scans of individual body regions as needed. In many trauma centres worldwide, this often time-consuming approach has now been replaced by a whole-body CT-scan, called the "pan-scan" for trauma. In theory, the pan-scan may detect injuries earlier and more precisely than the traditional stepwise procedure. Although compelling and plausible, there is a lack of evidence of whether the pan-scan benefits patients in terms of improved survival. The potential advantages of the pan-scan must also be traded-off against the increased exposure to radiation. The aim of this study is to find out whether the introduction of pan-scan into trauma resuscitation decreases mortality (death rate) for patients after severe blunt trauma compared to a conventional, staged work-up.

## Who can participate?

Patients with suspected blunt multiple trauma requiring resuscitation in the emergency department/trauma bay

#### What does the study involve?

The outcomes of patients admitted before and after the introduction of pan-scan for severe trauma are compared. Mortality (death rate), adjusted for injury severity and other factors, morbidity (i.e. inflammatory complications like lung failure and multiple organ failure), and emergency department time are assessed.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at time of registration

Where is the study run from?
The University Trauma Centres in Murnau and Greifswald (Germany)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? July to October 2011

Who is funding the study? Investigator initiated and funded (Germany)

Who is the main contact? Dr Dirk Stengel zkf@ukb.de

## **Contact information**

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Dirk Stengel

#### Contact details

Emergency Hospital Berlin (Unfallkrankenhaus Berlin) Warener Str. 7 Berlin Germany 12683 +49 (0)30 5681 4090 zkf@ukb.de

## Additional identifiers

# Protocol serial number

ukb\_PATRES\_3.2\_0711

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Effectiveness of primary whole-body computed tomography (pan-scan) in improving process and outcome quality after severe and multiple trauma (The Pan-scan for Trauma Resuscitation Study, Part 3)

#### Acronym

PATRES-3

## Study objectives

The introduction of a single-pass whole-body, contrast-enhanced computed tomography (Pan-Scan) into trauma resuscitation decreases all-cause in hospital mortality of patients after severe blunt trauma compared to a conventional, staged work-up algorithm

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Bavarian Chamber of Physicians (Bayerische Landesärztekammer), 16/03/2010

#### Study design

Retrospective cohort study

## Primary study design

Observational

#### Study type(s)

Diagnostic

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Multiple and severe blunt trauma

#### **Interventions**

1. Control cohort: Trauma resuscitation and staged diagnostic work-up according to Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS(R)) standards including physical examination (ABCDE-algorithm), focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST, including thoracic views), plain x-rays of the chest, pelvis, and spine, cranial CT, followed by selective CT-scans of suspicious body regions 2. Intervention cohort: Trauma resuscitation and staged diagnostic work-up according to Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS(R)) standards, primary single-pass pan-scan

## Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

## Primary outcome(s)

All-cause in-hospital mortality

## Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. All-cause in-hospital mortality, adjusted for injury severity and other confounding / interaction variables identified by multivariable logistic regression analysis
- 2. Morbidity (i.e. inflammatory complications like lung failure [ARDS] multiple organ failure [MOF])
- 3. Emergency department time

## Completion date

01/10/2011

# **Eligibility**

## Key inclusion criteria

Consecutive men and women (no age restrictions) with suspected blunt multiple trauma directly transferred from the scene to the hospital and requiring resuscitation in the emergency department / trauma bay

## Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

ΔII

#### Key exclusion criteria

Due to the retrospective nature of this analysis only patients with insufficient or lacking information in the hospital documentation system will be excluded from the analysis

#### Date of first enrolment

15/07/2011

#### Date of final enrolment

01/10/2011

## Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Germany

## Study participating centre

Emergency Hospital Berlin (Unfallkrankenhaus Berlin)

Berlin Germany

12683

# **Sponsor information**

## Organisation

Emergency Hospital Berlin (Unfallkrankenhaus Berlin) (Germany)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/011zjcv36

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

## Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded (Germany)

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created Date added	d Peer reviewed	? Patient-facing?
Results article	results	09/12/2011	Yes	No
Participant information shee	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025 11/11/202	5 No	Yes