Can ambulance paramedics use FRAX (the WHO Fracture Risk Assessment Tool) to help GPs improve future fracture risk in patients that fall?

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
13/03/2014		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
13/03/2014	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited 12/10/2018	Condition category Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning	Individual participant data		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr Shane Clarke

Contact details

Rheumatology Unit The Courtyard Old Building, Bristol Royal Infirmary Marlborough Street Bristol United Kingdom BS2 8HW

s.clarke@bristol.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Study information

Scientific Title

Can ambulance paramedics use FRAX (the WHO Fracture Risk Assessment Tool) to help GPs improve future fracture risk in patients that fall?

Study objectives

Broken bones are painful, often disabling, and cost the NHS £2 billion annually. Tablets reduce the risk of having a fracture in the most vulnerable but the number of people at high risk taking the right treatment (about 1 in 3) is unacceptably low.

Part of the problem is poor communication between healthcare providers; for example, GPs are seldom told about their patients who fall by the attending ambulance service. Falls and fracture are closely linked. Each fall that results in an ambulance call might represent an important opportunity to assess a patients fracture risk using a computer programme called FRAX®.

The proposed project is a feasibility study to help us design a full trial. The full trial will find out if ambulance crew can collect information from people that fall and help GPs target treatment for osteoporosis at those patients most likely to have a future fracture.

In this feasibility study we want to find out whether ambulance staff can obtain the necessary information to estimate a patients fracture risk, and if the GPs will act on the information given to them. We also require information to help us work out how many patients we will need to recruit, and might be at a high risk of fracture. It is also essential to ensure the study methods are acceptable to patients, ambulance staff and GPs before we plan the main trial.

Our study involves ambulance staff asking patients additional questions after they have fallen over, and the design includes a control group, whose members will not be informed of their fracture risk. These ethical issues were considered by members of the public.

The proposal was felt to be acceptable, with real potential to improve osteoporosis care. A large Medical Research Council study has already successfully used a similar approach.

More details can be found at: http://public.ukcrn.org.uk/search/StudyDetail.aspx?StudyID=14057

On 04/06/2014 the anticipated end date was changed from 28/03/2014 to 01/07/2014.

Ethics approval required Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s) 12/SC/0604

Study design Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Screening, Treatment

Primary study design Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

GP practice

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Primary Care Research Network for England, Injuries and Emergencies; Subtopic: Not Assigned, Injuries and Emergencies (all Subtopics); Disease: Injuries and Emergencies, All Diseases

Interventions

Calculate future fracture risk: Paramedics will calculate future fracture risk in patients that fall; Transmit fracture risk GP, Paramedics will transmit calculated future fracture risk to patients GPs; Follow Up Length: 3 month(s); Study Entry : Single Randomisation only

Intervention Type

Other

Phase Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Patients on treatment; Timepoint(s): Proportion of patients taking new treatment to reduce future fracture risk

Secondary outcome measures

Appropriate investigations; Timepoint(s): The proportion of patients in control and intervention group that are referred for DXA or blood test

Overall study start date 28/06/2013

Completion date 01/07/2014

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Men and women aged over 50 who fall (inside or outside their place of residence), call an ambulance, and are attended by study paramedics

Participant type(s) Patient **Age group** Senior

Sex Both

Target number of participants

Planned Sample Size: 100; UK Sample Size: 100; Description: 50 control 50 intervention

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients who, in the paramedics opinion, are medically unstable and for whom it would not be appropriate to delay treatment to ask study questions (for example where the paramedics suspect a cardiac incident).

2. Patients who have fallen in a public place and n the opinion of the treating paramedics should be conveyed without delay.

3. Patients who are deemed to lack capacity (according to the Mental Health Act [2005]) but for whom there is no available carer or consultee.

4. Patients who are admitted to hospital for 24 hours or more. These patients will need to be excluded by the RF prior to consent (pre-existing service arrangements cover inpatients and our principal target population is in primary care).

We will continue to monitor patients who fall twice or more during the study period, but count the first fall as the index event. Only the first eligible fall will trigger entry to the study.

Date of first enrolment 28/06/2013

Date of final enrolment 01/07/2014

Locations

Countries of recruitment England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Rheumatology Unit

Bristol United Kingdom BS2 8HW

Sponsor information

Organisation University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

Research & Development Upper Maudlin Street Bristol England United Kingdom BS2 8AE

Sponsor type Hospital/treatment centre

ROR https://ror.org/04nm1cv11

Funder(s)

Funder type Government

Funder Name NIHR Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB); Grant Codes: PB-PG-0711-25070

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Protocol article</u>	protocol	03/09/2014		Yes	No
Results article	results	20/04/2015		Yes	No