Which method of pain relief is most effective for chest drain removal in postoperative cardiac patients?

Submission date 12/09/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	[_] Prospe [_] Protoc
Registration date 12/09/2003	Overall study status Completed	[_] Statist [X] Result
Last Edited 17/12/2008	Condition category Signs and Symptoms	[_] Individ

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr M Akrofi

Contact details

Department of Anaesthesia The Cardiothoracic Centre Liverpool NHS Trust **Thomas Drive** Liverpool United Kingdom L14 3PE +44 (0)151 228 1616

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

ectively registered

col

tical analysis plan

ts

dual participant data

N0054119937

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Which of the currently available methods (entonox, intravenous morphine, subcutaneous bupivacaine) used for the control of analgesia during chest drain removal is most effective?

Ethics approval required Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s) Not provided at time of registration

Study design Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s) Not specified

Study type(s) Not Specified

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Signs and Symptoms: Pain

Interventions Patients randomised to 50% entonox, 100 mcg/kg morphine and 0.5% bupivacaine.

Intervention Type Other

Phase Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

1. Pain scores after chest drain removal assessed by the short form McGill questionnaire 2. Effect of anaesthetic agent on length of intensive care unit stay

Secondary outcome measures Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date 15/07/2002

Completion date 15/07/2003

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria 66 patients receiving chest drain removal following major cardiac surgery.

Participant type(s) Patient

Age group Not Specified

Sex Not Specified

Target number of participants 66

Key exclusion criteria Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment 15/07/2002

Date of final enrolment 15/07/2003

Locations

Countries of recruitment England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Department of Anaesthesia Liverpool United Kingdom L14 3PE

Sponsor information

Organisation Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL

Sponsor type Government

Website http://www.doh.gov.uk

Funder(s)

Funder type Government

Funder Name The Cardiothoracic Centre Liverpool NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type Results article Details Date created results 01/01/2005

Date added

Peer reviewed?

Yes

Patient-facing?