

Gender bias in the pharmacological management of pain, anxiety, and depression in general practice

Submission date 14/04/2025	Recruitment status Recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 22/04/2025	Overall study status Ongoing	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 22/04/2025	Condition category Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Gender norms influence how patients express symptoms and seek care, while healthcare providers may hold biases that affect treatment decisions. Research shows disparities in care based on the sex of both patients and physicians, often disadvantaging female patients, especially when treated by male doctors. Pain, anxiety, and depression are frequent reasons for consultation and potential markers of gender-based disparities in care. Yet, no study has examined how physician gender affects the prescription of analgesic and psychotropic drugs. This study aims to analyze the relationships between the prescription of analgesics and/or psychotropic drugs (anxiolytics, antidepressants), the sex of the patient, the sex of the physician, and potential gender biases. It will quantitatively examine the association between the reimbursement of these medications and the sex of the patient, the sex of the physician, and their interaction and qualitatively explore how gender-related differences in prescribing practices emerge during general practice consultations.

Who can participate?

People aged 18 and over consulting their GP's for pain and/or anxiety and/or depressive symptoms

What does the study involve?

A convergent mixed-methods approach will be used. The quantitative component will quantify potential prescribing differences using patient data from the ESND (French National Health Data System Sample) linked to physician data. Multivariate analysis models will be implemented. The qualitative component will help explain these differences through consultation observations and post-consultation interviews with patients and general practitioners. An inductive thematic analysis of the observation notes and interview transcripts will be conducted.

What are the principal benefits and risks of participating?

This research may have multiple impacts: 1. By sharing findings in scientific journals, conferences, and medical education, the study can raise awareness among physicians about gender biases, encouraging more reflective and equitable clinical practice; 2. Presenting results

to participating physicians could help them recognize and adjust their own biases; 3. The study could inform public health efforts to address gender bias in healthcare and encourage medical schools and continuing education programs to integrate gender-sensitive training, following examples from Switzerland, Germany, and the Netherlands.

No risk to participating has been identified.

Where is the study run from?

The study takes place in mainland France.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

October 2022 to September 2026

Who is funding the study?

GIRCI (Ile de France inter-regional clinical research and innovation grouping), DGOS (Department of Healthcare Organization), Ministry of Health, France.

Who is the main contact?

Nathalie Pelletier-Fleury (MD, PhD), research director, INSERM (National Institute of Health and Medical Research), nathalie.pelletier-fleury@inserm.fr

Contact information

Type(s)

Public, Scientific, Principal investigator

Contact name

Dr Nathalie Pelletier-Fleury

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4821-4863>

Contact details

Centre de Recherche en Epidémiologie et Santé des Populations (CESP, INSERM, UMR 1018) 16,
avenue Paul Vaillant Couturier

Villejuif

France

94807

+33 0149595067

nathalie.pelletier-fleury@inserm.fr

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

Protocol serial number

Study information

Scientific Title

Gender bias in the pharmacological management of pain, anxiety, and depression in general practice: a mixed-methods approach

Acronym

BIGDAD

Study objectives

Several hypotheses can be formulated:

There are gender biases among healthcare providers depending on the sex of the patients. The expression of pain, anxiety, and depression from the patient's perspective may differ between men and women.

The physician's sex influences the prescription of analgesics, anxiolytics, and antidepressants differently depending on the patient's sex.

The physician's sex influences the prescription of sick leave differently depending on the patient's sex.

Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

Ethics approval(s)

approved 14/01/2025, CEEI/IRB INSERM (101 rue de Tolbiac, Paris, 75013, France; +33144236000; christine.dosquet@inserm.fr), ref: 24-1158

Study design

Convergent parallel mixed-methods study

Primary study design

Observational

Study type(s)

Other

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Pharmacological management of pain, anxiety, and depression in general practice.

Interventions

This is a convergent mixed-methods approach. The quantitative component will allow for the measurement of potential prescription differentials using patient data from the ESND (National Health Data System Sample), linked with physician data from the Healthcare Professionals Directory. The qualitative component will shed light on the underlying factors behind these differences, through consultation observations and interviews with patients following their observed consultation with their GP.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome(s)

1. The association between the reimbursement of analgesic, anxiolytic, and/or antidepressant medications and the sex of the patient, the sex of the physician, and their interaction, measured using collected and linked patient and physician data, at one timepoint
2. Gender-related prescription differentials measured using qualitative data collected during GP consultations, at one timepoint

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. The association between the reimbursement of daily sick leave benefits among patients who have been prescribed analgesics and/or psychotropic medications, and the sex of the patient, the sex of the physician, and their interaction, measured using collected and linked patient and physician data, at one timepoint
2. Patients' expressions of pain, anxiety, and/or depression that may contribute to gender-based differences in prescribing practices, measured using data collected during GP consultations, at one timepoint
3. Patients' complaints interpreted, discussed, and received by GPs, measured using data collected during GP consultations, at one timepoint
4. Medication prescribing carried out during the consultation—focusing on how treatment is presented, discussed, negotiated, and the extent to which shared decision-making is involved - using data collected during GP consultations, at one timepoint
5. Patients' experiences of the consultation about their presenting complaint and the resulting prescription measured using data collected during GP consultations, at one timepoint

Completion date

30/09/2026

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

Quantitative component:

The study will include all individuals aged 18 and over in France, using data from the National Health Data System (SNDS).

Qualitative component:

The qualitative analysis will involve general practitioners practicing in private offices or community health centers. It will focus on consultations with patients who either present with complaints of pain, anxiety, or depression, or in which such issues arise during the consultation.

Participant type(s)

Patient, Health professional, Population

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Mixed

Lower age limit

18 years

Upper age limit

100 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Persons under 18 or unable to give consent (for qualitative approach).

Date of first enrolment

15/01/2025

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2025

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

France

Study participating centre

Dr F Loez

547 Avenue De Dunkerque

Lille

France

59160

Study participating centre

Dr Raphaëlle Delpech

201 bis avenue du maréchal Foch

Bagneux

France

92220

Sponsor information**Organisation**

Inserm

ROR

<https://ror.org/02vjkv261>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

GIRCI Île-de-France (interregional clinical research and innovation grouping)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The dataset generated and analysed from the quantitative component will be available upon request from Dr Nathalie Pelletier-Fleury (MD, PhD), nathalie.pelletier-fleury@inserm.fr.

- The type of data that will be shared -> The dataset generated by the project researchers is available upon request.
- Timing for availability -> As soon as the project researchers have completed and published their analyses.
- Whether consent from participants was required and obtained -> These are anonymized data from health insurance reimbursement databases. No participant consent is required.
- Comments on data anonymization -> The French medico-administrative databases (SNDS) does not contain any directly identifying data. The application of all measures to preserve data confidentiality and integrity, defined by the law (loi n° 2016-41 of 26/01/2016), is guaranteed by Inserm.
- Any ethical or legal restrictions -> Access to the SNDS database is granted to Inserm research units in accordance with French legislation (loi n° 2019-774 of 24/07/2019 and Décret n° 2021-848 of 29/06/2021). Under this legal framework, studies approved by Inserm using data solely from SNDS do not require separate ethics committee authorisation.

Datasets generated and analysed from the qualitative component of the study are not expected to be made available to ensure confidentiality.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes