

# Bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement in circular stapling device to reduce the incidence of anastomotic leak following rectosigmoid resection

<b>Submission date</b>	<b>Recruitment status</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
06/11/2008	No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b>	<b>Overall study status</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
27/11/2008	Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b>	<b>Condition category</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
11/05/2016	Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mr Muhammed Siddiqui

### Contact details

Worthing Hospital

Worthing

United Kingdom

BN11 2DH

+44 1903 205111

md0u812a@mac.com

## Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

N/A

## Study information

### Scientific Title

# Bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement in circular stapling device is effective in reducing the incidence of anastomotic leak following rectosigmoid resection: a case control study

## Acronym

STAPLE

## Study objectives

The incidence of anastomotic leak after colorectal resection has been quoted from 7% to 14%. Anastomotic leak despite the development of modern techniques for colonic anastomosis is still one of the most devastating phenomenon in colorectal surgery. It is associated with significant increase in mortality-morbidity and a permanent stoma. Methods have been employed to reduce the anastomotic leak and include reinforcement suturing after the use of circular stapling device and the use of bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement.

## Hypothesis:

There is no difference in the incidence of anastomotic leak in patients undergoing anterior resection using bioabsorbable reinforcement with a circular stapling device for colorectal or colocolic anastomosis compared with those without reinforcement.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

East Brighton Research Ethics Committee; pending as of 06/11/2008.

## Study design

Interventional non-randomised controlled pilot trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Anastomotic leak following rectosigmoid resection

## Interventions

Bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement for circular stapler (GORE SEAMGUARD®). Disc will be incorporated between the two ends of circular stapling device before firing for anastomosis. First four procedures will be supervised and assisted by the medical representative from Gore. Procedures will be performed by Consultant Colorectal Surgeons and assisted by surgical registrars. All procedures will be video recorded. The controls (25 subjects) will be from the previous 12 months with no reinforcement.

Total duration of follow-up: one year.

## Intervention Type

Device

## Phase

Not Applicable

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Thirty-day anastomotic leak confirmed by clinical presentation, raised inflammatory markers, and /or ultrasonography of abdomen and pelvis and/or water soluble contrast enema and/or computerised tomography of abdomen and pelvis with or without contrast.

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Associated co-morbidities which might influence the anastomotic healing. This will be assessed on a daily basis or as per clinical requirements whilst an in-patient and then three-monthly for one year.
2. Operative time
3. Blood loss
4. Post-operative complications. This will be assessed on a daily basis or as per clinical requirements whilst an in-patient and then three-monthly for one year.
5. Re-operation. Total duration of follow-up: one year.
6. Total hospital stay. Total duration of follow-up: one year.
7. Thirty-day mortality

### **Completion date**

01/12/2009

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients with rectosigmoid pathology undergoing anterior resection or sigmoid colectomy with primary end to end colorectal anastomosis with or without defunctioning ileostomy
2. Patients of either sex
3. Patients of all age groups
4. Patients of any body mass index (BMI)
5. Patients undergoing elective surgery
6. Those patients will also be included who have had neoadjuvant radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy for rectosigmoid tumours
7. Patients of American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) I, ASA II and ASA III anaesthetic risks
6. Patients who agree and sign the consent to participate in this study

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Other

### **Sex**

All

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients unable to give consent or refuse to participate in this study
2. Patients undergoing procedure on Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death (CEPOD) list as an emergency
3. Patients undergoing procedure as a post-operative complication
4. Re-do procedure

**Date of first enrolment**

01/12/2008

**Date of final enrolment**

01/12/2009

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

Worthing Hospital

West Sussex

United Kingdom

BN11 2DH

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Sussex NHS Research Consortium

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Sussex NHS Research Consortium (UK)

**Funder Name**

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes