# A comparison of the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) with the facemask and oropharyngeal airway for manual ventilation by PAediatric Ward nurseS in children

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
07/11/2007		Protocol		
Registration date 03/04/2008	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
28/03/2012	Respiratory			

# Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Jennie Rechner

#### Contact details

Kadoorie Centre, Level 3 John Radcliffe Hospital Headley Way Oxford United Kingdom OX3 9DU

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

Sponsor ref: 5339

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

#### Acronym

PAWS 2

# Study objectives

Does the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) have a superior efficacy to achieve manual ventilation compared with the current recommended technique (the oro-pharyngeal airway and face mask) for children who are not breathing when used by paediatric ward nurses?

Please note that this trial is a follow-on from the previously registered trial ISRCTN38042170 - A comparison of the laryngeal mask airway with the oropharyngeal airway and facemask to achieve manual ventilation in children as performed by critical care and anaesthetic nurses (see http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN38042170), which investigates the LMA used by critical care and anaesthetic nurses.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the Oxfordshire Research Ethics Committee A on the 10th Septermber 2007 (ref: 07/H0604/76).

# Study design

An interventional un-blinded, randomised single centre study.

# Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

Treatment

# Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Manual ventilation

#### **Interventions**

The child would be anaesthetised in a standard way by a consultant anaesthetist. Once asleep the paediatric ward nurse would insert each airway device in random order and manually ventilate the lungs for a minimum of five breaths. Ventilation would be measured by an ultrasonic displacement device sited over the chest and compared to that achieved by the consultant paediatric anaesthetist. There is no follow up after the intervention.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### **Phase**

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome measure

Chest expansion (as a percentage of that achieved by the consultant paediatric anaesthetist, averaged over five breaths), measured at the time of the intervention.

### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. To assess the effectiveness of ventilation by paediatric ward nurses when using the facemask and oropharyngeal airway
- 2. To assess whether the paediatric ward nurses can be trained to successfully place the laryngeal mask airway in anaesthetised children after mannikin training
- 3. To assess whether a learning curve exists for successful insertion of the laryngeal mask airway
- 4. To compare the time taken to successful ventilation using both airway devices

All outcomes will be measured at the time of the intervention.

# Overall study start date

24/09/2007

## Completion date

24/12/2008

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. All children aged 6 months to 8 years, scheduled for elective surgery or a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan in which a laryngeal mask airway would be placed routinely
- 2. Paediatric ward nurses

# Participant type(s)

Patient

## Age group

Child

#### Lower age limit

6 Months

#### Upper age limit

8 Years

#### Sex

Both

# Target number of participants

35 nurses, 105 children

## Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Children in whom a laryngeal mask airway is contradicted, e.g., gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, known difficult airway or obesity
- 2. Children outside the inclusion age range

#### Date of first enrolment

24/09/2007

#### Date of final enrolment

24/12/2008

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

England

**United Kingdom** 

# Study participating centre Kadoorie Centre, Level 3

Oxford United Kingdom OX3 9DU

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Oxford Radcliffe Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

#### Sponsor details

Manor House John Radcliffe Hospital Headley Way Oxford England United Kingdom OX39DU +44 (0)1865 222143 valerie.berry@orh.nhs.uk

### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

http://www.oxfordradcliffe.nhs.uk/home.aspx

#### ROR

https://ror.org/03h2bh287

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Charity

#### **Funder Name**

Resuscitation Council (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/08/2007		Yes	No