Comparison between imaging methods (ultrasound and computed tomography) in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
03/04/2021		[] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	[] Statistical analysis plan		
08/04/2021	Completed	[_] Results		
Last Edited 04/05/2021	Condition category Digestive System	Individual participant data		
		[_] Record updated in last year		

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the term for a range of conditions caused by a buildup of fat in the liver. It's usually seen in people who are overweight or obese. A healthy liver should contain little or no fat. It's estimated up to 1 in every 3 people in the UK has early stages of NAFLD, where there are small amounts of fat in their liver. Early-stage NAFLD does not usually cause any harm, but it can lead to serious liver damage, including cirrhosis, if it gets worse.

NAFLD is often diagnosed after a blood test called a liver function test produces an abnormal result and other liver conditions, such as hepatitis, are ruled out. But blood tests do not always pick up NAFLD. The condition may also be spotted during an ultrasound scan or CT scan. Ultrasound is a type of scan where sound waves are used to create an image of the inside of your body. A CT scan or computed tomography scan (formerly known as a computed axial tomography or CAT scan) is a medical imaging technique used in radiology to get detailed images of the body noninvasively for diagnostic purposes.

The aim of the study is to perform a comparison between ultrasound elastography and nonenhanced computed tomography (NECT) for diffuse fatty liver diseases.

Who can participate?

Non-alcoholic patients who have been referred by the physician to have NECT abdomen and diagnosed to have fatty liver and other patients referred for NECT abdomen for any other reasons who don't have fatty changes in the liver.

What does the study involve?

Imaging the liver of healthy volunteers and study group population for detection of fatty liver.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? None Where is the study run from? Saveetha Medical College and Hospital (India)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? November 2018 to November 2019

Who is funding the study? Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact? Praveen Sharma. K, kpraveensharma.kps@gmail.com

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr Praveen Sharma

ORCID ID http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4096-140X

Contact details Old No: 70, New No: 10 South Bazaar Pattabiram Chennai India 600072 +91 9962335288 kpraveensharma.kps@gmail.com

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number Nil known

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number Nil known

Secondary identifying numbers Nil known

Study information

Scientific Title

Comparison between ultrasound elastography and non-enhanced computed tomography Hounsfield units for diffuse fatty liver diseases in non-alcoholic individuals

Study objectives

Comparison between ultrasound elastography and non-enhanced computed tomography Hounsfield units for diffuse fatty liver diseases in non-alcoholic individuals

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 26/11/2018, (Institutional ethical committee, Saveetha Medical College and Hospital, Thandalam - Tamil Nadu, India; +91 44-66726611; dir.res.su@gmail.com), ref: 602105.SMC/IEC /2018/11/234(A)

Study design Single centre prospective observational study

Primary study design Observational

Secondary study design Cross sectional study

Study setting(s) Hospital

Study type(s) Diagnostic

Participant information sheet

See additional files

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Detection of fatty liver disease

Interventions

This prospective study included 137 samples in the age group of 10-80 years for a period of 12 months. This study was carried out at the Department of Radiology, Saveetha Medical College & Hospital, Saveetha university after approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee (November 26, 2018).

Patients were split into two groups based on diagnosis:

1. Disease group: non-alcoholic patients who have been referred by the physician to have NECT abdomen and diagnosed to have fatty liver

2. Control group: Other patients referred for NECT abdomen for any other reasons who don't have fatty changes in the liver

USG Elastography Study

All examinations were performed using an (AFFINITY, PHILIPS 70 USG scanner). All patients were first explained about the USG, the requirement of breath-hold during the measurement of liver

stiffness values, and then written informed consent was obtained. Protocol Examination included in 2D-SWE: Probe used: Convex probe Frequency range 1.5~6.0 MHz Frequency: 3.0 MHz Parameters Measured in 2D-SWE: Liver stiffness measurements (LSM) of individual segments are taken from the upper left lobe

(segment II), lower left lobe (segment III), and right lobe (segment V and VIII).

The mean liver stiffness measurement value (mean LSM) of the entire liver is derived by averaging the LSM values of all four segments.

Two similar-sized ROIs (~1 cm) are taken from each of the four segments, 2 cm beneath the liver capsule. This is repeated in the upper and lower poles of the spleen.

Care was taken to put the ROI at vessel-free liver and splenic parenchyma.

CT study

All examinations were performed using an (INGENUITY, PHILIPS 128 slice CT scanner), All patients were first explained about the CT, detailed clinical history was taken, previous medical records were checked, and then written informed consent was obtained.

Protocol Examination included:

Scan type: Helical

Patient orientation: Feet first

Acquisition: 5 mm

Reconstruction: 1 mm

Pitch factor: 1.6:1

Parameters measured in unenhanced CT:

Absolute HU of the liver (HU liver): Mean value of the absolute HU measurements taken from the upper left lobe (segment II), lower left lobe (segment III), and right lobe (segment V and VIII). Absolute HU of the spleen (HU spleen): Mean value of the absolute HU measurements taken from upper and lower poles of the spleen.

Liver attenuation index (CTL-S): Difference in attenuation values between liver (HU liver) and spleen (HU spleen)

The grade of fatty liver disease as per the Five-point grading system. Two similar-sized ROIs (~1 cm) are taken from each of the four segments, 2 cm beneath the liver capsule. This is repeated in the upper and lower poles of the spleen.

Care was taken to put the ROI at vessel-free liver and splenic parenchyma.

Image analysis

In the USG 2D-SWE study, the liver stiffness measurement value (LSM value, measured by kPa) is calculated for each patient.

In non-enhanced CT, liver attenuation index value and the score of the visual five-point grading system are calculated.

At the end of both imaging investigations, we perform the following steps:

We compare the kPa value and liver attenuation index values to find whether there is a progressive rise in LSM value with increasing grade of steatosis or a progressive decrease in LSM value with increasing grade of steatosis.

We compare the kPa value with the score of the CT five-point grading system to find whether there is a progressive rise in LSM value with an increasing score of the CT five-point grading system or a progressive decrease in LSM value with an increasing score of CT five-point grading system.

Further, we calculate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of 2D-SWE when compared to the CT LAI grading system.

Statistical analysis

USG elastography kilo pascal values and CT Hounsfield unit were calculated. Comparison between various parameters was done using kappa agreement, Pearson's Chi-Square and Receiver Operating Characteristic curve, and p-value. Further, we calculate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of 2D-SWE compared to the CT LAI grading system.

Intervention Type

Device

Phase Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

ultrasound elastography, non-enhanced computed tomography

Primary outcome measure

Measured at a single time point: 1. Quantitative assessment and Grading of fatty liver by Ultrasound Elastography 2. Quantitative assessment and Grading of fatty liver by Non-Enhanced Computed tomography based on Hounsfield units

Secondary outcome measures

There are no secondary outcome measures

Overall study start date 26/11/2018

Completion date

30/11/2019

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Disease group: non-alcoholic patients who have been referred by the physician to have NECT abdomen and diagnosed to have fatty liver
Control group: Other patients referred for NECT abdomen for any other reasons who don't have fatty changes in the liver
Age 11 - 80 years

Participant type(s) Patient

Age group Mixed

Sex Both

Target number of participants

137

Total final enrolment

137

Key exclusion criteria 1. Alcoholics with cirrhosis 2. Space-occupying lesions of the liver

- 3. Debilitated and ventilated patients
- 4. Pregnancy

Date of first enrolment 15/12/2018

Date of final enrolment 30/09/2019

Locations

Countries of recruitment India

Study participating centre Saveetha medical college and hospital (SMCH) Department of Radio-Diagnosis Saveetha Nagar Thandalam Chennai India 602105

Sponsor information

Organisation Saveetha University

Sponsor details Department of Radio-Diagnosis Saveetha Medical College and Hospital Saveetha Nagar Thandalam Chennai India 602105 +91 (0)44-66726677 radiology.smc@saveetha.com

Sponsor type University/education

Website http://www.saveetha.com/

ROR https://ror.org/0034me914

Funder(s)

Funder type Other

Funder Name Investigator initiated and funded

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Planned publication in a high-impact peer-reviewed journal

Intention to publish date 01/05/2021

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary Available on request

Study outputs					
Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet			04/05/2021	No	Yes