

# A randomised controlled trial of different approaches to universal antenatal Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) testing: acceptability, costs and benefits

<b>Submission date</b> 25/04/2003	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 25/04/2003	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 08/11/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Infections and Infestations	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Frank Johnstone

### Contact details

Dept of Obstetrics and Gynaecology  
University of Edinburgh  
37 Chalmers Street  
Edinburgh  
United Kingdom  
EH3 9EW  
+44 (0)131 229 2575 x2315  
fdj@srv1.med.ed.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

HTA 93/24/11

# Study information

### Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial of different approaches to universal antenatal Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) testing: acceptability, costs and benefits

### Study objectives

With increasing optimism about the benefits of antenatal HIV testing, particularly in terms of measures that greatly reduce the risk of infection to the baby, there is a demand for effective, acceptable testing programmes and appropriate patient information. This randomised controlled trial (RCT) was designed to compare different ways of offering testing to all pregnant women, with the aim of acquiring information about what predicts uptake and how women respond to the offer of testing, in order to define the optimal approach.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Study setting(s)

Not specified

### Study type(s)

Not Specified

### Participant information sheet

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Infection and infestations: HIV/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS); Pregnancy and childbirth: Pregnancy

### Interventions

The setting was a hospital antenatal clinic covering the majority of the population of Edinburgh City. The target group was all pregnant women booking at the clinic over 10 months. The design was an RCT involving four combinations of written and verbal communication, followed by the direct offer of an HIV test with written consent required for testing.

Women were sent either a specific leaflet about HIV testing in pregnancy or a leaflet containing

information about HIV testing amongst information on the other antenatal blood tests. At the clinic, a core group of ten trained midwives offered the test, following either minimal or comprehensive pre-test discussion protocols printed on cards. The control group received no information and no direct offer of a test, although testing was available on request (the pre-trial situation).

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Specified

**Primary outcome measure**

The main outcome measures were uptake of HIV testing, knowledge of HIV and other antenatal tests, satisfaction with the consultation, anxiety, attitudes towards pregnancy and perceived benefits of testing. Opinions about testing during pregnancy were also sought using both quantitative and qualitative measures. Midwives' knowledge and attitudes were assessed to investigate their effect on women's uptake of testing.

**Secondary outcome measures**

Not provided at time of registration.

**Overall study start date**

01/04/1995

**Completion date**

31/07/1998

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

Participants were 3024 pregnant women, of whom 2704 (89%) completed a questionnaire which determined acceptability of testing, at their booking appointment. A sub-sample of the participants (n = 788) also completed a questionnaire at their 32-week appointment.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Female

**Target number of participants**

3024

**Key exclusion criteria**

Not provided at time of registration.

**Date of first enrolment**

01/04/1995

**Date of final enrolment**

31/07/1998

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

Scotland

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

Dept of Obstetrics and Gynaecology

Edinburgh

United Kingdom

EH3 9EW

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Department of Health (UK)

**Sponsor details**

Quarry House

Quarry Hill

Leeds

United Kingdom

LS2 7UE

+44 (0)1132 545 843

Sheila.Greener@doh.gsi.gov.uk

**Sponsor type**

Government

**Website**

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/index.htm>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03sbpja79>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
Government

**Funder Name**  
NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**  
Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**  
Not provided at time of registration

**IPD sharing plan summary**  
Not provided at time of registration

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	HTA monograph	01/04/1999		Yes	No