

Comparing colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy and fecal occult blood test for colorectal cancer screening

Submission date 10/07/2006	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 28/07/2006	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 11/11/2009	Condition category Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Nereo Segnan

Contact details
CPO Piemonte and ASO San Giovanni Battista
Via San Francesco da Paola, 31
Turin
Italy
10123
+39 011 633 3860
nereo.segnan@cpo.it

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

SCORE 3 (Screening COloREctal)

Study objectives

Comparisons of attendance, detection rates and acceptability of total colonoscopy, flexible sigmoidoscopy and fecal occult blood test (FOBT) as primary screening tests for colorectal cancer.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Piedmont region ethics board, date of approval: 17/06/2002 (ref: 8151/28.3)

Study design

Interventional randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Other

Study type(s)

Screening

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Colorectal cancer, colorectal polyps

Interventions

Patients, after informed consent, were individually randomized from rosters of GPs or from residents in specific districts or city neighborhoods, either to total colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy or FOBT.

1. Colonoscopy: oral bowel preparation with sodium phosphate solution (two litres). Mild dietary restrictions (i.e. to increase the uptake of water and to reduce consumption of foods rich in fibers the day before the test) recommended. Colonoscopy performed by gastroenterologists in hospital endoscopy units. No standard protocol for sedation. If the baseline colonoscopy could not be completed to the cecum, the patients were referred for a Double Contrast Barium Enema (DCBE), whenever advanced adenomas (see Polyp classification) were detected in the segments

examined. DCBE was not routinely indicated in the case of a negative incomplete colonoscopy, due to patient's intolerance.

2. Sigmoidoscopy: bowel preparation was limited to a single enema (133 ml of 22% sodium phosphate) self-administered at home two hours before the test. No dietary restriction recommended. Screening undertaken by gastroenterologists in hospital endoscopy units. Aim of the examination: to advance the endoscope beyond the sigmoid-descending colon junction under adequate bowel preparation. Polyps smaller than 10 mm detected during the flexible sigmoidoscopy were removed immediately and sent for histological assessment. Subjects with polyps larger than or equal to 10 mm, as well as those detected with advanced adenomas (see polyp classification) referred for total colonoscopy. Subjects with suspected colorectal cancer or with polyps too large to be removed endoscopically referred for surgery.

3. FOBT: immunochemical test performed on a single sample without any dietary restriction. All cards stored at 4°C and shipped weekly to one central laboratory (Laboratorio di Citopatologia, CSPO - Florence). Patients with positive test called by the study staff and they are offered an appointment date for a total colonoscopy.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Attendance and detection rate to total colonoscopy, flexible sigmoidoscopy and FOBT as primary screening tests.

Secondary outcome measures

Acceptability, complication rates, side effects and costs associated with screening procedures.

Overall study start date

01/10/2002

Completion date

31/01/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Men and women aged 55 to 64 who had not been recruited in previous SCORE trials (SCORE and SCORE 2).

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

18,000 subjects

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients unable to give informed consent
2. Patients with terminal illness, inflammatory bowel disease, personal history of polyps or colorectal cancer
3. Patients with two first degree relatives with colorectal cancer
4. Patients who had a colorectal endoscopy or FOBT within the previous two years

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2002

Date of final enrolment

31/01/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Italy

Study participating centre

CPO Piemonte and ASO San Giovanni Battista

Turin

Italy

10123

Sponsor information

Organisation

Italian League Against Cancer (LILT) (Italy)

Sponsor details

Via Torlonia, 15

Rome

Italy

00161

+39 064 425 971

sede.nazionale@lilt.it

Sponsor type

Government

Website

www.lagatumori.it

ROR

<https://ror.org/02g2x7380>

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Government

Funder Name

Support for the study was provided by:

Funder Name

Grant from the Italian League against Cancer (LILT) (Italy) (Letter protocol number: 2001/3081/Sa/lr)

Funder Name

The following provided additional resources for the implementation of the study in Rimini, Biella, Milan, Verona and Turin, respectively:

Funder Name

a. Piedmont Regional Health Authority (Italy)

Funder Name

b. ULSS 20 Verona (Italy)

Funder Name

c. University of Milan (Italy)

Funder Name

d. Fondo "E Tempia" (Italy)

Funder Name

e. Istituto Oncologico Romagnolo (IOR) (Italy)

Funder Name

SOFAR s.p.a. (Italy) provided the enemas for the bowel preparation

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/06/2007		Yes	No