# Evaluation of a computer system that alerts heathcare professionals to changes in foetal monitoring signals acquired during labour

Submission date Recruitment status [X] Prospectively registered 02/12/2008 No longer recruiting [X] Protocol [ ] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 26/01/2009 Completed [X] Results [ ] Individual participant data Condition category Last Edited Pregnancy and Childbirth 08/12/2016

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Diogo Ayres-de-Campos

#### Contact details

Departamento de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia Faculdade de Medicina do Porto Porto Portugal 4200-319 +351 96 670 7112 sisporto@med.up.pt

# Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number N/A

# Study information

Scientific Title

A randomised clinical trial of intrapartum foetal monitoring with computer analysis and alerts versus previously available monitoring

#### **Acronym**

**EFM-ALERT** 

#### Study objectives

Use of a system for computer analysis of intrapartum foetal monitoring signals, with real-time alerts for healthcare professionals, will reduce the number of foetuses born with metabolic acidosis, when compared with conventional monitoring.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

To be submitted as of 02/12/2008.

#### Study design

Randomised controlled open multi-centre trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Prevention

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Intrapartum foetal hypoxia

#### **Interventions**

Continuous foetal monitoring during labour with computer analysis by the Omniview-SisPorto 3.5 system vs continuous intrapartum monitoring as previously performed.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome(s)

Incidence of foetal metabolic acidosis, defined as newborn umbilical artery pH <7.05 and BDecf >12 mmol/L.

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Overall rates of caesarean section and caesarean section for non-reassuring foetal state
- 2. Overall rates of instrumental vaginal delivery and instrumental vaginal delivery for non-reassuring foetal state
- 3. Foetal blood sampling rates
- 4. Incidence of 5-minute Apgar score <7
- 5. Need for neonatal intensive care unit admission

- 6. Incidence of moderate and severe neonatal encephalopathy with a hypoxic marker
- 7. Perinatal death
- 8. Rate of delayed interventions (interval between red alerts [intervention arm]/offline analysis [control arm] and delivery in metabolic acidosis cases)
- 8. Tracing quality and signal loss

#### Completion date

01/04/2011

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Pregnant women, aged more than 16 years
- 2. Able to provide written informed consent
- 3. Singleton pregnancy
- 4. Gestation of 36 or more completed weeks
- 5. Cephalic presentation
- 6. No known major foetal malformations
- 7. In active labour but not in active second stage
- 8. No known contraindication to vaginal delivery
- 9. Clinical decision made to perform continuous cardiotocography (CTG) monitoring

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

Female

#### Key exclusion criteria

Does not meet inclusion criteria

#### Date of first enrolment

01/04/2009

#### Date of final enrolment

01/04/2011

## Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

Portugal

Study participating centre

Departamento de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia

Porto

Portugal

4200-319

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

University of Porto (Universidade do Porto) (Portugal)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/043pwc612

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Research organisation

#### **Funder Name**

Institute of Biomedical Engineering (Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica) (INEB) (Portugal)

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/01/2017	Yes	No
<u>Protocol article</u>	protocol	28/10/2010	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025 11/11/2025	No	Yes