

# Streamlining Staging of Colorectal cancer with Whole Body MRI

<b>Submission date</b> 24/07/2012	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 25/07/2012	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 20/12/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

<http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/cancer-help/trials/a-study-looking-mri-scan-diagnose-bowel-cancer-streamline-c>

## Study website

<http://www.ctc.ucl.ac.uk/TrialDetails.aspx?TrialID=64>

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Stuart Taylor

### Contact details

University College London  
University College London Hospitals  
Specialist X Ray Department  
235 Euston Road  
London  
United Kingdom  
NW1 2BU

-  
[stuart.taylor1@nhs.net](mailto:stuart.taylor1@nhs.net)

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

N/A

## **Study information**

### **Scientific Title**

Comprehensive staging of newly diagnosed colorectal cancer: prospective multi-centre comparison of whole body Magnetic Resonance Imaging with standard diagnostic imaging pathways

### **Acronym**

Streamline C

### **Study objectives**

To evaluate whether early whole body magnetic resonance Imaging (WB-MRI) increases per patient sensitivity for metastasis in colorectal cancer compared to standard NICE-approved diagnostic pathways.

More details can be found at <http://www.hta.ac.uk/project/2804.asp>

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Camden and Islington Research Ethics Committee, 20 August 2012, ref: 12/LO/1176

### **Study design**

Multicentre comparison

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Study setting(s)**

Hospital

### **Study type(s)**

Screening

### **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please contact [ctc.streamlineC@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:ctc.streamlineC@ucl.ac.uk) to request a patient information sheet

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Colorectal cancer

## **Interventions**

5. There are no treatment arms, every patient will receive a whole body MRI as part of the trial which takes about an hour. Aside from attending for the WB-MRI scan, patients shouldn't have to attend for any extra visits. All patients will be asked to complete quality of life forms (EQ-5D) at 0, 3, 6 and 9 months post staging. As part of the health economics portion of the trial, all patients will also be asked to complete patient diaries which will collect information about visits to the GP and hospital and about other medical tests and treatment for a year post staging. As part of the health psychology portion of the trial, 25 patients will take part in an interview (30 minutes) and 75 patients will be given questionnaires complete about their experience of staging at 0, 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months post staging. Follow-up CRFs will be completed for a year post-staging but there are no trial specific visits, this data is collected for the health economic portion of trial.

## **Intervention Type**

Other

## **Phase**

Not Applicable

## **Primary outcome measure**

Per patient sensitivity for metastasis detection by whole body MRI (WB-MRI) compared to standard staging pathways in newly diagnosed colorectal cancer

## **Secondary outcome measures**

1. The time and test number taken to reach, and the nature of, the first major treatment decision based on WB-MRI in comparison to standard staging pathways.
2. Diagnostic accuracy of WB-MRI and conventional staging pathways for local tumour staging and detection of metastasis in comparison to an expert derived consensus reference standard.
3. Lifetime incremental cost and cost-effectiveness of staging using WB-MRI compared to standard diagnostic pathways.
4. Patient experience of staging using WB-MRI in comparison to standard diagnostic pathways and priorities placed by patients on differing attributes related to competing staging pathways.
5. Inter-observer variability in WB-MRI analysis and affect of diagnostic confidence on staging accuracy.
6. Diagnostic accuracy of limited T1 and diffusion weighted sequences compared to full multi-sequence WB-MRI protocols.

## **Overall study start date**

01/10/2012

## **Completion date**

01/01/2019

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Adult patients (18 or over) with histologically proven or suspected colorectal cancer referred for staging.
2. Suspicion of colorectal cancer defined as: Presence of a mass highly suspicious for colorectal cancer on endoscopy, barium enema, CT colonography or other imaging which triggers staging

investigations.

3. Patient must have given written informed consent and be willing to comply with the protocol intervention and follow up.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

322

**Total final enrolment**

370

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Any psychiatric or other disorder likely to impact on informed consent
2. Evidence of severe or uncontrolled systemic disease which make it undesirable for the patient to participate in the trial
3. Pregnancy
4. Contraindications to MRI (e.g. cardiac pacemaker, severe claustrophobia, inability to lie flat)
5. Polyp cancer (because metastatic disease in these patients is vanishingly rare)

**Date of first enrolment**

01/10/2012

**Date of final enrolment**

01/04/2017

**Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**University College London**

London

United Kingdom

NW1 2BU

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

University College London (UK)

## Sponsor details

Cancer Research UK & UCL Cancer Trials Centre  
90 Tottenham Court Road  
London  
England  
United Kingdom  
W1T 4TJ

## Sponsor type

University/education

## Website

<http://www.ctc.ucl.ac.uk/>

## ROR

<https://ror.org/02jx3x895>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Not defined

## Funder Name

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK) ref: 10/68/01

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	result	01/07/2019	14/05/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	comparative results against ISRCTN50436483	01/12/2019	20/12/2019	Yes	No