Sub-vastus versus Medial parapatellar Arthrotomy for total Knee replacement

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 21/11/2005 No longer recruiting [X] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 22/11/2005 Completed [X] Results Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 27/10/2022 Surgery

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mr David Griffiths

Contact details

HOSU Locomotor Directorate City general UHNST Stoke-on-Trent United Kingdom ST4 6QG +44 (0)1782 553102 david.griffiths@uhns.nhs.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N0158124154

Study information

Scientific Title

Sub-vastus versus Medial parapatellar Arthrotomy for total Knee replacement

Acronym

SMAK

Study objectives

In patients undergoing primary or tri-compartmental knee replacement the sub-vastus approach is significantly superior to the standard medial parapatelar approach in terms of short and long term knee function.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethical approval was obtained from the local ethics committee for the trial.

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Total knee replacement

Interventions

Standard medial parapatellar approach or sub-vastus approach for primary bi- or tri-compartmental total knee replacement.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Knee Society Score at 52 weeks.

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. EuroQol-5D
- 2. Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) osteoarthritis index
- 3. 36-item short form health survey (SF-36)
- 4. Time to normal activities/return to function
- 5. Pain
- 6. Complications
- 7. Surgeon's ease of exposure
- 8. Length of hospital stay

Overall study start date

01/02/2001

Completion date

01/08/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. They require a bi- or tri- compartmental knee replacement
- 2. They require a unilateral knee replacement
- 3. They have given their informed consent
- 4. The surgeon has no clear preference for either of the approaches

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

231

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. They need a revision knee replacement
- 2. They have had, or will require a major arthrotomy in the other knee in a 12 month period
- 3. They have had previous open surgery in or around the knee in the previous 12 months e.g. high tibial osteotomy, femoal osteotmy, open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) for fracture, patellar realignment, patellectomy and open menisectomy
- 4. They require a bi-lateral knee replacement at a single visit
- 5. They have a valgus angle of degrees or more

Date of first enrolment

01/02/2001

Date of final enrolment

01/08/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre HOSU Locomotor Directorate

Stoke-on-Trent United Kingdom ST4 6QG

Sponsor information

Organisation

University Hospital of North Staffordshire NHS Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

Trust Headquarters
Princes Road
Hartshill
Stoke-on-Trent
England
United Kingdom
ST4 7LN
+44 (0)1782 715444
david.griffiths@uhns.nhs.uk

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

Website

http://www.uhns.nhs.uk/

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NHS R&D Support Funding (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article		31/07/2006		Yes	No
Results article		31/07/2006		Yes	No