

# Implementing knowledge into practice for improved neonatal survival: a community-based trial in Quang Ninh province, Vietnam

<b>Submission date</b> 07/05/2008	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 07/07/2008	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 18/12/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Neonatal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Uwe Ewald

### Contact details

Department of Women's and Children's Health  
University Hospital  
Uppsala  
Sweden  
75185

## Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

N/A

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Implementing knowledge into practice for improved neonatal survival: a cluster-randomised, community-based trial in Quang Ninh province, Vietnam

## Acronym

NeoKIP

## Study objectives

The overall objective of this project is to evaluate if facilitation on the community level results in effective improvement of perinatal health and survival. Specifically, we hypothesise:

1. That a cluster-randomised intervention using a facilitation approach targeting primary health care staff and key community members reduces the risk for neonatal death, and
2. That the facilitation intervention will result in increased knowledge and use of evidence-based practice related to maternal and perinatal health among health care staff in intervention as compared to the control clusters

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

1. The Ministry of Health (Vietnam), 12/10/2007, ref: 3934/QD-BYT
2. The Provincial Health Bureau in Quang Ninh (Vietnam)
3. The Research Ethics Committee at Uppsala University (Sweden), 25/01/2006, ref: 2005:319

## Study design

Single-centre cluster-randomised population-based community intervention trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Neonatal health and survival

## Interventions

The facilitation intervention targets CHC staff and key persons at the community level. Each CHC is accountable for the health care in the community, including all villages. For each village the CHC has one Village Health Worker (VHW) who is responsible for the basic health care. At each CHC, there are 3 - 6 staff working, of whom a midwife or a medical doctor provides perinatal care. Key persons in the community are the vice chairman and the Women Union leader, who both are in decision-making positions. The basic feature of the study intervention is that individuals from the Women Union are acting as facilitators in supporting CHC staff and key persons in their efforts to improve health care practice. Individuals from local Women Union organisations have been recruited and trained for one week to be able to act as facilitators. A locally recruited person act as supervisor of the facilitators; i.e., supporting the facilitators, assisting and coordinating in the facilitation process, and report back to the research team.

A facilitation manual was developed to guide the work of the facilitators. Each facilitator operates within the same communities for the whole intervention period and meet with each community group monthly. Such a group, called the Maternal-Newborn-Health-Group (MNHG), normally consists of three CHC staff, a village health worker, the vice chairman in the community and two women union representatives (community and village level). The facilitator uses a problem solving, participatory and enabling approach (instead of prescribing and directing a set

of actions). Basing the discussions on individual and common experiences, the facilitator support critical reflection, problem identification, finding solutions, setting up and accomplish change strategies, using the PLAN-DO-STUDY-ACT cycle. This intervention implies a strong local ownership and 'bottom-up' approach in empowering health care staff to improve practice. As an ingredient in the facilitation strategy, the recommendations in the National Guidelines are highlighted. Practically the work process entails the development of an action plan at one meeting to be fully or partial implemented until next meeting, where the process proceeds.

The unit of intervention (and thus randomisation) is the community with its Community Health Centre, and will be proportional to the number of deliveries in the communities. Approximately 44 communities will be allocated to the intervention arm of the study.

Controls are communities without intervention.

The total duration of the intervention will be two years. Follow-up will be done three years after the end of intervention.

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Specified

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Neonatal mortality, measured one year after intervention start and at the end of intervention (two years)

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Effects on home visits by midwives
2. Exclusive breast-feeding
3. Temperature control
4. Knowledge among health staff
5. Care-seeking behaviour
6. Other indicators for neonatal health

Outcomes will be measured one year after intervention start and at the end of intervention (two years).

### **Completion date**

31/12/2010

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Districts in Quang Ninh province in Northern Vietnam with a neonatal mortality rate (NMR) higher than 15/1000 have been selected for the intervention, resulting in a study involving eight districts composed by 87 communities with a corresponding community health centre (CHC). In 2005 there were 6227 births and 150 neonatal deaths in these districts resulting in a NMR of 24 /1000.

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Neonate

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

Districts in Quang Ninh province with a NMR less than 15/1000 were excluded.

**Date of first enrolment**

02/06/2008

**Date of final enrolment**

31/12/2010

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

Sweden

Viet Nam

**Study participating centre**

University Hospital

Uppsala

Sweden

75185

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Swedish Agency for International Development Cooperation (Sida)/Department for Research Cooperation (SAREC) (Sweden)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/01fn7me06>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
Government

**Funder Name**

Swedish Agency for International Development Cooperation (Sida)/Department for Research Cooperation (SAREC) (Sweden)

**Funder Name**

Uppsala Universitet

**Alternative Name(s)**

Uppsala University, UU\_University, Uppsala Universitet, Sweden, UU

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Universities (academic only)

**Location**

Sweden

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	28/03/2008		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/03/2011		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/04/2012		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/12/2012		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/12/2013		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	29/12/2015		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	13/01/2016		Yes	No

<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/09/2018	18/12/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	study design	27/09/2011		Yes	No