

# Does liaison psychiatry improve the cost-effectiveness of health care delivery to depressed elderly medical in-patients? A randomised controlled trial and cost-effectiveness analysis

**Submission date**

23/01/2004

**Recruitment status**

No longer recruiting

**Registration date**

23/01/2004

**Overall study status**

Completed

**Last Edited**

21/12/2009

**Condition category**

Mental and Behavioural Disorders

☐ Prospectively registered

☐ Protocol

☐ Statistical analysis plan

☒ Results

☐ Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Dr Sarah Cullum

**Contact details**

University of Cambridge  
Institute of Public Health  
Forvie Site  
Robinson Way  
Cambridge  
United Kingdom  
CB2 2SR  
+44 (0)1223 330322

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

Cullum HSR/0301

## **Study information**

**Scientific Title**

### **Study objectives**

Aims of the study:

1. To evaluate the effect of a 'liaison' model of care (screening, plus assessment and co-ordination of management of depressive disorder by a specialist psychiatric nurse) on the health outcomes of elderly medical inpatients.
2. To evaluate the cost-effectiveness of the intervention from the viewpoint of health and social services, and patients and their carers.

Hypotheses: Compared to standard care, after 16 weeks, the 'liaison' model of care will:

1. Increase the number of patients that recover from depression
2. Increase patients' quality of life
3. Increase patients' satisfaction with the service
4. Reduce carer burden
5. Increase the cost-effectiveness ratios for the health benefits (resolution of depression, change in depression rating and quality of life score per cost of resources used).

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

### **Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Study setting(s)**

Hospital

### **Study type(s)**

Other

### **Participant information sheet**

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Depression, anxiety, neuroses

### **Interventions**

1. A visit by a specialist nurse within the first week of admission (intervention arm)
2. Put on a waiting list to see a member of the research team at home (control arm)

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Primary outcome measure**

Resolution of ICD10 depression and change in depression rating measured by the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) at 16 weeks after the initial assessment compared between intervention and comparison groups, adjusted for severity of disability at baseline.

### **Secondary outcome measures**

Change in quality of life score (EuroQOL), difference in patient satisfaction (adapted version of patients' satisfaction with stroke services questionnaire), change in carer burden (Caregiver Strain Index and GHQ-12) at 16 weeks after the initial assessment, compared between intervention and comparison groups. (References for standardised measures given in attached research proposal.) Cost-effectiveness ratios for the health benefits resolution of depression, change in depression and quality of life scores) compared to the resource costs in each arm of the study will be calculated. Resources consumed in secondary care (costs of index admission, subsequent inpatient stay and outpatient contact), primary care (GP consultations, contact with nursing staff including specialist psychiatric nurse), and number of hours care from social services will be calculated from routine data sources including hospital information systems, GP and medical records and social services information systems. The economic impact of the intervention upon patients and their carers will also be evaluated.

### **Overall study start date**

01/01/2002

### **Completion date**

01/01/2004

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Patients aged 65 years or over, admitted to general medical wards of a district general hospital (West Suffolk Hospital) with a stay over 5 days, over a period of 15 months (January 2002 to March 2003).

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Age group**

Senior

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

138 (added 18/12/09)

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Unable to give informed consent to enter the study due to moderate/severe dementia or other reasons
2. No spoken English
3. Dependent upon alcohol or other psychotropic drugs
4. Due to be transferred or discharged on the day of the initial assessment
5. Too physically ill or too confused to be interviewed

**Date of first enrolment**

01/01/2002

**Date of final enrolment**

01/01/2004

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

University of Cambridge

Cambridge

United Kingdom

CB2 2SR

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

NHS R&D Regional Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

**Sponsor details**

The Department of Health

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

+44 (0)20 7307 2622  
dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

**Sponsor type**

Government

**Website**

<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

NHS Executive Eastern (UK)

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/07/2007		Yes	No