

# Changing case Order to Optimise patterns of Performance in Screening (CO-OPS) Trial

<b>Submission date</b> 26/03/2013	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 26/03/2013	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 11/05/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

In breast cancer screening, mammograms (x-ray images) are taken of women's breasts and examined for signs of cancer. In the NHS Breast Screening Programme each woman's mammograms are examined separately by two radiologists, who each indicate if there should be recall for further tests. This is a highly skilled but repetitive activity where radiologists examine batches of 30-50 women's mammograms in each session. A decrease of performance has been observed over time in similar repetitive visual tasks, such as searching a radar screen for enemy aircraft. The current practice is for both radiologists to examine each batch of mammograms in the same order as one another, so their performance decrease would occur when looking at the same women's mammograms. We plan to run an experiment to test whether the two radiologists examining batches in a different order to one another increases the number of cancers detected. The idea is to make sure that optimal performance for the first and second radiologist happen when examining different women's mammograms, to improve overall cancer detection rates.

### Who can participate?

Breast screening centres in England (UK).

### What does the study involve?

In the intervention group, batches of mammograms will be presented to the two radiologists in the opposite order (one in appointment order and one in reverse appointment order). In the control group, the two radiologists will be presented with the mammograms in the same order. The main outcome will be whether there are more cancers detected in the intervention group than the control group.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The potential benefits are if the intervention is successful then it will result in fewer cancers being missed in the NHS breast screening programme. Participating centres will be sent a summary of results. The potential risk is that if successful the intervention will result in a larger number of disagreements and so a larger number of cases requiring arbitration review.

Where is the study run from?  
University of Warwick (UK).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
The study started in December 2012 and will run until September 2014.

Who is funding the study?  
National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (UK).

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Sian Taylor-Phillips  
S.Taylor-Phillips@warwick.ac.uk

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Sian Taylor-Phillips

**Contact details**  
Gibbet Hill Road  
Coventry  
United Kingdom  
CV4 7AL  
-  
S.Taylor-Phillips@warwick.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
13796

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Changing case Order to Optimise patterns of Performance in Screening (CO-OPS) randomised trial

**Acronym**  
CO-OPS

**Study objectives**

We plan to run an experiment to test whether having two radiologists examine batches of mammograms in a different order to one another increases the number of cancers detected. The idea is to make sure that optimal performance for the first and second radiologist happen when examining different womens mammograms, to improve overall cancer detection rates.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

West Midlands Research Ethics Committee, First MREC approval date 27/06/2012, ref: 12/WM/0182

**Study design**

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Screening

**Primary study design**

Intentional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Screening

**Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: National Cancer Research Network; Disease: Breast cancer

**Interventions**

Changing case order: Presenting batches of mammograms in the opposite order to the two readers (one in appointment order and one in reverse appointment order)

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure**

Cancer detection rate; Timepoint(s): 1 year

**Secondary outcome measures**

1. Interval cancer rate; Timepoint(s): 3.5 years
2. Rate of disagreements between readers; Timepoint(s): 1 year
3. Recall rate; Timepoint(s): 1 year

**Overall study start date**

20/12/2012

**Completion date**

01/09/2014

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

The intervention is a change to breast screening centre systems. So recruitment is at the breast screening centre level. Inclusion criteria are that they are an NHS breast screening centre in England with at least one set of digital mammography equipment used for screening. (The software intervention only works on digital equipment)

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Female

**Target number of participants**

Planned Sample Size: 44; UK Sample Size: 44

**Key exclusion criteria**

Exclusion criteria are centres who are outside of England, who are not part of the NHS breast screening programme, or do not have any digital mammography equipment.

**Date of first enrolment**

20/12/2012

**Date of final enrolment**

01/09/2014

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**  
**Gibbet Hill Road**  
Coventry  
United Kingdom  
CV4 7AL

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

University of Warwick (UK)

### Sponsor details

University House  
Kirby Corner Road  
Coventry  
England  
United Kingdom  
CV4 8DS

### Sponsor type

University/education

### Website

<http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/>

### ROR

<https://ror.org/01a77tt86>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Government

### Funder Name

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (UK)

### Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

National government

**Location**

United Kingdom

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	10/01/2014		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	10/05/2016		Yes	No