# Comparison of one layer vs. two layer Modified Kugel mesh for inguinal herniorrhaphy

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
17/03/2017		<pre>Protocol</pre>		
Registration date 21/03/2017	Overall study status Completed	<ul><li>Statistical analysis plan</li></ul>		
		[X] Results		
<b>Last Edited</b> 16/05/2023	Condition category Digestive System	☐ Individual participant data		

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

A hernia occurs when an internal organ pushes through the muscle or tissue that holds it in place. It usually develops on the chest or hips area and can cause swelling or a lump. A hernia requires surgery in order to be repaired. Surgery can be done laparoscopically which is less invasive surgery that uses a small keyhole incision and cameras to repair the hernia. Mesh can be used to reinforce the tissue to make sure that the hernia does not occur again. There are different types of mesh and different places that it can be placed inside the body. One of the most popular places to put the mesh is in the pre-peritoneal space (part of the abdominal wall) to cover any weaknesses found there. In order to prevent hernias from returning, another optional on-lay mesh layer can also be placed in the inguinal canal (a passage in the abdominal wall). It is possible that the additional layer of mesh could help prevent future hernias but it also could just create future problems. The aim of this study is to evaluate how well the additional mesh layer is at preventing hernias when compared to just a single layer of mesh and to see if there are any detrimental effects of using the optional on-lay mesh layer.

Who can participate?
Patients (all ages) undergoing hernia surgery

#### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two groups. All participants undergo the standard surgical procedure for open mesh hernia repair. Those in group one have their hernia repaired using a single mesh layer placed in the space in front of to the peritoneum (pre-peritoneal) and those in group two receive an additional layer placed in the inguinal canal as well. Participants stay in the hospital for one or two days post-surgery to recover (as to the standard level of care). Participants attend an appointment with their surgeon seven days after the surgery to discuss any issues they are having. Participants are then followed up with the research team by telephone interviews done at one, three, six and 12 months post-surgery to assess pain and to see if the hernia returned.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Participants may benefit from less pain post-surgery. Participants in group two are at risk of experiencing more pain post-surgery.

Where is the study run from? Changhua Christian Hospital (Taiwan)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2014 to January 2017.

Who is funding the study? Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact? Dr Pao-Hwa Chen

# Contact information

## Type(s)

Public

#### Contact name

Dr Pao-Hwa, Walt Chen

#### **ORCID ID**

http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4623-1800

#### Contact details

Changhua Christian Hospital 135 Nanxiao Street Changhua City Taiwan 500

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

IRB-140312

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Long-term complication following trans-inguinal pre-peritoneal Modified Kugel mesh herniorrhaphy: A single blind prospective randomized controlled trial

## **Study objectives**

A single layer mesh in the preperitoneal space is sufficient to prevent recurrence and the use of second layer in the inquinal canal is not necessary.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Changhua Christian Hospital Institutional Review Board, 23/05/2014, ref: #140312

#### Study design

Single blind prospective randomized controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

Hospital

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet'

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Inguinal hernia repair using open method

#### **Interventions**

Participating are randomised on a 1:1 basis. Participants are randomly allocated based on a numbering system to being in group one or group two. Surgery is scheduled for one week after clinical visit. All fees incurred are paid by the National Health Care Insurance or patients' own insurance

Group one: Participants undergo the open hernia repair surgical procedure to repair the hernia and then they receive the single layer pre-peritoneal Modified Kugel (MK) mesh placement in the per-peritoneal space. This is done through a 3-4 cm inguinal incision.

Group two: Participants receive the same procedure as Group one patients but also receive the additional on-lay in the inguinal canal. This is also done through a 3-4 cm inguinal incision.

The surgical approach in each group is feasible and there is no standard of treatment, however those in group one will act as a control in order to compare their outcomes with those in group two to see if an optional on-lay has any benefits than the one layer mesh.

After the surgery, a separate research personnel (not the surgeon whom performed the surgery) follows-up all the patients for at least one year after surgery at set intervals (seven days, one,

three, six and 12 months) with a set of questionnaires. The data is the passed to another research personnel for data analysis.

#### Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Primary outcome measure

- 1. Recurrence is measured using a clinical visit at day seven and through questionnaires via telephone interviews at one, three, six and 12 months
- 2. Pain is measured using a clinical visit at post-OP day seven and through questionnaires via telephone interviews at one, three, six and 12 months
- 3. Inguinal nerve neuropathy is measured using a clinical visit at day seven and through questionnaires via telephone interviews at one, three, six and 12 months

#### Secondary outcome measures

Foreign body sensation are measured using a clinical visit at day seven and through questionnaires via telephone interviews at one, three, six and 12 months.

## Overall study start date

15/01/2014

# Completion date

30/01/2017

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Any patient undergoing elective inquinal herniorrhaphy at Changhua Christian Hospital
- 2. All ages and genders
- 3. Any patient with clinical presentation of inquinal hernia is eligible for our study

#### Participant type(s)

Mixed

#### Age group

Mixed

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

100 patients undergoing elective inquinal repair

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Recurrent hernias
- 2. Hernia defects larger than the Posiflex® memory ring diameter
- 3. Refusal to participate in the randomizing protocol

#### Date of first enrolment

27/05/2014

# Date of final enrolment

30/08/2016

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Taiwan

# Study participating centre Changhua Christian Hospital

135 Nanxiao Street Changhua City Changhua County Taiwan 500

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Changhua Christian Hospital

# Sponsor details

135 Nanxiao Street Changhua City Taiwan 500

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

http://www.cch.org.tw/home.aspx

#### ROR

https://ror.org/05d9dtr71

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Other

#### Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded

# **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer reviewed journal.

# Intention to publish date

31/01/2018

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Dr Pao-Hwa (Walt) Chen at 149690@gmail.com

# IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	30/09/2022	16/05/2023	Yes	No