

# Developing care pathways for remote monitoring

<b>Submission date</b> 23/04/2010	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 23/04/2010	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 19/09/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

More heart failure patients now receive device-based treatment in the form of implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) and cardiac resynchronisation defibrillators (CRT-D), but despite this, admissions and healthcare costs relating to heart failure continue to rise. Recent device technology that transmits data from an implanted cardiac pacing device to a monitoring station in the home can predict left ventricular heart failure deterioration. The aim of this study was to evaluate the relative costs and the acceptability of conventional and remote care pathways designed to monitor implanted device technology for chronic disease in the home.

### Who can participate?

Heart failure patients over 16 years old with implanted ICD/CRT-D devices.

### What does the study involve?

The remote monitoring group (consisting of patients with implanted Medtronic devices with the Optivolt™ intrathoracic impedance feature) were compared with control patients implanted with devices that did not have access to remote monitoring and who followed the usual standard pathway of care. The remote monitoring group were further randomly allocated into two groups, either remotely downloading daily for three months and then weekly for three months, or downloading weekly for the six-month period. The downloads were reviewed on the day of download by the study nurse, who reported to the consultant responsible and made medication or programming changes where required.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no disadvantages or risks as the care will be the same whether the volunteer chooses to participate or not. It is hoped that the results may help us develop better ways of preventing hospital admissions for heart pump failure and heart rhythm abnormalities in the future.

### Where is the study run from?

Southampton General Hospital (UK).

### When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study ran from February 2009 to August 2009.

Who is funding the study?  
Medtronic Ltd (UK).

Who is the main contact?  
Ms Lisa Fletcher  
lisa.fletcher@suht.swest.nhs.uk

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Ms Lisa Fletcher

**Contact details**  
Southampton General Hospital  
E Level Research Office  
Tremona Road  
Southampton  
United Kingdom  
SO16 6YD

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
6877

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
A pilot study developing care pathways for remote monitoring

**Study objectives**  
The aim of this study is to determine and document a projects viability to allow development of a future larger prospective randomised control trial to determine morbidity, cost efficacy and mortality of remote disease management for heart failure and arrhythmia burden.

**Ethics approval required**  
Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**  
Berkshire Research Ethics Committee, 20/10/2008, ref: 08/H0505/131

**Study design**

Randomised interventional process of care trial with qualitative study

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Other

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: Cardiovascular; Subtopic: Cardiovascular (all Subtopics); Disease: Cardiovascular

**Interventions**

6 month study of two groups.

Group 1:

Observation so diary entry and Quality of life questionnaires only.

Group 2 (interventional):

Study group download from device using Carelink. Divided into A and B:

Group A: daily downloads for three months and then weekly for remaining three months

Group B: weekly download for the whole duration - six months

Based on the information obtained from the downloads will determine if treatment needed to be changed - i.e., medication or programme changes to device.

Follow up length: 0 months

Study entry: Other

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure**

Quality of Life is measured using the Living with Heart failure Minnesota Life Score and 36-item short form health survey (SF-36) at baseline, 1, 3 and 6 months

**Secondary outcome measures**

No secondary outcome measures

**Overall study start date**

01/02/2009

**Completion date**

31/08/2009

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

All participants:

1. Be on stable medical therapy for 6 weeks prior to recruitment
2. Have the ability to independently comprehend and complete QOL Questionnaires
3. Have an episode of New York Heart Association (NHYA) class III heart failure
4. Be on optimal medical therapy
5. Male and female, lower age limit of 16 years

Group 2 additional criteria:

6. Must be implanted with a Medtronic Device that has the optivol feature
7. Have had a cardiac device implanted for at least 3 months prior to recruitment
8. Will have had no device related complications

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

Planned Sample Size: 100; UK Sample Size: 100

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. If unable to use the technology due to mental or physical limitations
2. Less than 16 years old
3. Pregnancy

**Date of first enrolment**

01/02/2009

**Date of final enrolment**

31/08/2009

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**  
**Southampton General Hospital**  
Tremona Road  
Southampton  
United Kingdom  
SO16 6YD

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**  
Southampton University Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

**Sponsor details**  
Southampton General Hospital  
Tremona Road  
Southampton  
England  
United Kingdom  
SO16 6YD

**Sponsor type**  
University/education

**Website**  
<http://www.soton.ac.uk/>

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/0485axj58>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
Industry

**Funder Name**  
Medtronic Ltd (UK)

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

There are no plans to publish this study.

**Intention to publish date****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not expected to be made available