Randomised controlled trial comparing hospital at home with hospital care

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
23/01/2004		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
23/01/2004	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
08/12/2010	Other			

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Sasha Shepperd

Contact details

Primary Care and General Practice Imperial College School of Medicine Norfolk Place London United Kingdom W2 1PG +44 (0)207 594 3364 S.Shepperd@ic.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

PSI - 637

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

The aim of the trial was to evaluate the health outcomes and costs of hospital at home compared with in-patient hospital care.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Observational

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Other

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Healthcare delivery costs

Interventions

i. Hospital at home

ii. In-patient hospital care

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

General and disease specific health status, patient and carer satisfaction and preferences, readmission rates, length of stay, resource use and cost to the health service, carer burden and anxiety and carer and patient cost. Few differences in outcome were detected. Hospital at home does not reduce total health care costs for the conditions we studied in this trial. Total health

care costs are significantly increased for patients recovering from a hysterectomy and those with chronic obstructive airways disease. There is some evidence that costs are shifted to primary care for elderly medical patients and those with chronic obstructive airways disease.

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

01/09/1996

Completion date

01/12/1998

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Elderly medical, chronic obstructive airways disease, patients recovering from a hip replacement, knee replacement, and hysterectomy.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Senior

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

Not provided at time of registration

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/09/1996

Date of final enrolment

01/12/1998

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Primary Care and General Practice

London United Kingdom W2 1PG

Sponsor information

Organisation

Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

The Department of Health Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.doh.gov.uk

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NHS Primary and Secondary Care Interface National Research and Development Programme (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summaryNot provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	cost minimisation analysis results	13/06/1998		Yes	No
Results article	three month follow results	13/06/1998		Yes	No