Randomised controlled trial of Femoral Nerve Blockade for fractured femurs

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 04/07/2006 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 08/08/2006 Completed [X] Results [] Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 05/01/2012 Musculoskeletal Diseases

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr John Carlisle

Contact details

Anaesthetics Department Torbay Hospital Lawes Bridge Torquay United Kingdom TQ2 7AA

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

SDHCT03/02/002; NRR Pub. ID: N0224122747

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

FNB study

Study objectives

To determine whether local anaesthetic blockade of the femoral nerve reduces pain from fractured proximal femurs.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

South Devon Research Ethics Committee (formerly Torbay REC) approval gained on 14th February 2003 (reference number: 36/08/02).

Study design

Randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Fractured proximal femurs

Interventions

Local anaesthetic blockade of the femoral nerve (0.5% bupivacaine) versus placebo (0.9% sterile saline) in patients with fractured proximal femurs.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Bupivacaine

Primary outcome measure

To assess the quality of anaesthesia provided by systemic opioids alone or combined with femoral nerve blockade in two otherwise equivalent groups by measuring pain scores and levels of systemic opioid administration required.

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. To assess mental function using a standard ten point scale (Abbreviated Mental Test Score [AMTS]) and a 30 point scale (Mini Mental State Examination [MMSE]) before and after the operation, comparing the two groups
- 2. To assess mobility and function, using a modified Physiotherapy Functional Mobility Profile (PFMP) and achievement of activities for daily living score (MIMS), before and after the operation, comparing the two groups
- 3. To monitor the lengths of stay in the two groups
- 4. To monitor the incidence of death in the two groups at 30 days and 90 days
- 5. To monitor the levels and incidence of infections to the wound, prosthesis and/or catheter post operatively

Overall study start date

20/02/2004

Completion date

30/10/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Aged over 18 years
- 2. Fractured proximal femur
- 3. Capable of informed consent
- 4. Written informed consent obtained

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

268

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Documented allergy to bupivacaine
- 2. Infection at the site of catheter placement
- 3. Aged under 18 years
- 4. Unable to give informed consent
- 5. Refusal to give informed consent

Date of first enrolment

20/02/2004

Date of final enrolment

30/10/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Anaesthetics Department

Torquay United Kingdom TQ2 7AA

Sponsor information

Organisation

South Devon Healthcare NHS Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

Torbay Hospital Lawes Bridge Torquay England United Kingdom TQ2 7AA

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

Website

http://www.sdhct.nhs.uk/

ROR

https://ror.org/05374b979

Funder(s)

Funder type

Charity

Funder Name

Torbay Medical Research Fund

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/12/2007		Yes	No