A prospective randomised controlled trial of traditional hysteroscopy or "no touch" hysteroscopy comparing patient discomfort and time taken to perform each procedure

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting Overall study status	Prospectively registered		
30/09/2004		Protocol		
Registration date		Statistical analysis plan		
30/09/2004	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited 13/07/2009	Condition category Other	[] Individual participant data		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Adam L Magos

Contact details

University Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Royal Free Hampstead NHS Trust Pond Street Hampstead London United Kingdom NW3 20G

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N0256130918

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

To determine whether women who undergo traditional hysteroscopy experience more discomfort during hysteroscopy compared to women who undergo no touch hysteroscopy

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Diagnostic

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Not Applicable: Hysteroscopy

Interventions

Women were randomised to undergo either traditional saline hysteroscopy requiring the use of a speculum and tenaculum, or a 'no-touch' vaginoscopic hysteroscopy which does not require a speculum or tenaculum. Each group was further subdivided to have hysteroscopy with either a 2.9-mm or 4-mm hysteroscope. Patients were asked to complete pre- and postprocedure questionnaires ranking pain scores.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Service outcome development

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

21/10/2003

Completion date

31/10/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

120 patients

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

120

Key exclusion criteria

Does not match inclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

21/10/2003

Date of final enrolment

31/10/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

University Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology

London United Kingdom NW3 2QG

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health

Sponsor details

Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

The Royal Free Hampstead NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/07/2005		Yes	No