

# TREC-SAVE: a trial for aggressive or violent seriously mentally ill people

<b>Submission date</b> 14/12/2010	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 04/03/2011	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 28/07/2011	<b>Condition category</b> Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Gisele Huf

**Contact details**  
Av Brasil 4365 Manguinhos  
Rio de Janeiro  
Brazil  
21040-900  
gisele.huf@incqs.fiocruz.br

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
N/A

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

Randomised controlled trial comparing mechanical restraints with seclusion for aggressive mentally ill people in emergency psychiatric hospitals

**Acronym**

TREC-SAVE

**Study objectives**

The trial aims to test the hypothesis that one procedure (seclusion or restraints) is more effective and/or more safe for the management of agitated and violent people in emergency psychiatric hospitals.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Ethics Committee from Philippe Pinel Institute in Rio de Janeiro approved on the 24th February 2010 (ref: 56/2010)

**Study design**

Single-centre randomised open controlled trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Aggressive/violent mental illness

**Interventions**

1. Use of four point physical restraint (cotton bands) and policy of close recording of mental state, behaviour, wellbeing, in addition to standard use of medication.
2. Use of secure seclusion room and policy of close recording of mental state, behaviour, wellbeing, in addition to standard use of medication.

In the case of Instituto Philippe Pinel secure seclusion involves a locked room with minimal bedding but airy and with good day light though barred windows with no frame or glass open to the nursing station or passing patients.

The total duration of each intervention is exactly the primary outcome, but follow-up in each arm will last by two weeks.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure**

Time to release from restraints or seclusion

**Secondary outcome measures**

1. Safe resolution of episode, measured 24 hours after the beginning of the episode
2. Time in restriction, measured 24 hours after the beginning of the episode
3. Further episode, measured 24 hours after the beginning of the episode
4. Need to call the doctor on an emergency basis, measured 24 hours after the beginning of the episode
5. Refusal of oral medication, measured 24 hours after the beginning of the episode
6. Amount of medication and how administered, measured 24 hours after the beginning of the episode
7. Acceptability to patient/staff, measured 24 hours after the beginning of the episode
8. Adverse effects, measured at 2 weeks
9. Time to discharge, measured at 2 weeks

**Overall study start date**

16/06/2010

**Completion date**

30/04/2011

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Anyone (no age or gender limits) thought to have a serious mental illness admitted to the hospital who has a degree or risk of aggression or violent behaviour that endangers themselves or others
2. Who is thought by medical and nursing staff to need some form of physical restriction
3. For whom the medical and nursing staff have doubt as to whether one form of restriction is better than the other

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Other

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

100 people

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Anyone for whom either or both packages of care are contraindicated by either medical or nursing staff
2. Anyone already randomised in this trial

**Date of first enrolment**

16/06/2010

**Date of final enrolment**

30/04/2011

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Brazil

**Study participating centre**

Av Brasil 4365 Manguinhos

Rio de Janeiro

Brazil

21040-900

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

National Institute of Quality Control in Health (Brazil)

**Sponsor details**

Av. Brasil 4365 Manguinhos

Rio de Janeiro

Brazil

21040-900

+55(0) 21 3865 5112

gisele.huf@incqs.fiocruz.br

**Sponsor type**

Government

**Website**

<http://www.incqs.fiocruz.br>

ROR

https://ror.org/04jhswv08

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Research organisation

### Funder Name

National Institute of Quality Control in Health - Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Brazil)

### Funder Name

Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) (Brazil) - School of medicine

## Results and Publications

### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

### Intention to publish date

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	20/07/2011		Yes	No