

The effectiveness of intensive chest physiotherapy for patients on mechanical ventilation in ICU

Submission date	Recruitment status	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
05/07/2016	No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
21/07/2016	Completed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited	Condition category	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
04/01/2021	Respiratory	

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

When a patient is in an intensive care unit (ICU), they may require help breathing. This is often done using a process called intubation, in which a flexible plastic tube is passed down the throat into the windpipe in order to keep the airways open. The tube is then connected to a breathing machine which passes air into and out of the lungs (mechanical ventilation). When the patient has recovered enough for the tube to be removed (extubation), in some cases this is unsuccessful (extubation failure) and the tube needs to be put back in (reintubation). Extubation failure can lead to a long hospital stay, higher death rate, and increases the chance that the patient will need an operation called a tracheostomy (an opening created at the front of the neck so a tube can be inserted into the windpipe). Chest physiotherapy (CPT) can help to reduce the chance of this, as it prevents fluid from building up in the lungs which can lead to complications. However, few studies have been done looking at the relationship between CPT and extubation failure. The aim of this study is to investigate whether CPT reduces the rate of extubation failure.

Who can participate?

Adults admitted to the Landseed Hospital Intensive Care Unit who require mechanical ventilation for at least 48 hours.

What does the study involve?

Two groups of participants take part in this study. The first group of participants does not actively take part but are patients who previously received standard nursing care while in ICU in 2015. Their medical records are reviewed in order to assess the extubation failure rate and the amount successfully extubated (extubation outcomes). The second group is currently on ICU and takes part in a chest physiotherapy program. This consists of various training exercises aiming to strengthen the muscles involved in breathing, and the removal of mucus from the airways. Treatment takes place in 30-40 minute sessions once a day, five times a week, until the patient is discharged from ICU. At the end of the study, the extubation outcomes are compared between the groups to find out whether the treatment helped reduce the rate of extubation failure.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?
There are no direct benefits or risks involved to those participating in this study.

Where is the study run from?
Landseed Hospital (Taiwan)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
October 2014 to October 2015

Who is funding the study?
Landseed Hospital (Taiwan)

Who is the main contact?
Mr Tsung-Hsien Wang
d03428002@ntu.edu.tw

Contact information

Type(s)

Public

Contact name

Mr Tsung-Hsien Wang

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5096-1131>

Contact details

Landseed Hospital
No.77, Guangtai Road
Pingzhen District
Taoyuan City
Taiwan
32449
+886 (0)3 4945353
d03428002@ntu.edu.tw

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Chest physiotherapy to improve extubation outcomes for critically ill patients with mechanical ventilation in ICU

Study objectives

Intensive chest physiotherapy could decrease extubation failure in mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Landseed Hospital ethics institutional research committee, 24/10/2014, ref: 14-027-B1

Study design

Single-centre prospective non-randomised study with retrospective case-note review

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Patients requiring mechanical ventilation

Interventions

Two groups of participants take part in this study.

Control group: Patients who previously received standard nursing care have their case notes from 2015 reviewed for extubation outcomes, mortality, hospital transfers and clinic data (such as clinical diagnosis, Glasgow coma score, heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, tidal volume, RSBI, length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation and secretion volume). The results of this are then compared to the intervention group.

Intervention group: Participants take part in a chest physiotherapy program, which consists of inspiratory muscle training, manual hyperinflation, chest wall mobilization, rib-cage compression, posture drainage, secretion removal, cough function training, extremity range of motion exercise.

Rib cage compression consists of producing mechanical force transferred through the chest wall into the airways during the expiratory phase for the purpose of increasing and redirecting air flow, for pulmonary re-expansion and airway clearance.

Manual hyperinflation was performed using a manual hyperinflation device with inspiratory pressure 40 cm H₂O and FIO₂ at 0.6, inducing a tidal volume increase and generating subsequent improvement in pulmonary compliance, inspiratory flow, and bronchial secretion clearance.

During implementation of the techniques, SpO₂, heart rate, breathing frequency, and mean arterial pressure were monitored to control the effects while the protocol was performed. Early mobilization were also given by the physiotherapist according to patients' conditions, vital signs, and clinical performance. These exercises started with sitting on the edge of the bed for moving their limbs, training for standing on their own, and moving off the bed to sit in a chair. Treatment takes place in 30-40 minute sessions once a day, five times a week, and treatments were given until the patient was discharged from the ICU.

Extubation outcomes are determined according to observations by the attending physician.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome(s)

Extubation outcomes are determined by the attending physician observations (taken from medical records for control participants):

1. Extubation failure rate, defined as the need for reintubation within 72 hours after extubation
2. Extubation rate, is calculated as extubation failure participants divided by all participants

Key secondary outcome(s)

Rapid Shallow Breathing Index is measured using a handheld spirometer attached to the endotracheal tube while the patient breathes room air for one minute without any ventilator assistance in the intervention group, and taken from medical records for control participants.

Completion date

24/10/2015

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Aged 18 years and over
2. Admitted to the Landseed Hospital Intensive Care Unit
3. Require mechanical ventilation for at least 48 hours

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

439

Key exclusion criteria

1. Brain death patients
2. Ventilator-dependent patients
3. Tracheostomy patients
4. Patients receiving hospice care
5. Patients that have transferred during treatment

Date of first enrolment

24/10/2014

Date of final enrolment

24/10/2015

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Taiwan

Study participating centre

Landseed Hospital

Departments of Critical Care Medicine
No. 77, Guangtai Road
Pingzhen District
Taoyuan City
Taiwan
32449

Sponsor information

Organisation

Landseed Hospital

ROR

<https://ror.org/006arvw77>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

Landseed Hospital

Alternative Name(s)

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Other non-profit organizations

Location

Taiwan

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Results article</u>	results	01/11/2018	04/01/2021	Yes	No
<u>Participant information sheet</u>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes