# Planned relaparotomy versus relaparotomy on demand in abdominal sepsis: a randomised, multi-center, clinical trial

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
10/02/2004		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
30/03/2004	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
03/01/2012	Digestive System			

# Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Mrs Marja A. Boermeester

#### Contact details

Academic Medical Center
Department of Surgery (room G4-127)
Gastrointestinal Surgery (GI infection)
Meibergdreef 9
Amsterdam
Netherlands
1105 AZ
m.a.boermeester@amc.uva.nl

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

#### **Acronym**

**RELAP** trial

#### **Study objectives**

Relaparotomy on demand strategy in patients with secondary peritonitis reduces the risk of 180-day poor outcome (death or readmission/surgical intervention for morbidity in survivors) compared to a strategy with planned relaparotomy.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Approved by the Medical Ethics Committee, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands and by the Dutch Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects (Dutch initials: CCMO).

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

# Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Secondary peritonitis

#### **Interventions**

Planned relaparotomy versus relaparotomy on demand

# Intervention Type

Other

#### **Phase**

#### **Not Specified**

#### Primary outcome measure

Poor outcome defined as death (all-cause mortality) or, in survivors, readmission or surgical intervention for disease-related morbidity (i.e., morbidity related to abdominal sepsis and its treatment) during a 180-day period after index laparotomy.

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Duration of mechanical ventilation, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and hospital stay, days outside the hospital in one year after index surgery, long-term morbidity (one year), quality of life, and Quality-Adjusted Life-Years (QALYs).
- 2. Medical and indirect costs comparing absolute volumes of resource utilization.

#### Overall study start date

01/12/2001

#### Completion date

31/08/2006

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with secondary peritonitis
- 2. Between 18 and 80 years
- 3. An Acute Physiology And Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score more than 10 (worst score in the first 24 hours of diagnosis)

#### Participating centres:

- 1. Academic Medical Center Amsterdam
- 2. University Medical Center Utrecht
- 3. Gelre Hospital Apeldoorn
- 4. Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis (OLVG) Amsterdam
- 5. St Lucas Andreas Hospital Amsterdam
- 6. Isala Klinieken Zwolle
- 7. A. Schweitzer Hospital Dordrecht
- 8. Bosch Medisch Centrum Den Bosch
- 9. Reinier de Graaf Gasthuis Delft

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 Years

#### Sex

**Not Specified** 

#### Target number of participants

222 (+12 additional for anticipated drop-outs)

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Age less than 18 or more than 80 years
- 2. Abdominal infection due to perforation after endoscopy operated within 24 hours
- 3. Abdominal infection due to an indwelling dialysis (Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis [CAPD]) catheter
- 4. Acute pancreatitis
- 5. Index laparotomy for peritonitis in another (referring, non-participating) hospital
- 6. Expected survival less than six months due to disseminated malignancy
- 7. Brain damage due to trauma or anoxia

#### Date of first enrolment

01/12/2001

#### Date of final enrolment

31/08/2006

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

## Study participating centre Academic Medical Center

Amsterdam Netherlands 1105 AZ

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) (The Netherlands)

#### Sponsor details

Laan van Nieuw Oost Indie 334 P.O. Box 93245 The Hague Netherlands 2509 AE +31 (0)70 349 5111 info@zonmw.nl

#### Sponsor type

# Research organisation

#### ROR

https://ror.org/01yaj9a77

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Research organisation

#### Funder Name

The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) (The Netherlands) - Health Care Efficiency Research programme

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	1.Results	22/08/2007		Yes	No
Results article	results	23/12/2011		Yes	No