

Randomised controlled trial of the use of three dressing regimens in the management of chronic ulcers of the foot in diabetes.

Submission date 30/09/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 30/09/2005	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 14/03/2014	Condition category Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr ME Edmonds

Contact details
Diabetic Foot Clinic
King's College Hospital
Denmark Hill
London
United Kingdom
SE5 9RS
+44 (0)20 7346 3223

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
N0116140783

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

1. The primary objective is to test whether modern dressings are more clinical effective than traditional dressings in the treatment of diabetes related foot ulcers. The dressings to be compared will be: a simple, traditional non-adherent preparation (N-A), a widely used modern antiseptic preparation (Inadine), and a new hydrofibre preparation of higher unit cost (Aquacel). All three dressings are widely used in clinical practices in the UK.
2. To investigate changes in ulcer size, condition and reoccurrence during the study period associated with each dressing.
3. To determine the cost-effectiveness associated with each of the three dressings.
4. To assess the patients' mood, satisfaction and quality of life associated with each of the three dressings.
5. To investigate the patient and carer contribution to care in terms of involvement with self-care, and to gain qualitative insights into the patient experience with each of the dressing interventions.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine: Diabetic foot

Interventions

The dressings to be compared will be: a simple, traditional non-adherent preparation (N-A), a widely used modern antiseptic preparation (Inadine) and a new hydrofibre preparation of higher unit cost (Aquacel).

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Not provided at time of registration

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

01/10/2003

Completion date

31/12/2006

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

Not provided at time of registration

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

Not provided at time of registration

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2003

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2006

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Diabetic Foot Clinic

London

United Kingdom

SE5 9RS

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health

Sponsor details

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

+44 (0)20 7307 2622

dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Kings College Hospital NHS Trust R&D Consortium (UK)

Funder Name

NHS R&D support funding

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration