Evaluation of an online human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-prevention intervention to promote HIV-testing among men who have sex with men

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
22/09/2010		Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
20/12/2010	Completed Condition category	Results		
Last Edited		Individual participant data		
20/12/2010	Infections and Infestations	Record updated in last year		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Jochen Mikolajczak

Contact details

Antomnie van leeuwenhoeklaan 9 3721 MA Bilthoven Bilthoven Netherlands 3721 MA

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Evaluation of an online human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-prevention intervention to promote HIV-testing among men who have sex with men: a randomised controlled trial

Study objectives

Aim:

An online randomised controlled trial (RCT) to investigate the effects of a systematically developed online human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-prevention intervention aimed at promoting HIV-testing among men who have sex with men (MSM) in the Netherlands.

Hypotheses:

- 1. Exposure to the newly developed intervention would lead to a significant increase in participants intention to take an HIV/STI-test (i.e. a Sexual Health Checkup), compared to exposure to the control, a pre-existing online intervention
- 2. Theory predicts that high intentions towards taking a test increase the chances of actually taking a test. Therefore, compared to the control condition, significantly more participants in the experimental condition would have taken a Sexual Health Checkup at the moment of follow-up (3 months after exposure to one of the interventions).

Rationale:

The theme we chose for our health promotion program was: "Queermasters, the online gay health show". In consultation with our linkage group, it was considered a theme that fitted well with our target population. The concept of an online gay health show allowed us to include different rounds for different program components, virtual relational agents in terms of a show master and his assistant, and a virtual MSM audience in the background representing a reference group, and expressing an injunctive social norm.

In our program, we deliberately avoided the use of risk information and risk communication as means of motivating participants to take an HIV-test. This decision was taken on the basis of our qualitative and quantitative findings. Additional empirical evidence in support of our decision comes from the results of a study that indicated that increasing the perceived risk for HIV-infection might not be a successful method to motivate (at risk) individuals to take an HIV-test.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The Psychology Ethics Committee (Ethische commissie Psychologie [ECP]) of the Faculty of Psychology & Neuroscience, Maastricht University approved on the 12th December 2006 (ref: ECP 48 / 3-4-2006-4)

Study design

Randomised active controlled parallel group trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Screening

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) testing

Interventions

Recruitment:

1. Online recruitment:

Banners were placed on several large and popular Dutch MSM websites, a click-through button was placed on the home page of the most popular Dutch MSM chat website (www.chatboy.nl), and a chatter's profile was placed in the Chatboy chatbox inviting visitors to participate.

2. Offline recruitment:

Advertisements were placed in the popular Dutch MSM press.

An online randomised controlled trial was set up in which for one month, visitors of the website queermasters.nl (n = 5030) were randomly assigned to one of two groups.

1. Experimental group: A newly developed intervention -

The scope of our program focused primarily on the advantages of regular sexual health checkups and the fact that most other MSM favor regular checkups, to motivate participants to take up regular sexual health checkups and consequently get tested for HIV.

2. Control group: An existing online HIV-test promotion intervention -

The scope of the existing program focused on both risk information and risk communication to motivate participants to take an HIV- and an STI-test.

3 months later participants were invited for follow-up.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

1. Intention to take an HIV-test within the next three months, which was measured with two items. For example: 'I intend to take an HIV-test within the next three months', measured on a seven-point scale (very surely not - very surely so). Measurement of intention took place before participation in one of the interventions (either experimental of control), and immediately thereafter.

2. Actual testing behaviour, which was measured three months after participation in one of the interventions (during the follow-up session)

All measures were self-reported.

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Attitude towards taking an HIV-test within the next three months was measured with four items. For example: "I consider taking an HIV-test within the next three months to be...", measured on a seven-point scale (very unwise very wise)
- 2. Subjective social norm towards taking an HIV-test within the next three months was measured with two items. For example: "My best friends think that I should take an HIV-test within the next three months", measured on a seven-point scale (very surely not very surely so)

 3. Self-efficacy towards taking an HIV-test in the next three months was measured with eight
- 3. Self-efficacy towards taking an HIV-test in the next three months was measured with eight items. For example: "I consider making an appointment to take an HIV-test at a testing location to be ", measured on a seven-point scale (very difficult very easy)

All outcomes were self-reported measurements which took place before participation in one of the interventions (either experimental of control), and three months after participation in one of the interventions (during the follow-up session).

Overall study start date

01/11/2006

Completion date

14/02/2007

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Having had casual sex partners in the past six months
- 2. Not HIV-positive
- 3. Living in the Netherlands

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Male

Target number of participants

Target not specified at onset. Final recruitment was 5030

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Participants who did not complete all the questions on demographics
- 2. Living in the city of Nijmegen. For the purpose of another evaluation study, participants living in the city of Nijmegen were not randomly assigned to one of two intervention conditions, but always assigned to the experimental intervention.

Date of first enrolment 01/11/2006

Date of final enrolment 14/02/2007

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

Study participating centre
Antomnie van leeuwenhoeklaan 9
Bilthoven
Netherlands
3721 MA

Sponsor information

Organisation

Maastricht University (UK)

Sponsor details

Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience PO Box 616 Maastricht Netherlands 6200 MD

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

http://www.maastrichtuniversity.nl

ROR

https://ror.org/02jz4aj89

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

Maastricht University (Netherlands)

Alternative Name(s)

Maastricht University, UM

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

Location

Netherlands

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Other publications		08/07/2008		Yes	No