

# Effect of patient's position on the results of urodynamic investigation

**Submission date**  
30/09/2005

**Recruitment status**  
No longer recruiting

☐ Prospectively registered

☐ Protocol

**Registration date**  
30/09/2005

**Overall study status**  
Completed

☐ Statistical analysis plan

☒ Results

**Last Edited**  
28/06/2010

**Condition category**  
Urological and Genital Diseases

☐ Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Ami Shukla

**Contact details**  
Clinical Fellow Urogynaecology  
Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust  
Billing Road  
Northampton  
United Kingdom  
NN1 5BD  
+44 (0)1604 634700  
amishukla@hotmail.com

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
N0162147172

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

**Study objectives**

The research question is based on the null hypothesis, that the supine or sitting position of the patient does not make any difference to the results of urodynamic investigation.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Approved by the local research ethics committee

**Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Other

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Urinary incontinence

**Interventions**

Randomised, cross-over study where each participant will serve as their own control by being randomised into one of two groups.

1. Group 1 will have the urodynamics performed first in a lying position followed by a sitting position
2. Group 2 will have the urodynamic performed first in a sitting position followed by a lying position

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome(s)**

1. Whether the position makes any difference in the results of the urodynamic study
2. Whether the women find one position better/more comfortable/less embarrassing over the other, or is there no preference from the women's perspective

**Key secondary outcome(s))**

Not provided at time of registration

**Completion date**

30/11/2004

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

All women referred for urodynamic studies for incontinence to the urogynae department. 50 volunteers are required for the study, aged at least 18 years.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

Female

**Key exclusion criteria**

Does not match inclusion criteria

**Date of first enrolment**

01/06/2004

**Date of final enrolment**

30/11/2004

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

Clinical Fellow Urogynaecology

Northampton

United Kingdom

NN1 5BD

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Department of Health

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

Northampton General Hospital NHS Trust (UK) - NHS R&D Support Funding

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/05/2006		Yes	No