# Multi-centre evaluation of the role of chest pain units in the NHS

| <b>Submission date</b> 09/09/2005 | Recruitment status No longer recruiting         | <ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul> |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|                                   |   | ☐ Protocol                                 |  |  |
| Registration date 02/11/2005      | Overall study status Completed                  | Statistical analysis plan                  |  |  |
|                                   |   | [X] Results                                |  |  |
| <b>Last Edited</b> 05/02/2016     | <b>Condition category</b><br>Circulatory System | [] Individual participant data             |  |  |

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Chest pain is extremely common and can be caused by a wide range of conditions. If the problem is related to the heart, it is very important to reach a quick and accurate diagnosis to avoid future complications. For this reason, many patients who come into emergency departments with chest pain are admitted to hospital for observation, even if they do not need to be. On the other hand, some patients who do have problems which require urgent treatment may be discharged if a diagnosis cannot be reached using standard tests. The concept of the chest pain observation unit has been developed to address these problems. These units offer a more indepth examination of patients with chest pain in order to determine whether patients need to be admitted or discharged from hospital more accurately. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of CPUs in the emergency care and accurate diagnosis of chest pain patients

#### Who can participate?

Adults who have come into Accident and Emergency (A&E) with chest pain.

#### What does the study involve?

Hospitals are randomly allocated to one of two groups. For hospitals in the first group, a dedicated chest pain observation unit (CPU) is set up. This unit is staffed by three experienced chest pain nurses who have a background in caring for heart patients or emergency medicine. Patients who attend these hospitals with chest pain go into the CPU (on the same day or the following day if out of hours) and receive in-depth tests on their heart function. Patients who are found to have a heart problem are admitted to hospital for further observation. For hospitals in the second group, patients coming into A&E with chest pain are treated in the department in the usual way (at the discretion of the medical staff in that emergency department).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at time of registration.

Where is the study run from?

14 NHS hospitals with an Accident and Emergency (A&E) department (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? July 2015 to July 2017

Who is funding the study? NHS Service Delivery & Organisation National R&D Programme (UK)

Who is the main contact?
Dr Steve Goodacre
s.goodacre@sheffield.ac.uk

# Contact information

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Steve Goodacre

#### Contact details

Health Services Research ScHARR University of Sheffield Regent Court 30 Regent Street Sheffield United Kingdom S1 4DA +44 (0)114 222 0842 s.goodacre@sheffield.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number SDO/2002/41

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of a chest pain observation unit compared with routine care

#### **Acronym**

ESCAPE (Effectiveness and Safety of Chest pain Assessment to Prevent Emergency admissions)

#### **Study objectives**

To evaluate whether Chest Pain Units (CPUs) provide a system of care for emergency patients with acute chest pain that is effective, acceptable, and cost-effective, compared to routine emergency care.

## Ethics approval required

#### Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

#### Study design

Multi-centre randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Acute chest pain

#### **Interventions**

- 1. Fourteen acute NHS hospitals will be selected and randomised so that seven implement CPU care and seven continue to provide routine care
- 2. Postal questionnaire survey to random sample of 400 patients attending A&E with chest pain over 2 years
- 3. Face-to-face interviews carried out with random sample of patients who have attended A&E with acute chest pain

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome(s)

Proportion of patients admitted to hospital in 2 years.

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

No secondary outcome measures

#### Completion date

30/11/2006

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Participants will be eligible if they attend Accident and Emergency (A&E) department with a presenting complaint of chest pain or a similar pre-specified term (such as angina or heart attack).

## Participant type(s)

Patient

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

All

#### Key exclusion criteria

Routine data will be collected for all patients. Potential participants will not be randomly selected for postal questionnaire survey if they are identified as having died by 30 days after attendance.

#### Date of first enrolment

01/12/2003

#### Date of final enrolment

30/11/2006

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

## Study participating centre Health Services Research

Sheffield United Kingdom S1 4DA

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

NHS Service Delivery and Organisation Programme (SDO) (UK)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/02wnqcb97

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

#### Funder Name

NHS Service Delivery & Organisation National R&D Programme (UK) (ref: SDO/2002/41)

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# **Study outputs**

| Output type     | Details       | Date created | Date added | Peer reviewed? | Patient-facing? |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Results article | results       | 31/01/2004   |            | Yes            | No              |
| Results article | results       | 01/08/2004   |            | Yes            | No              |
| Results article | results       | 29/09/2007   |            | Yes            | No              |
| Results article | results       | 01/10/2010   |            | Yes            | No              |
| Study website   | Study website | 11/11/2025   | 11/11/2025 | No             | Yes             |