# Study to examine the effects of different styles of consent documentation on parental understanding and recruitment of babies in neonatal research

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
16/04/2007		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
16/07/2007	Completed	[X] Results		
<b>Last Edited</b> 09/10/2014	Condition category Neonatal Diseases	[] Individual participant data		

# Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Neil McIntosh

#### Contact details

Neonatal Unit Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh 51 Little France Crescent Old Dalkeith Road Edinburgh United Kingdom EH16 4SA neil.mcintosh@ed.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

2001/R/NE/11

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

### **Study objectives**

When parents of newborn infants are approached for consent to enter their baby in a research trial, shorter and less complex documents are more effective in terms of:

- 1. Parental understanding of the important details of the research and implications for their baby, and
- 2. Recruitment of infants to the research study

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Lothian Research Ethics Committee, 01/02/2002, ref: LREC//2001/6/54

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

Other

### Participant information sheet

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Neonatal research

#### Interventions

Two consent forms and information sheets, used in:

A. United States of America, and

B. Edinburgh

For the NEOPAIN Multicentre Trial will be tested. The NEOPAIN Trial was an international, randomised, double blind, placebo-controlled trial of routine morphine infusion versus placebo in ventilated preterm infants. The primary outcomes were published in the Lancet in 2004.

Parents will be asked to imagine that their infant was eligible for the study and will be randomised to one of the two forms (A or B), with or without a verbal explanation about the study. After reading the study documentation, they will answer a questionnaire to investigate their understanding, satisfaction and willingness to enrol their infant in the hypothetical study.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome measure

Parental understanding of the important points of the research study.

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Parental satisfaction with information given
- 2. Parental willingness to allow their baby to participate in research on the basis of the information given

#### Overall study start date

30/11/2001

#### Completion date

31/07/2002

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Parents of infants admitted to the Neonatal Unit within the first 72 hours of life, but not expected to require ventilation.

# Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

Both

# Target number of participants

40

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Parents of infants requiring ventilation
- 2. Parents who are unable to read or speak English

#### Date of first enrolment

30/11/2001

#### Date of final enrolment

31/07/2002

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Scotland

**United Kingdom** 

# Study participating centre

Neonatal Unit

Edinburgh United Kingdom EH16 4SA

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh (UK)

## Sponsor details

Neonatal Unit 51 Little France Crescent Old Dalkeith Road Edinburgh Scotland United Kingdom EH16 4SA

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

http://www.nhslothian.scot.nhs.uk/hospitals/rie.asp

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/009bsy196

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Other

# Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded (Edinburgh)

# **Results and Publications**

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs** 

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Abstract results				No	No