

# Post-stroke rapid assessment of atrial fibrillation

<b>Submission date</b> 11/04/2023	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 16/05/2023	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 16/05/2023	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Practice in the detection of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation differs across the UK. On arrival at a stroke unit, a 12-lead ECG will be performed as well as a physical examination. This will very rarely detect PAF however due to the condition's transient nature and lack of symptoms in an estimated 90% of cases. Longer-term bedside monitoring is recommended but can often be interrupted or stopped prematurely. It also requires manual interpretation which is hard to achieve when clinicians do not have the time to observe monitors over extended periods. It has been widely shown that to a point, the longer monitoring is performed, the higher the chance of PAF detection in the post-stroke population. A regional audit conducted by our team found that the average waiting time for cardiac investigations in the North East is 5 weeks after discharge with a further 9 working days between the wearing of the tape and the generation of the report. In this study, the team are able to monitor patients from the first day of their admission and in a lot of cases rule PAF in or out before they are discharged. The aim of this study is to explore whether monitoring rapidly post-stroke is likely to detect more PAF than current standard practice does.

### Who can participate?

Participants will all be inpatients in the hyperacute stroke unit at the Royal Victoria Infirmary who have had a suspected stroke within the last 48 hours.

### What does the study involve?

After consent has been given for the trial, the study team will randomise the patient into either an active or standard treatment arm. Being assigned to the active arm would mean 7 days of prolonged cardiac monitoring commencing that day. Participants are able to discharge whilst still wearing the device and return to the stroke clinic in 1 week for removal and analysis. If assigned to the standard arm, a referral will be made to cardiology and the participant will receive an appointment for a 24-hour Holter monitor an average of 5 weeks later. After wearing either device the study team will ask participants to complete a short questionnaire detailing their experience.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The study team do not anticipate this device causing any major issues relating to this study.

Participants may benefit from an earlier monitoring period if randomised to the active arm of this study.

Superficial damage and/or irritation to skin is possible when wearing electrodes as the trial device will likely be worn longer than standard telemetry. The study team will regularly assess this and remove it prematurely if the PI feels this is necessary.

The standard 24-hour monitor can detect additional heart rhythm abnormalities whereas the 7-day monitor will exclusively screen for PAF. In 98% of stroke patients, these additional findings would not have any clinical relevance. However, in 1-2% of patients, these findings may need attention from a heart specialist.

Where is the study run from?

Newcastle Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust- Stroke research team, Royal Victoria Infirmary (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

April 2019 to June 2023

Who is funding the study?

1. Bridging Stroke, a branch of Newcastle Hospitals Charity (UK)
2. National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Beth Holland, beth.holland1@nhs.net

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Principal Investigator

### Contact name

Dr Anand Dixit

### Contact details

Stroke Consultant and Chief Investigator  
Ward 42, Level 4 Leazes Wing  
Royal Victoria Infirmary  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
United Kingdom  
NE1 4LP  
+44 (0)191 2820317  
nuth.strokeresearchr@nhs.net

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mrs Michelle Fawcett

### Contact details

Lead research nurse, Room 2047  
Stroke Research, Level 4 Leazes Wing  
Royal Victoria Infirmary

Newcastle Upon Tyne  
United Kingdom  
NE1 4LP  
+44 (0)191 2821689  
Michelle.fawcett6@nhs.net

## Additional identifiers

### EudraCT/CTIS number

Nil known

### IRAS number

286020

### ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

### Secondary identifying numbers

CPMS 47581, IRAS 286020

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Post-stroke rapid assessment of cardiac arrhythmia evaluation - The Pace Study

### Acronym

PACE

### Study objectives

How does rapid prolonged in-house cardiac monitoring compare to the current standard care?

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Approved 10/02/2021, North East - Newcastle & North Tyneside 2 Research Ethics Committee (NHS BT Blood Donor Centre, Holland Drive, Newcastle upon Tyne, Tyne and Wear, NE2 4NQ, UK; +44 (0)207 104 8079; newcastlenorthtyneside2.rec@hra.nhs.uk), ref: 20/NE/0264

### Study design

Randomized interventional study

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Study setting(s)

Home, Hospital, Medical and other records, Telephone

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Stroke, cerebrovascular diseases

## **Interventions**

The trial will follow a 1:1 randomisation of 150 patients.

The study team have chosen a randomised controlled trial to best compare two groups and avoid any intentional or unintentional bias as best they can.

Most of this trial will take place in the few days after the participant has been admitted for a stroke. While the participant is an inpatient in the stroke ward, the study team will explain the trial, issue an information sheet, and ask them to sign a consent form if clinicians think they would be suitable candidates.

The study team are unable to run a blinded trial in this instance as the devices and pathway are significantly different to one another, however, the intervention is not susceptible to the placebo effect.

The study team will endeavour to offer every control arm patient the new monitoring pathway after their participation in the trial has ended (if PAF is still suspected as an underlying cause for their stroke) 50% of participants will be randomised into the control arm. Patients in this arm will still receive all the standard care that they would normally. A placebo arm would not be advisable or necessary as the study team are comparing two methods of the same investigation rather than two contrasting investigations. Monitoring in both arms is performed via the same medium- electrical activity of the heart.

If allocated to the active arm, a cardiac monitor will be fitted as soon as appropriate by the research team. In most cases, this will be within 48 hours of admission.

The data this monitor collects will be analysed every 24 hours for a maximum of 7 days. If after 24 hours it reports that no Paroxysmal Atrial fibrillation is detected or an increased risk of PAF is detected, the monitor will be returned to the patient for another period of 24 hours at least. This daily analysis is a simple procedure as the device can be detached from the electrodes and the rest of the electrodes and wiring will remain fitted to the patient. If after 24 hours PAF has been detected, the device will be removed, and the appropriate course of action will then be taken. This ends the participation in the trial.

This trial does not dictate what the clinician must do if PAF is detected- this will fall to the judgement of the clinician and be governed by national guidance on anticoagulation for suspected stroke or TIA. It is likely that the participants in the trial will be discharged to their homes before 7 days of monitoring is complete, particularly in milder cases. In this instance, if no PAF has already been detected, the study team will ensure the device is securely attached and plan for them to return once a full 7 days or 168 hrs is complete. As the battery only lasts for 7 days it does not matter if the return visit is slightly delayed. No data will be collected post 7 days meaning no patient will have an unfair advantage in this sense. At the return visit (if this is needed) the study team will analyse the home data and go through questions on the tolerability of the device and if any problems were encountered. Transport costs will be covered by the trial. The trial portion of this visit should take no longer than 30 minutes.

This will end the patient's participation in the trial and any clinical decision based on the report will be left to the discretion of the P.I and colleagues from a standard care viewpoint. It is likely

that a discussion regarding anticoagulation options will take place and the study team foresee medication being prescribed at this visit. At 6 weeks post-discharge, the study team will collect data from every standard arm participant's cardiac appointment and conduct a brief telephone follow-up call. Data points will include the date of the tape, the results of monitoring and how long they waited for the appointment. It is possible that some patients will not have had the tape fitted by this point. If this is the case, they will be recorded by default as 'no PAF detected' and it will be noted that no investigation was made available during this window. From a clinical standpoint, a wait of over 6 weeks is unacceptable and the proportion of trial patients who must wait longer than this will be an important data point.

Due to this being a small pilot study, the study team do not currently plan on conducting interim analyses. The study team will however review safety data regularly and make the appropriate intervention if necessary.

### **Intervention Type**

Device

### **Pharmaceutical study type(s)**

Not Applicable

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Bittium Faros 360 3-Channel ECG for Cardiac Monitoring

### **Primary outcome measure**

The following primary outcome measures are assessed using patient medical records at 6 weeks post-discharge:

1. The number of cases of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (PAF) detected using a revised pathway for cardiac monitoring of rapid fitting and longer-term monitoring as compared with the standard methods
2. The number of patients who commenced long-term anticoagulation as a result of detected PAF based on 24-hour recording versus the active arm

### **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Number of patients with PAF lasting more than 30 seconds will be compared in both arms measured using patient medical records at 6 weeks post-discharge
2. The time taken (in hours) from stroke onset to PAF detection in those with positive reports in both arms measured using patient medical records at 6 weeks post-discharge
3. Number of visits, workforce burden and associated costs with each pathway will be compared as a whole measured using patient medical records at one timepoint. The number of visits will be counted and recorded. The length of each visit (minutes) will also be recorded. Additional investigations and the number of these performed at each visit will be recorded. These three factors are added to determine workforce burden and costs according to the NHS national tariff.
4. Projected number of strokes prevented based upon the additional number of patients receiving long-term anticoagulation following PAF detection in both arms measured using patient medical records at one timepoint. To work with health economics to determine this using the latest research on AF prevalence combined with national tariff info. The number of patients receiving long-term anticoagulation will be recorded in each group. Comorbidities, age, and stroke risk factor score CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc Score) are all taken into account.

**Overall study start date**

01/04/2019

**Completion date**

01/06/2023

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Suspected acute ischaemic stroke
2. Suitable for monitoring and anticoagulation
3. Capacity to consent or availability of legal representative

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

Planned Sample Size: 150; UK Sample Size: 150

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Known AF or admission ECG detects new AF or PAF.
2. Imaging of the brain (CT or MRI) confirming non-ischaemic stroke
3. Currently anticoagulated or has alternative indications for long-term anticoagulation
4. Contraindication to use of long-term anticoagulants
5. Patient unable to return the cardiac monitor to the RVI
6. Use of previous Implantable Loop Recorder for detection of PAF within the last 6 months
7. Dermatological condition or allergy prohibiting use of electrodes/skin adhesives
8. Known pacemaker or other devices that may contraindicate the use of monitoring device
9. Patient and/or relative unable to communicate sufficiently in English where no appropriate translator is available.

**Secondary check at 14 hours:**

1. Patient now for palliation
2. Alternative non-cardioembolic cause for stroke confirmed
3. On review patient was identified as non-stroke

**Date of first enrolment**

08/04/2021

**Date of final enrolment**

31/10/2022

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

Freeman Hospital

Freeman Road

High Heaton

Newcastle upon Tyne

United Kingdom

NE7 7DN

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

**Sponsor details**

Level 1

Regent Point

Regent Farm Road

Newcastle upon Tyne

England

United Kingdom

NE7 7DN

+44 (0)1912824461

sean.scott@nhs.net

**Sponsor type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Website**

<http://www.newcastle-hospitals.org.uk/>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/05p40t847>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

National Institute for Health and Care Research

**Alternative Name(s)**

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

National government

**Location**

United Kingdom

**Funder Name**

Bridging Stroke, a branch of Newcastle Hospitals Charity

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Planned publication in a high-impact peer-reviewed journal

**Intention to publish date**

31/12/2023

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Mrs Michelle Fawcett, Michelle.fawcett6@nhs.net. De-identified quantitative patient data points that are relevant for external users will be shared beginning 3 months and ending 36 months post-publication. Consent is not explicitly asked for data sharing outside the trust in the consent form. The PIS makes it clear that the study team will share results in the publication however this will all be de-identified. No qualitative information e.g. free text answers to interview questions will be shared with other researchers. De-identification is performed on randomisation. Only members of the Newcastle Hospitals Stroke team have access to the personal information of participants. Participants are given a unique study ID that they cannot be identified from outside of the trial. The team cannot extrapolate the results to the use of other similar cardiac devices. Only the Bittium Faros with adjunct Apoplex software was tested.

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
-------------	---------	--------------	------------	----------------	-----------------



