

Classical versus Modern Local Wound Care in Surgical Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Submission date 04/01/2006	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 05/01/2006	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 11/01/2021	Condition category Skin and Connective Tissue Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr M.J. Lubbers

Contact details
Academic Medical Center (AMC), Department of Surgery
Meibergdreef 9
Amsterdam
Netherlands
1105 AZ
+31 (0)20 5662470
m.j.lubbers@amc.uva.nl

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
IBC15010, NL492, NTR534

Study information

Scientific Title

Classical versus Modern Local Wound Care in Surgical Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Acronym

MOKUM trial

Study objectives

Occlusive, non-gauze based wound dressings are more effective (as to time to complete wound healing, pain during dressing changes, and costs) than non-occlusive, gauze-based dressings for local wound care.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Wound Care

Interventions

Occlusive wound dressing materials versus gauze dressings

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Time to complete wound healing

Secondary outcome measures

1. Pain during dressing changes
2. Material and nursing costs of dressing changes
3. Duration of hospitalisation
4. Adverse effects of dressings

Overall study start date

23/04/2004

Completion date

14/09/2005

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Adult patients admitted to the departments of surgery with open wounds requiring local wound care
2. Written informed consent

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

285

Total final enrolment

285

Key exclusion criteria

1. Burn and malignant wounds
2. Surgically closed wounds
3. Wounds treated with vacuum assisted closure (VAC) devices
4. Ostomies or drain openings
5. Pin holes from external fixation materials
6. Patients receiving chemotherapy or local irradiation therapy
7. Patients with a life expectancy <6 months

Date of first enrolment

23/04/2004

Date of final enrolment

14/09/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

Study participating centre

Academic Medical Center (AMC), Department of Surgery

Amsterdam

Netherlands

1105 AZ

Sponsor information

Organisation

Academic Medical Center (AMC), Department of Surgery (The Netherlands)

Sponsor details

Postbus 22660

Amsterdam

Netherlands

1105 AZ

Sponsor type

University/education

ROR

<https://ror.org/03t4gr691>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

M, Smith&Nephew, Johnson & Johnson, Convatec

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/10/2008	11/01/2021	Yes	No