# Sheffield physical activity booster trial

Submission date 12/02/2009	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	[X] Prospect [X] Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 16/02/2009	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	[_] Statistica [X] Results
Last Edited 11/05/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Other	[_] Individua

#### tively registered

- J
- al analysis plan
- al participant data

#### Plain English summary of protocol

#### Background and study aims

Adults who increase their physical activity can improve their health and reduce future risks to health, but long-term changes are difficult to sustain. This study assesses whether it is worth providing further support, 3 months after giving initial advice, to those who have managed to do more physical activity. The research will be carried out in 20 of the most deprived neighbourhoods in Sheffield. These locations have large, ethnically diverse populations, high levels of economic deprivation, low levels of physical activity, poorer health and shorter life expectancy. Participants will be recruited through general practices and community groups, as well as by postal invitation to ensure the participation of minority ethnic groups and those with lower levels of literacy.

#### Who can participate?

Residents of the 20 most deprived neighbourhoods in the city of Sheffield, aged 40 to 64, who are not achieving the current recommended activity level.

#### What does the study involve?

All participants are initially given an interactive DVD. A researcher contacts them twice at one month intervals to assess their physical activity levels. Only those who have increased their physical activity at this point remain in the study. These participants are randomly allocated to receive either a "mini booster", a "full booster" or no booster. The "mini booster" consists of a two telephone calls one month apart to discuss physical activity and usage of the DVD. A "full booster" consists of a face-to-face meeting with the facilitator at the same intervals. The purpose of these booster sessions is to help participants to maintain their increase in physical activity. After 3 and 9 months we measure the differences in physical activity, quality of life and costs associated with the booster interventions.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at time of registration

Where is the study run from? University of Sheffield (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? April 2009 to March 2013

Who is funding the study? Health Technology Assessment Programme (UK)

Who is the main contact? Dr Elizabeth Goyder e.goyder@sheffield.ac.uk

## **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Dr Elizabeth Goyder

**Contact details** University of Sheffield Regent Court 30 Regent St Sheffield United Kingdom S1 4DA e.goyder@sheffield.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number NCT00836459

Secondary identifying numbers HTA 07/25/02; 120243

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial and cost-effectiveness evaluation of "booster" interventions to sustain increases in physical activity in middle-aged adults in deprived urban neighbourhoods

Acronym Booster Study

#### Study objectives

This study assesses whether it is worth providing further support, 3 months after giving initial advice, to those who have managed to do more physical activity.

Further details can be found at: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/hta/072502 Protocol can be found at: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0005/51746/PRO-07-25-02.pdf

**Ethics approval required** Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)** North Sheffield Local Research Ethics Committee, approval pending as of 12/02/2009

**Study design** Open-label parallel-group randomised controlled single-centre trial, with a feasibility study

**Primary study design** Interventional

**Secondary study design** Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)** Other

Study type(s)

Quality of life

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Physical activity levels in middle-aged adults

#### Interventions

The participants will be individually randomised to the following three arms: 1. Mini Booster: Two telephone-based physical activity consultations, delivered in a motivational interviewing style, at one month and two months from randomisation. Duration of treatment 2

months, duration of follow-up 9 months. 2. Full Booster: Two face-to-face physical activity consultations, delivered in a motivational interviewing style, at one month and two months from randomisation. Duration of treatment 2 months, duration of follow-up 9 months.

3. Control: No Intervention. Duration of follow-up is 9 months.

Intervention Type

Other

**Phase** Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Physical activity measured by 7-day accelerometric assessment (recoded as counts per week) at 3 months post-randomisation.

#### Secondary outcome measures

1. Physical activity measured by 7-day accelerometric assessment (recoded as counts per week) at 9 months post-randomisation

2. Self-reported moderate or strenuous physical activity using the SSPAQ (incorporating Stage of Change information) which records type and duration of activities in the previous week (at 3 and 9 months post randomisation)

3. Health-related quality of life using the Sheffield Version SF-12v2 plus 4 survey instrument (at 3 and 9 months post randomisation)

4. Self-reported use of community facilities for physical activity (at 3 and 9 months post randomisation)

5. Self-reported health and social care contacts (at 3 and 9 months post randomisation)

6. Psychological measures of motivation, intentions, attitudes, beliefs, social influences and selfefficacy towards physical activity, measured using the Theory of Planned Behaviour. Exercise stages of change, and self-determination will be assessed using Behavioural Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire (BREQ-2) (at 3 and 9 months post randomisation)

#### Overall study start date

01/04/2009

#### **Completion date**

31/03/2013

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

1. Residents of the 20 most deprived neighbourhoods in the city of Sheffield

2. Both males and females, aged 40 to 64 years

3. Not achieving the current recommended activity level (30 mins of moderate activity on at least 5 days) assessed using the Scottish Physical Activity Questionnaire (SPAQ) and wishing to have support to become more active

#### Participant type(s)

Other

#### Age group

Adult

**Sex** Both

**Target number of participants** 600

Key exclusion criteria

 Have increased their physical activity level by at least 30 mins of moderate or vigorous activity per week (assessed using the SPAQ) since initial assessment of activity level
Capacity to give written informed consent to trial participation

Date of first enrolment 01/04/2009

Date of final enrolment 31/03/2013

### Locations

**Countries of recruitment** England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre University of Sheffield** Sheffield United Kingdom S1 4DA

### Sponsor information

**Organisation** University of Sheffield (UK)

#### Sponsor details

Research Office New Spring House 231 Glossop Road Sheffield England United Kingdom S10 2GW +44 (0)114 222 1469 r.j.hudson@sheffield.ac.uk

**Sponsor type** University/education

Website http://www.shef.ac.uk ROR https://ror.org/05krs5044

# Funder(s)

**Funder type** Government

**Funder Name** Health Technology Assessment Programme

Alternative Name(s) NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, HTA

**Funding Body Type** Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype National government

**Location** United Kingdom

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan** Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Protocol article</u>	protocol	04/01/2010		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/02/2014		Yes	No
Results article	results	24/02/2016		Yes	No