

Prospective randomised trial of stented versus stentless bioprosthesis for aortic valve replacement (AVR)

Submission date 16/05/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 08/07/2005	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 04/10/2007	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mr Steven Tsui

Contact details

Surgical Unit
Papworth Hospital NHS Trust
Papworth Everard
United Kingdom
CB3 8RE

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

P00715

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

To find out which prosthetic valves represent the better option for patients requiring AVR.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Aortic valve replacement

Interventions

Prima Plus (Edwards Lifesciences) stentless bioprosthesis or the Carpentier-Edwards Perimount stented bioprosthesis (Edwards Lifesciences).

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Post-operative pressure gradient across the prosthetic valve and effective valve orifice area.

Secondary outcome measures

No secondary outcome measures

Overall study start date

01/03/2001

Completion date

05/07/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria:

1. Patients who require elective AVR with a tissue valve for symptomatic aortic valve stenosis (peak valve gradient equal to or greater than 50 mmHg as measured by echocardiography), with or without concomitant artery bypass grafting
2. Patients = 65 years of age at the time of surgery

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Senior

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

240

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients who are already enrolled in another major cardiovascular trial
2. AVR performed primarily for aortic valve regurgitation
3. Active aortic valve infection
4. Active malignant disease
5. Renal failure requiring dialysis
6. Any previous cardiac surgery
7. The need for additional cardiac procedures other than coronary artery bypass grafting
8. Emergency operations
9. Patients unable to give informed consent

Date of first enrolment

01/03/2001

Date of final enrolment

05/07/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre**Surgical Unit**

Papworth Everard

United Kingdom

CB3 8RE

Sponsor information

Organisation

Papworth Hospital NHS Trust (UK)

Sponsor details

Surgical Unit

Papworth Everard

England

United Kingdom

CB3 8RE

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

ROR

<https://ror.org/01qbebb31>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

Edwards LifeSciences Ltd (UK) - Industrial grant

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	Results	01/06/2007		Yes	No