

# A randomised, controlled trial of a community-based perinatal intervention for postnatal depression in India

<b>Submission date</b> 06/11/2002	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 06/11/2002	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 17/02/2015	<b>Condition category</b> Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims.

Research has identified that significant numbers of women in South Asia can experience postnatal (postpartum) depression. Various effective interventions have been described for women with postnatal depression in high income countries, but at the time of starting this study, no effective intervention had been described for postnatal depression in South Asian women. We aimed to develop a low-cost intervention, based on the evidence-based treatment of 'listening visits' offered by Health Visitors in the UK. We adapted the listening visit intervention to allow it to be provided by experienced mothers who did not have any clinical qualification. We aimed to test the effectiveness of lay psychological intervention. We recruited pregnant women at high risk for postnatal depression, and our hypothesis was that women receiving the intervention would be less likely to develop postnatal depression than women receiving usual care.

### Who can participate?

The study was based in Goa, India. We identified a community sample of women in the final months of pregnancy, and we used known risk factors for postnatal depression in Goa to identify women at high risk of developing postnatal depression. Only high-risk women were recruited into the study.

### What does the study involve?

The active intervention was a package of 5-6 listening visits, delivered by a lay health worker. Participants in the control group received usual care from local health services.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

All participants in the study were screened for symptoms of depression. Any women with worrying levels of depression during the study were referred to an independent local psychiatrist for a free consultation to discuss treatment options. The same applied to women who were identified as having suicidal thoughts. There are no known side effects of the psychological intervention.

Where is the study run from?

The study was based in a community district of Goa, India. The local research base was the Sangath Centre, a non-governmental organisation providing clinical services to the local population, as well as being the centre for several community health and mental health research projects. The associated UK academic base of the researchers is the Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study ran from 2002 to 2003. We ran a pilot study from 2001 to 2002.

Who is funding the study?

The Wellcome Trust (UK).

Who is the main contact?

Dr Marcus Hughes, research fellow (2001-2004)

mhbina@virginmedia.com

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Martin Prince

### Contact details

Head of Section of Epidemiology

PO Box 60

Institute of Psychiatry

De Crespigny Park

London

United Kingdom

SE5 8AF

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

060174

## Study information

### Scientific Title

A randomised, controlled trial of a community-based perinatal intervention for postnatal depression in India

**Acronym**

SMIPS (Supporting Mothers in Pregnancy Study)

**Study objectives**

The primary objective is to determine whether a brief perinatal intervention can reduce the prevalence of postnatal depression at three months postpartum, in a group of women identified as being at high risk for the condition, compared to a control group of high risk women. Risk status will be determined using data from an earlier cohort study.

The secondary objectives are:

1. To determine whether the growth and developmental outcome for the infants of women receiving the intervention is improved compared to the infants of women in the control group
2. To determine whether the prevalence of chronic depression, at six months postpartum, is reduced by the same intervention
3. To determine whether levels of maternal disability and health care usage are influenced by the intervention
4. To examine the effect of putative socio-demographic risk factors on response to the intervention
5. To identify the relationship between outcome (both maternal and infant) and adherence to the intervention

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Not specified

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet****Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Postnatal depression; child development

**Interventions**

A home-based, perinatal psycho-social intervention is given to a random half of the participants. It is based on 'listening visits' used for postnatal depression by UK health visitors, culturally

adapted and piloted in Goa. One specific aspect of the intervention is a component to challenge male-child preference, and the psychological pressure that exists for some women to bear a male heir. This pressure has been shown in a recently published cohort study from Goa to be an important risk factor for postnatal depression. The intervention is delivered over five or six sessions (two antenatal, three to four postnatal).

The control group receives usual care from local medical and obstetric services.

The trial was conducted in collaboration with Sangath (<http://www.Sangath.com>), a non-governmental organisation, conducting research and providing mental health services to children and families in Goa, India.

## **Intervention Type**

Other

## **Phase**

Not Specified

## **Primary outcome measure**

1. Depression at three and six months postnatal (using Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale)
2. Primary infant outcome: mental development score on the Developmental Assessment Schedules for Indian Infants (DASII), measured at six months postnatal

## **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Maternal depression according to International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) criteria (Revised Clinical Interview Schedule [CIS-R]), measured at three and six months postnatal
2. Disability (WHO Disability Assessment Schedule, version II [WHO-DAS II]), measured at three and six months postnatal
3. Costs of healthcare (Modified Client Services Receipt Inventory [CSRI]), measured at three and six months postnatal
4. Infant growth, measured at three and six months postnatal
5. Maternal-infant interaction (Global Rating Scale of maternal-infant interaction [GRS]), measured at three months postnatal

## **Overall study start date**

01/08/2002

## **Completion date**

30/06/2004

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Pregnant women, between 26 and 34 weeks gestation at recruitment
2. At high risk of postnatal depression. A pre-recruitment screening interview is used to identify high risk women, based on:
  - 2.1. Presence of psychological symptoms
  - 2.2. Reporting the current pregnancy to be unplanned, and
  - 2.3. Being vulnerable to excessive pressure to bear a male child (see interventions)

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Female

**Target number of participants**

422

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Women who did not speak the study language (Konkani)
2. Women who planned to move away from the project area during the period of the study
3. Women with a health problem of such seriousness that it would prevent them from participating in the study

**Date of first enrolment**

01/08/2002

**Date of final enrolment**

01/09/2003

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

England

India

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**Institute of Psychiatry**

London

United Kingdom

SE5 8AF

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

King's College London (UK)

**Sponsor details**

Institute of Psychiatry  
De Crespigny Park  
London  
England  
United Kingdom  
SE5 8AF  
-  
G.Dale@iop.kcl.ac.uk

**Sponsor type**  
University/education

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/0220mzb33>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**  
Charity

**Funder Name**  
Wellcome Trust

**Alternative Name(s)**

**Funding Body Type**  
Private sector organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**  
International organizations

**Location**  
United Kingdom

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**  
Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	03/04/2004		Yes	No