# Patient isolation strategies for extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) carriers in medical and surgical hospital wards

Submission date 24/01/2014	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<ul> <li>Prospectively registered</li> <li>Protocol</li> </ul>
<b>Registration date</b> 06/02/2014	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<ul> <li>[] Statistical analysis plan</li> <li>[X] Results</li> </ul>
Last Edited 14/03/2023	<b>Condition category</b> Infections and Infestations	Individual participant data

#### Plain English summary of protocol

#### Background and study aims

About 30% of all healthcare-associated infections are caused by bacteria called Enterobacteriacae. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producers are a group of Enterobacteriaceae which are resistant to a number of antibiotics. The rapidly increasing prevalence of ESBL-producers in Europe is a cause for concern. To isolate or not to isolate patients with ESBL-producing Enterobacteriacae is currently one of the most controversial questions in the field of infection control (IC). Isolation measures may be associated with increased costs and side effects for the patient such as depression and reduced contact with attending physicians. Standard precautions (SP) may be as effective for limiting the spread of ESBL, if the hand hygiene procedures are followed. Adding isolation measures for the rapidly increasing number of patients colonized with ESBL should be more evidence based. The aim of this study is to determine the additional effects of isolation measures compared to SP on the frequency of getting infected by ESBL-producing Enterobacteriacae.

#### Who can participate?

Hospitals with adult medical and surgical wards where ESBL-producing Enterobacteriacae infection rates are high

#### What does the study involve?

The study involves two different infection control strategies (standard precautions [SP] and contact isolation [CI]). All participating hospital wards adopt both strategies for a period of 12 months each with a break of one month between the two study periods, with the order that they adopt the two strategies being randomly allocated. During the SP strategy for all patients hand hygiene is consistent with the World Health Organizations (WHOs) Five Moments recommendations. Clean gloves and gowns/aprons are used for all interactions that involve potentially infectious procedures. This strategy represents the current standard of care and all patients are subjected to this infection control strategy on wards in the SP phase of the trial. During the CI strategy all patients who are known to be infected with ESBL before admission are cared for using CI, preferably in a single room or in a shared room with patients who are infected with the same organism. If isolation in a single room or a shared room with patients infected

with the same organism is impossible, gloves and gowns/aprons are used for all interactions with the patient or the patients environment in a shared room. Hand hygiene is performed according to WHO's Five Moments.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The overall benefit of the study is evidence for hospitals across Europe to use (or not to use) isolation measures for patients colonized with ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Participating wards have the benefit of an intensive supervision by IC professionals in order to have good quality in care. The study involves a minimal risk of harm to patients.

Where is the study run from?

- 1. Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin (Germany)
- 2. Hospital Ramón y Cajal (Spain)
- 3. Hopitaux Universitaires de Geneve (Switzerland)
- 4. Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht (The Netherlands)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2014 to December 2017

Who is funding the study? The study is funded by the European Commission, DG Research

Who is the main contact? 1. Prof. Petra Gastmeier 2. Dr Friederike Maechler

**Study website** http://www.r-gnosis.eu

# **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Prof Petra Gastmeier

#### **Contact details**

Charité-University Medicine Berlin Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine Berlin Germany

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Dr Friederike Maechler

**Contact details** 

Charité-University Medicine Berlin Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine Berlin Germany

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

**IRAS number** 

ClinicalTrials.gov number

**Secondary identifying numbers** FP7-HEALTH-2011-SINGLE STAGE - N°282512

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Resistance of Gram-Negative Organisms: Studying Intervention Strategies: Work Package 5

#### Acronym

**R-GNOSIS WP5** 

#### **Study objectives**

If all ESBL-carriers are identified, contact isolation will not reduce ESBL incidence densities of ESBL-acquisition among patients in medical and surgical wards when compared to standard precautions.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

1. Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany, 25/04/2013, ref: EA1/323/12

- 2. University Medical Center Utrecht, Netherlands, 05/09/2013, ref:WAG/om/13/069083
- 3. University of Geneva, Switzerland, 01/10/2013, ref:CER 13-187
- 4. Hospital Ramon y Cajal-SERMAS, Spain, 21/10/2013

#### Study design

Cluster-randomized controlled study with cross-over design

#### **Primary study design** Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Cluster randomised trial

**Study setting(s)** Hospital

#### Study type(s)

Prevention

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Nosocomial transmission of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae

#### Interventions

Two different interventions:

1. Contact Isolation (CI) according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) /Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). Management of multidrug-resistant organisms in healthcare settings, 2006.

2. Standard Precautions (SP): Indications and technique for hand hygiene consistent with those recommended by the WHOs 'Five Moments'. Clean gloves and gowns/aprons for all interactions that involve potentially infectious procedures.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome measure

ESBL acquisition rate per 1,000 patient days. This will be measured at the end of each intervention period. Isolates will be analysed microbiologically and acquisition of ESBL-carriage will be defined as recovery of ESBL isolates from clinical and/or screening specimens >3 days after hospital admission (admission day = day 1) from patients with negative admission screening results.

#### Secondary outcome measures

1. New events of nosocomial ESBL infections: New events of nosocomial ESBL infections will be obtained by a continuous surveillance on the participating wards according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definitions for HAI.

2. Episodes of hand hygiene and use of gloves and gowns/aprons by healthcare workers during patient care: will be assessed in all participating wards for both intervention periods by novel electronic counting devices integrated into alcoholic handrub dispensers as well as by direct observations performed by trained monitors using a standardized observation procedure in months 3, 9, 16 and 22.

3. The antibiotic use of the wards will be collected monthly, preferably in defined daily dose (DDD) per 1000 patient-days.

4. Alcohol-based handrub consumption: Data of total handrub consumption on the ward will be obtained by the end of each intervention period.

**Overall study start date** 06/01/2014

**Completion date** 

31/12/2017

# Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria** Peripheral medical and surgical wards

**Participant type(s)** Patient

#### Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

20 wards in Europe (Germany, The Netherlands, Spain and Switzerland) with approximately 40,000 patients

# **Total final enrolment** 38357

#### Key exclusion criteria

1. Haematological wards

- 2. Oncologic wards
- 3. Transplant wards
- 4. Paediatric wards

**Date of first enrolment** 01/02/2014

Date of final enrolment 01/08/2016

# Locations

#### **Countries of recruitment** Germany

Netherlands

Spain

Switzerland

Study participating centre

**Charité -Universitätsmedizin Berlin** Berlin Germany 12203

**Study participating centre Hospital Ramón y Cajal** Spain

**Study participating centre Hopitaux Universitaires de Geneve** Switzerland

**Study participating centre Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht** Netherlands

### Sponsor information

**Organisation** University Medicine Berlin (Germany)

**Sponsor details** Institute of Hygiene, Charité Hindenburgdamm 27 Berlin Germany 12203

**Sponsor type** University/education

ROR https://ror.org/001w7jn25

# Funder(s)

**Funder type** Government

**Funder Name** European Commission, DG Research

## **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer reviewed journal.

Intention to publish date

31/12/2018

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date.

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/05/2020	10/08/2020	Yes	No
Other publications	Post hoc subgroup analysis	13/03/2023	14/03/2023	Yes	No