

# What is the effect of alternative graphical displays used to present the benefits of antibiotics for sore throat on decisions about whether to use them?

<b>Submission date</b> 09/03/2007	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 04/05/2007	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 02/09/2009	<b>Condition category</b> Ear, Nose and Throat	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Ms Cheryl Carling

### Contact details

PO box 7004  
St Olavs Plass  
Oslo  
Norway  
N-0130  
+47 98627327  
cheryl.carling@kunnskapssenteret.no

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

## Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

# Study information

## Scientific Title

## Acronym

HIPPO (Health Information Project, Presentation Online)

## Study objectives

The null hypotheses for this study are that in terms of congruence between peoples' values and treatment decisions there is no difference between the following graphical displays used to present the benefits of antibiotics for sore throat:

1. Faces at 3 days and Bar graphs at 3 days
2. Bar graphs of Duration of symptoms and Bar graphs at 3 days, and
3. Bar graphs at 0, 3 and 7 days and Bar graphs at 3 days.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

The University at Buffalo (New York, USA), Health Sciences Institutional Review Board, approved on 15 May 2002.

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Not specified

## Study type(s)

Not Specified

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Sore throat (hypothetical scenario)

## Interventions

Presentations of graphical displays showing evidence of penicillin's effect on sore throat.

## Intervention Type

Other

**Phase**

Not Specified

**Primary outcome measure**

Hypothetical treatment decision to take or not to take penicillin.

**Secondary outcome measures**

Understanding of and satisfaction with information, and preferred graphical display.

**Overall study start date**

01/09/2004

**Completion date**

31/10/2004

**Eligibility**

**Key inclusion criteria**

Fluency in Norwegian and at least 18 years of age.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Not Specified

**Target number of participants**

3000

**Key exclusion criteria**

Previous participation in this trial.

**Date of first enrolment**

01/09/2004

**Date of final enrolment**

31/10/2004

**Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Norway

**Study participating centre**

PO box 7004

Oslo

Norway

N-0130

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services (Norway)

**Sponsor details**

PO box 7004

St Olavs Plass

Oslo

Norway

N-0130

+47 (0)23 25 50 00

post@kunnskapssenteret.no

**Sponsor type**

Other

**Website**

<http://www.kunnskapssenteret.no/>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/01thff661>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Research council

**Funder Name**

Norwegian Research Council (Norway)

## **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/08/2009		Yes	No