

# Comparing different types of jet ventilation during endobronchial ultrasound guided transbronchial needle aspiration

<b>Submission date</b> 19/11/2016	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 26/01/2017	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 27/05/2020	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) is a procedure where a long tube (bronchoscope) is used to see inside the airways. Ultrasound is also used to allow doctors to take tissue samples from just outside the lungs. EBUS-TBNA is used to diagnose lung cancer. There are two common ways to access the airways: the traditional way uses a rigid bronchoscope while the newer way involves jet ventilation via a laryngeal mask, which is a less invasive artificial airway device. Until now nobody has investigated if these two types of ventilation for EBUS procedures are comparable. For both types of airway device a general anaesthetic is necessary but for rigid bronchoscopy muscle relaxants have to be used in order to avoid damage to the larynx (voice box) and the pharynx (throat). The use of muscle relaxants can result in a longer recovery time for the patients. The aim of this study is to compare these two types of jet ventilation during EBUS-TBNA.

### Who can participate?

Patients at least 18 years old who are undergoing EBUS-TBNA for lung cancer staging or examination of lymph nodes

### What does the study involve?

Patients are randomly allocated to be jet ventilated during EBUS-TBNA via either a laryngeal mask or a rigid bronchoscope. Treatment time varies and can last from 10 minutes to about 1 hour. The patients' recovery after anesthesia is assessed until they are moved to the normal ward.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Both ventilation methods are well established and no new methods or drugs are tested in this study.

### Where is the study run from?

1. Medical University of Vienna (Austria)
2. Karl Landsteiner Privatuniversität für Gesundheitswissenschaften (Austria)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
October 2016 to November 2018

Who is funding the study?  
Medical University of Vienna (Austria)

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Maria Anwar

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Maria Anwar

**Contact details**  
Währinger Gürtel 18-20  
Vienna  
Austria  
1180

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
1638/2016

## Study information

### Scientific Title

A prospective randomized controlled trial examining infraglottic versus supraglottic superimposed high-frequency jet-ventilation in patients undergoing endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration – a two-center experience

### Study objectives

Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) under general anesthesia with supraglottic Jet-Ventilation using a laryngeal mask airway (LMA) with the Veres Adapter is not inferior to infraglottic Jet-Ventilation with rigid bronchoscopy regarding the outcome parameters.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

1. Ethikkommission der medizinischen Universität Wien, 10/08/2016, ref: 1638/2016
2. Ethikkommission für das Bundesland Niederösterreich, 16/09/2016, ref: GS4-EK-3/125-2016

### Study design

Multicentre prospective randomized trial

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

EBUS-TBNA +/- tumour biopsy

## **Interventions**

Patients are randomised to be ventilated with either:

1. Jet ventilation via a LMA with a jet converter
2. Jet ventilation via a rigid bronchoscope (traditional method)

Treatment time varies and lasts from 10 minutes to about 1 hour. For both treatments the Aldrete score at the Post Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU) is recorded. The observation for the patient ends when they are transferred from the PACU to the normal ward.

## **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Recovery after anesthesia, measured using the Aldrete score every 10 minutes for the first hour after admission to the PACU, every 15 minutes for the second hour, and every 30 minutes for the third hour

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Time from anesthesia start until the end of the procedure
2. Anesthesia recovery time, defined as the time from the end of the procedure with removal of the bronchoscope until removal of the laryngeal mask
3. Diagnostic yield of EBUS-TBNA, defined as the percentage of patients for whom the procedure rendered a specific diagnosis
4. Device-related complications such as incorrect position of the LMA leading to difficulties in ventilation and gastric insufflation, difficulties to insert the rigid bronchoscope and excessive coughing that delays the procedure and requires additional medication
5. Anesthesia-related complications such as hypotension (defined as a drop in systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg requiring intervention such as vasopressors or fluid), hypertension (an increase in mean arterial pressure > 30% from baseline longer than 5 minutes), hypoxemia (oxygen saturation < 90% for more than 1 minute), hypercarbia (PtcCO<sub>2</sub> > 50 mmHg for more than 1 minute) and arrhythmia requiring antiarrhythmic medication
6. Severe EBUS-related complications such as bleeding, pneumothorax and mediastinitis  
Measured throughout admission to the PACU

## **Completion date**

30/11/2018

## **Eligibility**

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients requiring EBUS-TBNA for lung cancer staging or examination of suspect mediastinal or hilar lymph nodes (at least one needle aspiration), puncture of a maximum of four lymph node stations +/- or even tumour biopsy
2. Obtained informed consent
3. At least 18 years old

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

90

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Less than 18 years old
2. Pregnancy
3. Patients allergic to involved anesthetic agents
4. Patients presenting contraindications for the use of either of the two methods
5. Patients with known presence of atypical Pseudocholinesterase
6. Mediastinal mass tumors (larger than 10cm in diameter) which might compress the mediastinal vessels
7. Known severe heart failure (NYHA III+IV)
8. Patient will be transferred to the ICU after the procedure
9. Drug abuse
10. Lack of English or German language skills
11. GCS < 12
12. Severe neurologic disease that hinders postoperative assessment
13. Informed consent not obtained
14. Patients with emergency procedures
15. Patients with infections mediastinitis

**Date of first enrolment**

03/10/2016

**Date of final enrolment**

18/01/2018

**Locations**

## Countries of recruitment

Austria

## Study participating centre

**Medical University of Vienna**

Währinger Gürtel 18-20

Vienna

Austria

1090

## Study participating centre

**Karl Landsteiner Privatuniversität für Gesundheitswissenschaften**

Mitterweg 10

Krems an der Donau

Austria

3500

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

Medical University of Vienna

### ROR

<https://ror.org/05n3x4p02>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

University/education

### Funder Name

Medizinische Universität Wien

### Alternative Name(s)

Medical University of Vienna, MediUni Wien

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

Local government

## Location

Austria

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Patient data will not be published for the reasons of confidence. Only aggregated data will be published.

Data will be collected and stored in order to grant access only to authorized persons.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2020	27/05/2020	Yes	No