Evaluation of the effectiveness of professionally guided self care for consumers

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 23/01/2004 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 23/01/2004 Completed [X] Results [] Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 01/08/2014 Nervous System Diseases

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Lorraine DeSouza

Contact details

Department of Health Studies Brunel University Osterley Campus Borough Road Middlesex United Kingdom TW7 5DU +44 (0)20 8891 0121 x2527 lorraine.desouza@brunel.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number PCD/A2/27

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

The overall aim of the project was to design and evaluate a consumer focused professionally guided self-care programme for a community sample of people with Multiple Sclerosis (MS). The project had 3 stages, each with a different objective.

Stage 1: To obtain the views and priorities of people with MS to inform the design of the self-care programme.

Stage 2: To assess the efficacy of the self-care programme.

Stage 3: To obtain participant feedback in order to inform the refinement of the programme.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Other

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Multiple sclerosis (MS)

Interventions

Stage 2: (Single blind randomised controlled trial). The self-care programme primarily comprised discussion with a health care professional (physiotherapist) of self-care strategies, supported by an information booklet developed for the study and based on priorities identified in Stage 1. The discussion focused on individual's needs rather than on covering all the information in the booklet. Participants were encouraged to change some aspect of their self-care routine, following discussion, although they were not required to do so. The discussion was conducted on 2 occasions, either one-to-one or in a group setting. Sessions lasted between 1 and 2 hours and were organised at times and places which were convenient to each individual.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

- 1. The results from the Delphi survey were the outcome measures in stage 1.
- 2. The outcome measures in stage 2 included the Barthel Index, Functional Mobility Assessment Scale, Cope Scale, SF-36, Standard Day Dependency Record, and the Inventory of Avoidable Complications in MS.
- 3. The outcome measure in stage 3 was the feedback questionnaire.

Key secondary outcome(s))

Not provided at time of registration

Completion date

30/04/1999

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Participants were recruited through MS voluntary organisations. The only selection criterion was that the MS diagnosis was confirmed by GPs. In stage 1 the panel comprised 200 volunteers, living throughout the UK, of whom 136 responded to the survey (68%). In stage 2 the panel comprised 278 volunteers, living in and around London, of whom 183 were randomised and 169 (92%) completed the study. In stage 3, 73 people from the intervention group in stage 2 provided feedback.

The randomised controlled trial was conducted at the Centre for Research in Rehabilitation at Brunel University in London.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

All

Kev exclusion criteria

Does not meet inclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

01/09/1995

Date of final enrolment

30/04/1999

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre Department of Health Studies

Middlesex

Sponsor information

Organisation

Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NHS National Physical and Complex Disabilities Programme (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/02/2000		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/03/2002		Yes	No