Embryo development of fresh versus vitrified metaphase II after intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI): a sibling-oocyte study

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registere		
18/05/2009		[] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	[] Statistical analysis plar		
29/05/2009	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited 21/12/2009	Condition category Pregnancy and Childbirth	[_] Individual participant o		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr Laura Rienzi

Contact details

G.EN.E.R.A. Clinica Valle Giulia Via de Notaris 2B Rome Italv 00197 rienzi@generaroma.it

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers CVG02092008

Prospectively registere	d
-------------------------	---

data

Study information

Scientific Title

Embryo development of fresh versus vitrified metaphase II after intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI): a prospective randomised active-controlled parallel group sibling-oocyte study

Study objectives

Non-inferiority trial in order to evaluate the effectiveness of the oocyte vitrification procedure, effectiveness being defined by fertilisation rate after intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) per warmed oocyte.

Ethics approval required Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Local medical ethics committee (Clinica Valle Giulia, Roma) approved on the 1st September 2008

Study design Randomised active controlled parallel group trial

Primary study design Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s) Hospital

Study type(s) Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Intracytoplasmic sperm injection cases, oocyte vitrification

Interventions

After oocyte denudation, MII oocytes with normal morphology were randomly allocated to fresh ICSI insemination or to vitrification procedure. If pregnancy was not obtained a subsequent ICSI cycle was performed with warmed oocytes of the same cohort. In both groups, 3 oocytes were inseminated per cycle by ICSI procedure.

The vitrification and warming procedures were performed according to Kuwayama and co-Authors (2005). Commercial kits were used (Vitrification and Warming KIT, Kitazato BioPharma Co, Japan). The vitrification procedure was performed at room temperature (RT). Oocytes were equilibrated in the equilibration solution (ES) containing 7.5% ethylene glycol (EG) and 7.5% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) in HEPES buffered basic culture medium M-199 with 20% synthetic serum substitute (SSS). To perform the equilibration gradually, the oocytes were first placed in a 20 microlitre drop of M199+20%SSS and, immediately, after mixed with a second 20 microlitre drop of ES. After 3 minutes incubation, a third 20 microlitre drop of ES solution was mixed. Finally, the oocyte were moved in a pure drop of 20 microlitre ES and incubated for an additional 6 - 9 minutes. The oocytes (1 to 3, contemporaneously) were then transferred in 1 ml of vitrification solution (VS) containing 15% EG, 15% DMSO and 0.5M sucrose in M199+20%SSS for 1 minute. The oocytes were then placed on the Cryotop strip in a single small drop of VS. Much care was driven to re-aspirate, as much as possible, the excess of VS in such way to leave just a thin layer around each oocyte. The Cryotop was then immediately submerged into liquid nitrogen. Finally, the plastic cap was pulled over the Cryotop inside the liquid nitrogen and the sample was stored submerged in liquid nitrogen.

The first step of warming procedure was performed at 37°C. The cap was removed in liquid nitrogen and the cryotop was immediately submerged in 1 ml of warming solution containing 1.0 M sucrose in M199+20%SSS. After 1 minute, oocytes were placed in 1 ml solution containing 0.5 M sucrose, and incubated at RT for 3 minutes. Finally, the oocytes were washed at RT for 6 minutes in 2 different dishes containing 1 ml basic medium M199+20%SSS each, and transferred into 1 ml culture media. Degenerated oocytes were removed from the cohort.

The surviving oocytes were co-cultured at 37°C (6% CO2 and 5%O2) for exactly 2 hours before ICSI.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Non-inferiority in fertilisation rates calculated per warmed and per injected oocyte, assessed 16 - 18 hours post-treatment (ICSI).

Secondary outcome measures

1. Pronuclear morphology

- 2. Embryo development, assessed 42 44 hours post-treatment
- 3. Patient's baseline characteristics

4. Clinical outcomes

Overall study start date 02/09/2008

Completion date 10/03/2009

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Between September 2008 and February 2009 consecutive patients not older than 42 years of age, presenting greater than 6 normal appearing metaphase II (MII) oocytes and undergoing ICSI treatment with ejaculated sperm in the Centre for Reproductive Medicine GENERA in Rome.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Target number of participants 40

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Female partner older than 42 years old
- 2. Less than 6 normal appearing MII oocytes retrieved
- 3. Surgically extracted spermatozoa
- 4. Very severe oligoastenoteratozoospermia (motile sperm count less than 500,000/ml after preparation)
- 5. Patients enrolled in our polar body biopsy programme

Date of first enrolment 02/09/2008

Date of final enrolment

10/03/2009

Locations

Countries of recruitment Italy

Study participating centre G.EN.E.R.A. Rome Italy 00197

Sponsor information

Organisation

G.EN.E.R.A. - Clinica Valle Giulia (Italy)

Sponsor details via de Notaris 2B Rome Italy 00197 rienzi@generaroma.it

Sponsor type Hospital/treatment centre

Website http://www.generaroma.it/

ROR https://ror.org/05aq4y378

Funder(s)

Funder type Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name G.EN.E.R.A. - Clinica Valle Giulia (Italy)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/01/2010		Yes	No