Biopsy diagnosis of prostate cancer in patients with suspected prostate cancer undergoing their first biopsy: comparison of target biopsy alone vs target biopsy in addition to standard biopsy

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
31/01/2022		☐ Protocol		
Registration date 09/03/2022	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
11/01/2023	Cancer			

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Recently, thanks to the introduction of multiparametric prostate magnetic resonance imaging (mp-MRI scans), it is possible to perform targeted prostate biopsies (tissue samples) on patients with suspected prostate cancer with the intent to reduce the number of samples per single biopsy, increasing the diagnostic accuracy. While performing a targeted biopsy alone in patients with positive mp-MRI and a negative previous random biopsy is a well-validated practice, this method is still under scrutiny in the setting of patients undergoing their first biopsy. The aim of this study is to compare the prostate cancer detection rate of a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples versus a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples plus random samples.

Who can participate?

Patients aged under 75 years with an indication for a prostate biopsy for suspected prostate cancer and positive mp-MRI

What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to either a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples or a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples plus random samples. The detection rate of clinically significant prostate cancers is compared between the two pathways.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The benefit of this study is a reduction in the number of biopsy samples, with a likely reduction in the duration and side effects of the procedures. About 5-7% of clinically significant prostate cancers are diagnosed only at standard biopsy with negative target samples. To avoid the risk of missed diagnosis, patients enrolled in the study who are biopsy negative undergo close follow-up.

Where is the study run from?
San Luigi Gonzaga University Hospital (Italy)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2019 to October 2021

Who is funding the study? San Luigi Gonzaga University Hospital (Italy)

Who is the main contact? Prof. Francesco Porpiglia porpiglia@libero.it

Contact information

Type(s)

Principal Investigator

Contact name

Prof Francesco Porpiglia

ORCID ID

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0752-4857

Contact details

San Luigi Gonzaga University Hospital Regione Gonzole 10 Orbassano (Turin) Italy 10043 +39 (0)119026485 porpiglia@libero.it

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

Nil known

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

Secondary identifying numbers

3524

Study information

Scientific Title

Biopsy diagnosis of prostate cancer in naïve patients. Comparison of target biopsy alone vs. target biopsy in addition to random: a prospective randomized trial

Study objectives

To compare, in naïve patients with suspected prostate cancer and positive multiparametric prostate magnetic resonance imaging (mp-MRI), the detection rate of clinically significant prostate cancer of a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples vs a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples plus random samples.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 07/03/2019, Ethics Committee San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital (regione gonzole 10, Orbassano (TO), 10043, Italy; +39 (0)11 9026204, +39 (0)11 9026 566; sperimentazioni@sanluigi. piemonte.it), ref: 3524

Study design

Single-centre interventional randomized controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Diagnostic

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Prostate cancer

Interventions

The primary objective of the study is to compare, in naïve patients with positive MRI, the detection rate of clinically significant prostate cancer of a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples vs a diagnostic pathway based on target biopsy samples plus random samples. The patients underwent two-arm randomization via specific "query" to the website https://www.randomization.com. Patients were randomly assigned to undergo fusion biopsy alone (Group A) or fusion biopsy plus standard biopsy (Group B). Transrectal ultrasound was performed using a Hawk Ultrasound scanner 2102 EXL with a biplanar transducer, biopsies were performed using a disposable 18-gauge biopsy gun with a specimen size of 18–22 mm. Fusion biopsy was executed using the BioJet fusion system, for patients enrolled in Group B, in accordance with the protocol by Rodríguez-Covarrubias et al, a standard biopsy was performed obtaining 12 cores via a transrectal approach.

The Gleason score (GS) of the biopsy, number of total and positive cores, total and maximum cancer core length (CCL) and maximum cancer core invasion (CCI) rate were acquired in accordance with the standards of reporting for MRI targeted biopsy studies (START) criteria. Clinical significant Prostate Cancer (csPCa) was defined when START criteria for target biopsy (biopsy GS \geq 7 or maximum CCL \geq 5 mm) and updated Epstein criteria for Standard Biopsy were met. Prostate specimens from patients who underwent robot-assisted radical prostatectomy (RARP) were chosen as the reference standard. The organ's processing was executed following the aforementioned technique, subsequently calculating GS and ISUP grade for each lesion found. 30-days biopsy related complications were classified according to the Clavien–Dindo classification. The Detection Rate was set as the ratio between the total cases of PCs/csPCs diagnosed thanks to a particular biopsy (FB, FB + SB or SB) and the total number of patients.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome measure

Detection rate (DR) of clinically significant prostate cancer (csPCa) by fusion biopsy alone (Group A) versus fusion biopsy plus standard biopsy (Group B), set as the ratio between the total cases of csPCs diagnosed and the total number of patients. Evaluated after the histological examination.

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. 30-days biopsy related complications classified according to the Clavien–Dindo classification at 30-days follow up
- 2. The overall DR of prostate cancer by fusion biopsy alone (Group A) versus fusion biopsy plus standard biopsy (Group B). Evaluated after the histological examination.
- 3. Whole-mount histopathological findings after RARP compared with biopsy findings in both study groups, evaluated after the histological examination

Overall study start date

01/01/2019

Completion date

15/10/2021

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Age < 75 years
- 2. Negative history of previous prostate biopsies
- 3. Suspected serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) values <15 ng/ml
- 4. Negative rectal examination (DRE)
- 5. Positive multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Mixed

Sex

Male

Target number of participants

384

Total final enrolment

384

Key exclusion criteria

Contraindications to prostate biopsy (e.g., inability to discontinue anticoagulant therapy)

Date of first enrolment

11/04/2019

Date of final enrolment

01/10/2021

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Italy

Study participating centre San Luigi Gonzaga University Hospital

Regione Gonzole 10 Orbassano (Turin) Italy 10043

Sponsor information

Organisation

Ospedale San Luigi Gonzaga

Sponsor details

Regione Gonzole 10 Orbassano Italy 10043 +39 (0)119026678 urologia.deg@sanluigi.piemonte.it

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

Website

http://www.sanluigi.piemonte.it/

ROR

https://ror.org/04nzv4p86

Funder(s)

Funder type

Other

Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer-reviewed journal

Intention to publish date

03/08/2022

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data-sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date.

IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	primary results	10/01/2023	11/01/2023	Yes	No