

# A comparison of midazolam with fentanyl or pethidine as a sedation for colonoscopy.

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Submission date</b><br>30/09/2005   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting | <input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered<br><input type="checkbox"/> Protocol            |
| <b>Registration date</b><br>30/09/2005 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed          | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results |
| <b>Last Edited</b><br>12/04/2011       | <b>Condition category</b><br>Surgery              | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data  |

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Alistair McNair

**Contact details**  
Consultant Gastroenterologist  
Queen Elizabeth Hospital NHS Trust  
Stadium Road  
Woolwich  
London  
United Kingdom  
SE18 4QH

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
N0649155306

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

**Study objectives**

Is there a significant difference between midazolam + fentanyl or midazolam + pethidine for colonoscopy sedation in terms of discomfort experienced by patients.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

### **Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Not Specified

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Surgery: Colonoscopy

### **Interventions**

Patients must receive sedation for colonoscopy. They will be randomised to receive one of the two drug combinations, both of which are already used in this Trust. There will be no cost, safety or training implications, as the study will use existing practice and protocols. Data will be collected to compare efficacy, safety and acceptability of the two combinations.

### **Intervention Type**

Drug

### **Phase**

Not Specified

### **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

midazolam, fentanyl, pethidine

### **Primary outcome(s)**

1. Patient pain experienced/recalled
2. Comparison between two sedation regimes

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Experience of pain related to Endoscopist
2. Patient expectation pre-endoscopy
3. Friendliness of staff
4. Incidence of adverse events during endoscopy
5. Need for more sedative
6. Desaturation
7. Agitation
8. Time taken for endoscopy (completion rate)
9. Time taken for recovery
10. Does patient's perception differ from Endoscopist, Nurse?

**Completion date**

31/12/2006

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

All patients attending for colonoscopy (approx 2000 per year) will be eligible.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Not Specified

**Sex**

Not Specified

**Key exclusion criteria**

Not provided at time of registration

**Date of first enrolment**

20/02/2005

**Date of final enrolment**

31/12/2006

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

Consultant Gastroenterologist

London

United Kingdom

SE18 4QH

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**  
Department of Health

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
Government

**Funder Name**  
Queen Elizabeth Hospital NHS Trust (UK)

## Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

**IPD sharing plan summary**  
Not provided at time of registration

### Study outputs

| Output type                     | Details | Date created | Date added | Peer reviewed? | Patient-facing? |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <a href="#">Results article</a> | results | 01/03/2009   |            | Yes            | No              |