Totally laparoscopic versus conventional ileoanal pouch procedure: A randomised controlled trial

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
08/12/2004		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
24/01/2005	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited 04/10/2017	Condition category Digestive System	[] Individual participant data		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Markus W Büchler

Contact details

Im Neuenheimer Feld 110 Heidelberg Germany 69120 +49 6221 56 6200 markus.buechler@med.uni-heidelberg.de

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number KSC 01/2004

Study information

Scientific Title

Totally laparoscopic versus conventional ileoanal pouch procedure: A randomised controlled trial

Acronym

LapConPouch-Trial

Study objectives

Restorative proctocolectomy is increasingly being performed minimal invasively but a totally laparoscopic technique has not yet been compared to the standard open technique in a randomized study. The trial will answer the question whether there is indeed an advantage in the laparoscopic group in regard to blood loss and the need for blood transfusions. Moreover, it will generate data on the safety and potential advantages and disadvantages of the minimally invasive approach.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Proctocolectomy

Interventions

This is an intra-operatively randomised, controlled single centre trial of patients with familial polyposis (FAP) or ulcerative colitis (UC) who undergo primary elective restorative proctocolectomy. It is designed as a two-group parallel superiority study. The randomisation will be performed after induction of anaesthesia. The pre and postoperative treatment and assessment is kept equal in both groups to minimise bias.

80 laparoscopic versus 80 conventional ileoanal pouch.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

The primary objective of this study is to compare the totally laparoscopic with the conventional approach in regard to intraoperative blood loss and need for perioperative blood transfusions (within first 24 hours after surgery). We hypothesise that intraoperative blood loss and the need for peri-operative blood transfusions are significantly higher in the conventional group.

Key secondary outcome(s))

A set of surgical and non-surgical parameters related to the operation will be analysed as secondary objectives. These will include operative time, complications, postoperative pain, lung function, postoperative length of hospital stay, a cosmetic score and pre-and postoperative

quality of life. Moreover an appraisal of patients as well as of physicians concerning the relevance of various outcome parameters will be evaluated as part of an additional scientific project in order to create a basis for further relevant research questions.

Completion date

30/09/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Hospitalised patients of the Department of General surgery, Visceral surgery, Trauma surgery and Outpatient Clinic of the University of Heidelberg, Medical School, who are planned for an elective restorative proctocolectomy either for familial polyposis or for ulcerative colitis. 160 patients (80 laparoscopic vs 80 conventional ileoanal pouch) will be enrolled in order to recruit the 65 evaluable patients per group for the primary endpoint.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/09/2004

Date of final enrolment

30/09/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Germany

Study participating centre Heidelberg University Im Neuenheimer Feld 110 Heidelberg

Germany 69120

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Heidelberg Medical School (Germany)

ROR

https://ror.org/038t36y30

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

University of Heidelberg Medical School

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/08/2013		Yes	No
<u>Protocol article</u>	protocol	24/11/2006		Yes	No