# The clinical outcomes of using high frequency ventilation compared with conventional ventilation in children with severe respiratory failure

| Submission date 14/03/2015   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting | <ul> <li>Prospectively registered</li> <li>Protocol</li> </ul>                       |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Registration date 19/10/2015 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed          | <ul> <li>Statistical analysis plan</li> <li>Results</li> </ul>                       |
| Last Edited<br>19/10/2015    | <b>Condition category</b><br>Respiratory          | <ul> <li>Individual participant data</li> <li>Record updated in last year</li> </ul> |

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a life-threatening medical condition where the lungs can't provide enough oxygen for the rest of the body. If someone develops ARDS, they are put on a mechanical ventilator to assist their breathing. High frequency oscillation ventilation (HFOV) is an alternative to conventional mechanical ventilation (CMV) to treat patients with severe ARDS. The aim of this study is to compare the effectiveness of HFOV and CMV in children with severe ARDS.

Who can participate?

Patients aged between 1 month and 15 years with a diagnosis of ARDS at King Chulalongkorn Memorial University Hospital.

What does the study involve?

Participants will be randomly allocated to be treated with either HFOV or CMV.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? The results of this study will help us to identify groups of ARDS patients who can benefit from using either HFOV or CMV.

Where is the study run from? King Chulalongkorn Memorial University Hospital (Thailand).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? From March 2012 to February 2014.

Who is funding the study? Ratchada Pisek Somphot Fund. Who is the main contact? Prof Rujipat Samransamruajkit rujipatrs@gmail.com

### **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Prof Rujipat Samransamruajkit

**Contact details** Pediatric Critical Care Division Department of Pediatrics King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital Bangkok Thailand 10330 +66 (0)2 256 4951 Rujipat.S@chula.ac.th

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

**IRAS number** 

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers N/A

## Study information

#### Scientific Title

The clinical efficacy of high frequency ventilation compared with conventional ventilation with lung volume recruitment in severe pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial

Acronym HFCV-PEARDS

#### **Study objectives**

To determine the efficacy of lung volume recruitment maneuver (LVRM) with high frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) and continuous mandatory ventilation (CMV) on oxygenation, hemodynamic alteration and clinical outcome in children with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)** Ethics committee at King Chulalongkorn University, 30/03/2012, ref: 154/55

**Study design** Randomized controlled trial

**Primary study design** Interventional

**Secondary study design** Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)** Hospital

**Study type(s)** Treatment

Participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Acute respiratory distress syndrome

#### Interventions

We performed a randomized controlled trial enrolling pediatric patients (aged 1 month to 15 years from March 2012 to September 2014) who were diagnosed to have severe ARDS upon PICU admission. Informed consent was obtained from the parents prior to their evaluation for HFOV therapy. Before randomization to the treatment arms, all patients were received CMV with the FiO2 of 1, the median PEEP of 12 cmH2O, fluid resuscitation to keep high CVP (range between 8-12 mmHg) and were mostly on either inotropics or vasopressors at the time of LVRM with either CMV or HFOV. All patients were deeply sedated and paralyzed. Patients were randomized to the LVRM protocol combined with either HFOV or CMV. Baseline characteristic data, oxygenation, hemodynamic parameters and clinical outcomes were recorded during the procedure and at 1, 4, 12, 24, 48 and 72 hours after LVRM.

#### Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Primary outcome measure

Oxygenation response, PaO2/FiO2, Oxygen index, A-a gradient compare Pre-post lung volume recruitment. Timepoints: baseline, 1 hour, 2 hours, 3 hours, 6 hours and 24 hours after lung volume recruitment.

#### Secondary outcome measures

Duration of PICU stay
 Morbidity/mortality in PICU

Overall study start date 03/03/2012

#### **Completion date**

01/12/2014

## Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

Patients aged >1 month and <15 years with a diagnosis of ARDS from the PICU at King Chulalongkorn Memorial University Hospital

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

**Age group** Child

**Lower age limit** 1 Months

**Upper age limit** 15 Years

**Sex** Both

**Target number of participants** 20

#### Key exclusion criteria

1. Evidence/suspicion of congestive heart failure

2. Evidence of left atrial hypertension

3. Severe irreversible neurological injury or Intractable shock

4. The underlying disease was deemed irreversible or ARDS > 48 hours

5. Pre-existing air leak syndrome (e.g., pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum) or pre-existing cystic lung disease

### Date of first enrolment

03/03/2012

# **Date of final enrolment** 01/09/2014

Locations

#### **Countries of recruitment** Thailand

Study participating centre

**King Chulalongkorn University Hospital** Bangkok Thailand 10330

### Sponsor information

**Organisation** King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital

Sponsor details 1873 Rama 4 Road Bangkok Thailand 10330 +66 (0)2 256 4951 Rujipat.S@chula.ac.th

**Sponsor type** University/education

ROR https://ror.org/05jd2pj53

### Funder(s)

**Funder type** Research organisation

**Funder Name** Ratchada Pisek Somphot Fund (Thailand)

### **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan** The article has been submitted for publication.

Intention to publish date 05/10/2015

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

**IPD sharing plan summary** Other