# Femoral artery access wound study

| Submission date   | Recruitment status   | <ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul> |
|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| 05/11/2007        | No longer recruiting | Protocol                                   |
| Registration date | Overall study status | Statistical analysis plan                  |
| 16/11/2007        | Completed            | Results                                    |
| Last Edited       | Condition category   | [] Individual participant data             |
| 15/05/2015        | Surgery              | [] Record updated in last year             |

### Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Jan Swinnen

#### Contact details

c/o Department of Surgery Westmead Hospital Westmead Sydney Australia 2145

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

**Secondary identifying numbers** N/A

## Study information

Scientific Title

#### Femoral artery access wound study

#### **Study objectives**

Open access to the femoral vessels is traditionally through a vertical incision. Although this approach gives good surgical access, wound healing can be complicated by sepsis, lymphatic leaks and wound breakdown.

Some causes for wound infections in vascular surgery are well known including emergency surgery, diabetes, having a groin incision and whether an autogenous or prosthetic graft is used. The effect of the type of groin incisions (vertical or transverse/oblique) on wound complications has been debated. There are a limited number of studies, which have looked at actual wound incision in terms of wound infection and lymphatic problems. These studies have been limited by either a short follow up or being a retrospective case analysis. These studies also did not assess the adequacy of the surgical access between the different incisions. We therefore conducted a prospective randomised study to look at the above problems.

#### Hypothesis:

The horizontal groin incision for femoral artery access has fewer wound complications than the vertical groin incision.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Verbal ethics approval received from:

- 1. South Western Sydney Area Health Service Ethics Committee (Liverpool Hospital), February 2000, ref: 2000/022
- 2. Western Sydney Area Human Research Ethics Committee (Westmead Hospital), March 1999, ref: HREC2000/9/4.19(1090)

Formal ethics approval documents received from:

- 1. Westmead Hospital Ethics Committee (Australia), 12/09/2000
- 2. Liverpool Hospital Ethics Committee (Australia), 16/04/2000

This arrangement was due to the infrequent meetings of the ethics committees.

## Study design

Randomised controlled study

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Femoral artery access

#### **Interventions**

Treatment arms were:

- 1. Patients with a vertical femoral access incision, or
- 2. Patients with a horizontal femoral access incision

Duration of treatment was the operation duration. Total follow up was 28 days.

The surgical technique used for the transverse incision was as follows: an incision above the groin skin crease when the main vessel to be accessed was the common femoral artery whilst it was placed below the groin skin crease when the main vessels to be accessed were the profunda femoris or superficial femoral arteries. The deep dissection was the same as that used for vertical incisions.

Please note that recruitment for the two centres were as follows:

- 1. Westmead Hospital started recruiting in May 1999 following verbal approval from the Westmead Hospital Ethics Committee, and finished recruiting in December 2000
- 2. Liverpool Hospital started recruiting in February 2000 following verbal approval from the Liverpool Hospital Ethics Committee, and finished recruiting in December 2000

#### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

#### **Phase**

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome measure

Uncomplicated healing

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Wound infection
- 2, Lymphatic leak
- 3. Wound dehiscence

Wounds were examined at 4 days, 10 days and 28 days.

#### Overall study start date

01/03/1999

#### Completion date

31/12/2000

## Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients over the age of 18 and who could give informed consent
- 2. Consecutive patients undergoing vascular surgery involving femoral artery access

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 Years

#### Sex

Both

### Target number of participants

100 incisions

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Redo vascular surgery to the femoral artery
- 2. Operating surgeon preference to withhold from trial

#### Date of first enrolment

01/05/1999

#### Date of final enrolment

31/12/2000

## Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Australia

# Study participating centre Westmead Hospital

Sydney Australia 2145

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Westmead Hospital (Australia) - Department of Surgery

#### Sponsor details

c/o Professor John Fletcher Westmead New South Wales Australia 2145

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

http://www.swahs.health.nsw.gov.au/westmead/index.htm

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/04gp5yv64

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### **Funder Name**

Westmead Hospital and Liverpool Hospital (Australia) - Department of Surgery

## **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration