

# Biomarker-based exclusion of ventilator-associated pneumonia for improved antibiotic stewardship

<b>Submission date</b> 22/08/2013	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 22/08/2013	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 09/12/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Respiratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Critically ill patients whose lungs are supported by breathing machines (ventilators) commonly develop a new lung infection, called ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Because VAP is often fatal, antibiotics are administered whenever it is suspected. However, VAP is hard to distinguish from several non-infective lung conditions and most patients with suspected VAP do not have pneumonia. Therefore, many patients receive unnecessary antibiotics for several days, promoting the emergence of 'superbugs'. Laboratory test results for diagnosing VAP typically only reach the doctors after 3 days. A simple test rapidly and confidently excluding VAP should improve patient care, reduce unnecessary antibiotic use and decrease costs. We recently showed that low levels of specific proteins in fluid from the lungs of patients with suspected VAP effectively excluded VAP, using a test that may yield results within 6 hours. The test used is an extension of existing technology produced by our commercial partner Becton Dickinson (BD) Biosciences. Our previous findings were derived from a single hospital's intensive care unit. We have recently confirmed this finding across many intensive care units, which will help show that the test can be used in 'real life'. The aim of this study is to take the new test to the next step and determine whether it can improve the care of patients by reducing the amount of unnecessary antibiotics prescribed.

### Who can participate?

Patients with suspected VAP, aged 18 or over.

### What does the study involve?

All participants will have a lung sample taken. They will then be randomly allocated to receive either 'usual care' for suspected VAP, or to have the new test performed on their lung fluid. If the new test suggests no lung infection, the doctors will be asked to consider not giving antibiotics. We shall test how much antibiotic is given to each group. Patients are followed up for a maximum of 56 days.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no direct benefits to patients. However, being part of the study probably gives us a

better chance of making an accurate diagnosis of infection - we believe that the bronchoscopy test is by far the best way of diagnosing infection. The bronchoscopy is a common procedure on the intensive care unit and is safe.

Where is the study run from?

The study is run from the University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, and patients will be recruited from 23 hospitals in the UK.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

November 2013 to December 2015

Who is funding the study?

Wellcome Trust (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Jennie Parker

jennie.parker@ncl.ac.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Ms Jennie Parker

### Contact details

4th Floor William Leech Building

Framlington Place

Newcastle Upon Tyne

United Kingdom

NE2 4HH

-

jennie.parker@ncl.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

NCT01972425

### Protocol serial number

14666

## Study information

### Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial of biomarker-based exclusion of ventilator-associated pneumonia to improve antibiotic stewardship

### Study objectives

Critically ill patients whose lungs are supported by breathing machines (ventilators) commonly develop a new lung infection, called ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Because VAP is often fatal, antibiotics are administered whenever it is suspected. However VAP is hard to distinguish from several non-infective lung conditions and most patients with suspected VAP do not have pneumonia. Therefore many patients receive unnecessary antibiotics for several days, promoting emergence of 'superbugs'. Laboratory test results for diagnosing VAP typically only reach the doctors after 3 days.

A simple test rapidly and confidently excluding VAP should improve patient care, reduce unnecessary antibiotics and decrease costs. We recently showed that low levels of specific proteins in fluid from the lungs of patients with suspected VAP effectively excluded VAP, using a test that may yield results within 6 hours. The test used is an extension of existing technology produced by our commercial partner Becton Dickinson (BD) Biosciences.

Our previous findings were derived from a single hospital's intensive care unit. We are carrying out a study to see if we can show this finding across many intensive care units, which will help show that the test can be used in 'real life'. The aim of this study is to take the new test to the next step and determine whether it can improve the care of patients by reducing the amount of unnecessary antibiotics prescribed.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

13/LO/0651; First MREC approval date 28/06/2013

### **Study design**

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Prevention

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Diagnostic

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: Respiratory, Generic Health Relevance and Cross Cutting Themes; Subtopic: Respiratory (all Subtopics), Generic Health Relevance (all Subtopics); Disease: Respiratory, Critical Care

### **Interventions**

We shall identify patients with suspected VAP, all of whom will have a lung sample - half of the patients will receive 'usual care' for suspected VAP, the other half will have the new biomarker-based diagnostic test performed on their lung fluid. If the new test suggests no lung infection, the doctors will be asked to consider not giving antibiotics. We shall test how much antibiotic is given to each group.

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Antibiotic-free days (AFD); Timepoint(s): The frequency distribution of antibiotic-free days (AFD) in the 7 days following BAL

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Current secondary outcome measures as of 27/11/2013:

1. Antibiotic associated infections; Timepoint(s): upto day 56 post-BAL follow up
2. Antibiotic days in the 28 days following BAL; Timepoint(s): number of days on antibiotics compared with both interventions on both randomised groups
3. Antibiotic resistant pathogens; Timepoint(s): collected up to day 56 post-BAL follow up
4. Antibiotics days in 28 days following BAL; Timepoint(s): Comparison of AFD in 28 days between both randomised arms
5. Duration of ICU and hospital stay; Timepoint(s): up to 56 day post-BAL follow up
6. Length of ICU stay and level 3 or level 2 patient; Timepoint(s): up to day 56 post-BAL follow up
7. Mortality; Timepoint(s): 28 day mortality and ICU mortality
8. Sequential organ failure (SOFA) score; Timepoint(s): SOFA score at day 3, day 7, day 14 after enrollment on the study
9. Ventilator Free days; Timepoint(s): Total number of ventilator free days up to day 28 post-BAL follow-up

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. Antibiotic associated infections; Timepoint(s): upto day 56 post-BAL follow up
2. Antibiotic days in the 28 days following BAL; Timepoint(s): number of days on antibiotics compared with both interventions on both randomised groups
3. Antibiotic resistant pathogens; Timepoint(s): collected up to day 56 post-BAL follow up
4. Antibiotics days in 28 days following BAL; Timepoint(s): Comparison of AFD in 28 days between both randomised arms
5. Duration of ICU and hospital stay; Timepoint(s): up to 56 day post-BAL follow up
6. Length of ICU stay and level 3 or level 2 patient; Timepoint(s): up to day 56 post-BAL follow up
7. Mortality; Timepoint(s): 28 day mortality and ICU mortality
8. Sequential organ failure (SOFA) score; Timepoint(s): SOFA score at day 3, day 7, day 28 after enrollment on the study
9. Ventilator Free days; Timepoint(s): Total number of ventilator free days up to day 56 post-BAL follow-up

## **Completion date**

25/11/2016

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

Current inclusion criteria as of 27/11/2013:

1. Age 18 years or over
2. Mechanically ventilated for 48hrs
3. New or worsening changes on chest x-ray or CT scan of the lungs
4. Two or more from:
  - 4.1. Temperature  $<35^{\circ}\text{C}$  or  $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$
  - 4.2. Blood white cell count  $<4 \times 10^9/\text{L}$  or  $>11 \times 10^9/\text{L}$

4.3. Purulent tracheal secretions

5. The patient is considered suitable for early discontinuation of antibiotics

Target Gender: Male & Female ; Lower Age Limit 18 years

Previous inclusion criteria:

1. Age 18 years or over

2. Intubated and mechanically ventilated for 48 hrs

3. New or worsening changes on chest x-ray or CT scan of the lungs

4. Two or more from:

4.1. Temperature  $<35^{\circ}\text{C}$  or  $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$

4.2. Blood white cell count  $<4 \times 10^9/\text{L}$  or  $>11 \times 10^9/\text{L}$

4.3. Purulent tracheal secretions

Target Gender: Male & Female ; Lower Age Limit 18 years

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Lower age limit**

18 years

### **Sex**

All

### **Total final enrolment**

159

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1.  $\text{PaO}_2 < 8\text{kPa}$  on  $\text{FiO}_2 > 0.7$

2. Positive end-expiratory pressure  $> 15\text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$

3. Peak airway pressure  $> 35\text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$

4. Heart rate  $> 140\text{ bpm}$

5. Mean arterial pressure  $< 65\text{ mmHg}$

6. Bleeding diathesis (including platelet count  $< 20 \times 10^9$  per litre of blood or international normalised ratio (INR)  $> 3$ )

7. Poorly controlled intracranial pressure ( $> 20\text{ mmHg}$ )

8. ICU consultant deems procedure not to be safe

9. Previous BAL as part of this study

10. Consent declined

\* Patients who are enrolled in observational studies will be eligible for co-enrolment. Co-enrolment with interventional studies will be possible following consideration of any scientific or statistical interaction, in accordance with current UK Critical Care Research Forum (UKCCRF) recommendations (see appendix). Until coenrolment is considered appropriate for a particular study, patients enrolled in an interventional trial will not be included.

**Date of first enrolment**

01/09/2013

**Date of final enrolment**

30/09/2016

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Newcastle University**

Newcastle Upon Tyne

United Kingdom

NE2 4HH

**Study participating centre**

**23 hospitals**

United Kingdom

-

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Trust and University of Newcastle Upon Tyne (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/05p40t847>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Charity

**Funder Name**

Wellcome Trust; Grant Codes: WT094949

## Alternative Name(s)

## Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

## Funding Body Subtype

International organizations

## Location

United Kingdom

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2017		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/02/2020	09/12/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	16/07/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
<a href="#">Study website</a>	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes