# Randomised evaluation of surgery with craniectomy for uncontrollable elevation of intracranial pressure

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered		
12/09/2005	No longer recruiting	[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	[X] Statistical analysis plan		
21/11/2005	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	☐ Individual participant data		
07/06/2022	Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning			

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Following injury to the head, the brain, just like any other part of the body, becomes bruised and swollen. This swelling occurs in the confines of the rigid skull and results in an increase in pressure and reduction in blood and oxygen supply to the brain.

Treatment in the intensive care unit is directed at controlling swelling and pressure and maintaining a good blood and oxygen supply to the brain. There are a number of conventional treatments that are used to achieve this, including the ventilator to support breathing and various drugs to support blood pressure and reduce swelling directly. Whilst these treatments are effective in some patients, others with more severe swelling are at an increased risk. Currently there are two advanced methods to control brain swelling. The first is the use of an operation called a decompressive craniectomy. In this operation a part of the skull bone is removed (front or side) leaving the brain protected by the membranes and scalp. This creates an opening for the brain, helping to control swelling and high pressure. The opening is later repaired using the original bone or a synthetic plate. The second available method is to use strong drugs to control the activity in the brain. The benefit of each of these treatments, and which method is more effective for a particular type of patient, are currently unclear. This study is being conducted to try to find out which is the best method of treatment. We to enrol approximately 400 participants around the world (200 in the group receiving the operation to control the swelling and 200 in the group receiving medical treatment with stronger drugs).

#### Who can participate?

Participants must have sustained a head injury, be between the ages of 10 and 65 years, with an abnormal CT scan requiring intra-cranial pressure (ICP) monitoring and raised ICP that has not been successfully managed by the initial medical treatment.

#### What does the study involve?

If the patient requires these more advanced measures to control pressure and swelling, they will be randomly allocated to one of two groups: either the operation to control the swelling or advanced medical treatment. If either treatment is not effective, then the alternative treatment can be provided. A computerised tomography (CT) scan, which uses x-rays and a computer to

create detailed images of the inside of your body, will be taken on admission to hospital and before random allocation to one of the two groups (randomisation). These scans are considered routine for this type of injury and pose no additional risk. At 6, 12 and 24 months following the head injury, you will be contacted in order to complete a questionnaire to assess your recovery. This will be done either by post, a telephone call or in the out patient clinic. The questionnaire takes approximately 30 minutes to complete.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

If you chose to participate in the study, or allow your relative to, there may or may not be any direct benefit. Both treatments are considered to be standard treatments for this condition and are used routinely. It is hoped that the information gained from this study can be used in the future to benefit other people with similar conditions.

Where is the study run from?

Cambridge University and Cambridge University Hospitals Foundation Trust, UK. See participating centres on the study website http://www.rescueicp.com/frameset4.html

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? The study recruited its first patient in January 2004 and has a projected completion date of December 2014.

Who is funding the study? National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) and the Medical Research Council (MRC), UK.

Who is the main contact? Mr Peter Hutchinson pjah2@cam.ac.uk

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Mr Peter Hutchinson

#### Contact details

Box 167
Academic Neurosurgery Unit
Addenbrooke's Hospital
Cambridge
United Kingdom
CB2 2QQ
+44 (0)1223 746453
pjah2@cam.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

#### Protocol serial number

N/A

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Randomised Evaluation of Surgery with Craniectomy for Uncontrollable Elevation of Intra-Cranial Pressure trial

#### Acronym

**RESCUEicp** 

#### Study objectives

The application of decompressive craniectomy (as a last-tier therapy) to head-injured patients with raised intracranial pressure (ICP) refractory to medical treatment results in improvement in outcome:

- 1. Decompressive craniectomy results in an improvement in the Extended Glasgow Outcome Score compared to optimal medical treatment
- 2. Decompressive craniectomy results in an improvement in surrogate endpoint measures (including specific outcome measures [36-item Short Form questionnaire], control of ICP, time in intensive care and time to discharge from the neurosurgical unit) compared to optimal medical treatment

More detailed information on the protocol can be found at http://www.rescueicp.com/downloads.html

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Eastern MREC, 22/10/2003, ref: 03/5/059

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Severe traumatic brain injury

#### **Interventions**

Decompressive craniectomy (surgical procedure) versus maximal medical management (including barbiturates).

#### **Intervention Type**

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome(s)

Current primary outcome measures as of 23/05/2016:

Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOSE) at 6 months after randomisation

Previous primary outcome measures:

Assessment of outcome at discharge (Glasgow Outcome Score) and 6 months (Extended Glasgow Outcome Score)

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

Current secondary outcome measures as of 23/05/2016:

- 1. GOSE at 12 and 24 months after randomisation
- 2. Mortality at 6, 12 and 24 months after randomisation
- 3. SF-36 and SF-10 (below 16 years) questionnaires at 6, 12 and 24 months after randomisation
- 4. Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) at discharge from neurosciences hospital
- 5. Assessment of ICP control
- 6. Time in intensive care
- 7. Time to discharge from the neurosciences hospital
- 8. Detailed health-economic analysis

Adverse events will also be reported.

Secondary outcome measures from 18/10/2012 to 23/05/2016:

- 1. EGOS at 12, 24 and 36 months
- 2. SF 36 at 6, 12 and 24 months with a health economic analysis

Original secondary outcome measures until 18/10/2012:

- 1. Assessment of outcome using the 36-item short form (SF-36) questionnaire
- 2. Assessment of ICP control
- 3. Time in intensive care
- 4. Time to discharge from the neurosurgical unit

#### Completion date

31/03/2016

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Current inclusion criteria as of 23/05/2016:

- 1. Patients with head injury
- 2. Age 10-65 years
- 3. Abnormal CT scan requiring ICP monitoring with raised ICP (>25mmHg >1 12 hours) refractory to initial medical treatment measures
- 4. Patients may have had an immediate operation for a mass lesion but not a 'decompressive' craniectomy

#### Previous inclusion criteria:

- 1. Patients aged 10 65 years, either sex
- 2. An abnormal computed tomography (CT) scan of the head
- 3. Requiring ICP monitoring with raised ICP (greater than 25 mmHg greater than 1 12 hours), refractory to initial medical measures
- 4. Patients may have an immediate operation for a mass lesion but not a decompressive

#### craniectomy

5. Patients who are immunologically, hepatically or renally compromised can be included, but type and extent of their impairment are noted

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

All

#### Key exclusion criteria

Current exclusion criteria as of 23/05/2016:

- 1. Bilateral fixed and dilated pupils
- 2. Bleeding diathesis
- 3. Devastating injury not expected to survive for 24 hours and follow up not possible
- 4. Patients treated by the Lund protocol are also not eligible

#### Previous exclusion criteria:

- 1. Bilateral fixed and dilated pupils
- 2. Bleeding diathesis
- 3. A devastating injury not expected to survive for 24 hours
- 4. Follow-up not possible
- 5. Unable to monitor ICP
- 6. Patients treated on the Lund protocol
- 7. Primary decompression
- 8. Have received barbiturates pre-randomisation
- 9. Brainstem involvement

#### Date of first enrolment

01/01/2004

#### Date of final enrolment

31/03/2014

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

**United Kingdom** 

England

Brazil

Canada

Greece
Italy
Japan
Latvia
Malaysia
Peru
Russian Federation
Saudi Arabia
Singapore
Spain
Türkiye
United States of America

China

Germany

Czech Republic

# Sponsor information

Study participating centre Addenbrooke's Hospital

### Organisation

Cambridge United Kingdom

CB2 2QQ

Addenbrooke's Hospital (UK)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/055vbxf86

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Research organisation

#### **Funder Name**

Academy of Medical Sciences (UK)

#### Alternative Name(s)

The Academy of Medical Sciences

#### **Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

Universities (academic only)

#### Location

**United Kingdom** 

#### Funder Name

The Health Foundation (UK)

#### **Funder Name**

MRC managed by NIHR on behalf of the MRC-NIHR partnership (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

# IPD sharing plan summary

#### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	22/09/2016		Yes	No
Results article	secondary analysis	06/06/2022	07/06/2022	Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	01/10/2006		Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Statistical Analysis Plan	statistical analysis plan	01/10/2016		No	No