

# The positive effects of combined brain and body training in older adults

<b>Submission date</b> 11/01/2022	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 14/01/2022	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 13/12/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Preserving attention ability is of great concern to older adults who are motivated to maintain their quality of life as they age. Both mind and body fitness interventions have been tested in studies to assess maintenance and enhancement of attention abilities in seniors, and combining these approaches is a compelling strategy to improve mind and body health in a time- and resource-effective manner.

With this perspective, we created a closed-loop, motion-capture video game (Body-Brain Trainer: BBT) that adapts a player's cognitive and physical demands in an integrated approach, thus creating a personalized and cohesive experience across both domains.

The aim of this study is to find out if BBT could improve measures of attention and physical fitness in older adults.

### Who can participate?

Seniors over the age of 55 years from the San Francisco Bay Area with normal or corrected-to-normal vision, had no history of stroke, traumatic brain injury, or psychiatric illness, not taking psychotropic, hormonal, or cardiovascular medications, no physical or mental conditions that may interfere with their daily activities (e.g., migraine headaches, substance abuse, neuropathy, and play less than 2-hours of video games per month).

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomized to play a game called 'BBT' or 'MBT'. Participants are asked to participate in this study 3 days a week for 8-weeks, with each day consisting of 9, 3-minute sessions, with training occurring at UC San Francisco. A research assistant will monitor participation and provide support and feedback during the BBT training, with the MBT training taking place at home (5x/week for 30min/day for 6 weeks). Prior to and after the intervention, participants will have specific cognitive and physiological measurements assessed, with certain measures repeated 1 year after the intervention as well.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no direct benefits or risks associated with participating in this study.

Where is the study run from?  
University of California, San Francisco (USA)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
January 2017 to March 2020

Who is funding the study?  
University of California San Francisco Neuroscape Center (USA)

Who is the main contact?  
Joaquin A. Anguera, [Joaquin.anguera@ucsf.edu](mailto:Joaquin.anguera@ucsf.edu)

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Principal Investigator

**Contact name**  
Prof Joaquin Anguera

**ORCID ID**  
<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7216-0674>

**Contact details**  
UC San Francisco  
675 Nelson Rising Lane  
San Francisco  
United States of America  
94152  
+1 4155027322  
[joaquin.anguera@ucsf.edu](mailto:joaquin.anguera@ucsf.edu)

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**  
Nil known

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**  
Nil known

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
Nil known

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Integrated cognitive and physical fitness training enhances attention abilities in older adults

## **Acronym**

BBT-OA

## **Study objectives**

Here we sought to evaluate the primary hypothesis of whether Body-Brain Trainer (BBT) could improve measures of attention and physical fitness in older adults (OA) beyond that of an expectancy-matched, active placebo control group.

We also interrogated the following secondary questions: what is the neural mechanism underlying positive cognitive effects, do any observed cognitive improvements persist one year later without booster training sessions, does BBT result in older adults achieving comparable levels to young adults on our primary outcome measure, and does this intervention affect other measures of cognitive control (working memory).

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 12/01/2016, University of California, San Francisco Human Research Protection Program Institutional Review Board (UCSF Office of the Committee on Human Research, Box 1288 490 Illinois Street, Floor 6, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA; +1 415-476-1814; irb@ucsf.edu), ref: 10-04957

## **Study design**

Interventional double-blinded randomized controlled trial

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

## **Study setting(s)**

Other

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Participant information sheet**

No participant information sheet available

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Healthy aging

## **Interventions**

Body-Brain Trainer (BBT): BBT is comprised of three modules, with each targeting a different aspect of cognitive control: visual search tasks for attention (with increasing distraction), spatial span/multiple object tracking tasks for working memory, and a task-switching paradigm targeting goal management/cognitive flexibility abilities. There are also three different tasks with ascending difficulty within each module, such that advancing to the next level engages a fresh challenge while maintaining interest (for example, a change from a spatial span condition

to a multiple object tracking condition with working memory demands). Comparable to our previous work using cognitive measures alone, here we integrate real-time adaptivity for both the cognitive and physical aspects of the gameplay. For each cognitive task, difficulty scales on a trial-by-trial basis, with a correct trial performed within a thresholding-determined response window leading to shorter response window by 10msec, and an incorrect trial leading to a lengthening of the response window by 30msec (thus, a 1"up"/3"down" staircase). These cognitive adaptive algorithms are designed to assure participants remain at an ~80% rate of accuracy, a level that is not too easy nor too hard, so that it is enjoyable and engaging. For the physical training, difficulty is tied to the demands associated with the distance an individual must travel for a given response and the amount of time allocated to complete this response. These movement-related aspects are directly responsive to whether heart rate is below/within /above a predetermined heart rate window to ensure a moderately intense workout that does not impede the ability to perform the cognitive task. For example, if one is playing the game below their assigned heart rate range, the software will automatically increase the distance that the participant must move to respond with their hands/feet on each trial until their heart rate is within the specified range. Training sessions are linked, such that the next session begins at the level attained at the end of the previous session. Participants are provided two types of feedback: 1) real-time feedback – indicating whether the participant successfully detected or classified the target and 2) punctuated feedback – participants advance through a series of "levels" that are reported at the beginning and end of each run.

**Mind-Body Trainer (MBT):** To mitigate any potential placebo effects brought on by participant expectations, a suitable active control condition based on participant predictions of potential training-related gains was identified. Specifically, a set of three commercially available iOS apps that were matched to the BBT program in terms of expectation of improvement on our cognitive outcome measures. Those apps were a language learning app (Duolingo; [www.duolingo.com](http://www.duolingo.com)), a Tai Chi app (Tai Chi Step by Step; [www.imoblif.net](http://www.imoblif.net)), and a logic games app (100 Logic Games; [www.andreasabbatini.com/LogicGames.aspx](http://www.andreasabbatini.com/LogicGames.aspx)).

For Duolingo, participants were given a choice of which language they wanted to learn from those available on the app. Within the app, a 10 min training time per day was set. During training, the app takes users through a series of modules that increase in difficulty and are only unlocked sequentially following completion of an earlier module. Modules are organized topically (e.g., Food, Animals, Phrases, etc.) and each module contains listening, speaking, vocabulary, and translation tasks and culminates with a topic quiz. At the end of each lesson the app provides a progress report showing learning "streaks" and the accumulation of "lingots" (Duolingo currency). These feedback features are meant to keep participants motivated. For Tai Chi, users simply open the app and select from a series of modules that provide detailed and easy-to-follow instructions on how to perform many basic, intermediate, and advanced Tai Chi movements and is geared toward beginners with no Tai Chi experience. Each description can be read or listened to and is accompanied by an animation. Users were instructed to then practice the exercise themselves several times after each lesson. The logic games app is comprised of a series of "puzzle sets" that revolve around a particular theme and which get progressively more difficult as people advance. The puzzles are similar to the more well-known Sudoku puzzles, but provide a more engaging experience with colorful icons, unique rule sets for each theme, and increasing difficulty. For each puzzle, users are given a task (e.g., plant trees according to specific rules), a time limit, and several hints that they can unlock.

Participants are randomized to 'BBT' or 'MBT'. Randomization was performed using Microsoft EXCEL using the randomization function, using block size of 5.

Participants are asked to participate in this study 3 days a week for 8-weeks, with each day consisting of 9, 3-minute sessions, with training occurring at UC San Francisco. A research assistant will monitor participation and provide support and feedback during the BBT training,

with the MBT training taking place at home (5x/week for 30min/day for 6 weeks). Prior to and after the intervention, participants will have specific cognitive and physiological measurements assessed, with certain measures repeated 1 year after the intervention as well.

For Duolingo, the time was set internally in the app. For the other two apps, participants self-timed their training, and they recorded their time on a training log. All participants completed the MBT intervention at home using an iPad Mini 2 (iOS version 8.2; Apple) that was supplied by the UCSF Neuroscape Center. On the day they were given their iPad to begin training, participants were also provided an instructional binder with instructions on how to play, a calendar for recording notes and comments throughout their training experience, and were given e-mail support throughout the intervention period.

## **Intervention Type**

Behavioural

## **Primary outcome measure**

An objective behavioral measure of attention via a custom continuous performance task (CPT), comparable to the Test of Variables of Attention (TOVA), to assess vigilance without distraction (baseline, post-training and 1-year later).

## **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Delayed recognition working memory paradigm designed to measure changes in participants' ability to maintain an accurate mental representation of items in working memory either in presence or absence of distracting or interfering information (baseline, post-training and 1-year later).

Exploratory outcome measures:

1. An objective neural measure of attention via a custom Filter Task designed to assess how well participants were able to identify targets in the presence of task-irrelevant information (baseline, post-training and 1-year later).
2. An objective measure of attention recording electroencephalography (EEG) during the CPT (baseline, post-training and 1-year later).
3. An objective measure of attention recording electroencephalography (EEG) during the Filter Task (baseline, post-training and 1-year later).
4. A physiological measure of fitness and health = Diastolic Blood Pressure (baseline, post-training).
5. A senior-specific measure of stability indicative of fall risk called the Limit of Stability Assessment (baseline, post-training).

## **Overall study start date**

12/01/2016

## **Completion date**

01/03/2020

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

All participants:

1. Had normal or corrected-to-normal vision,
2. Had no history of stroke, traumatic brain injury, or psychiatric illness,

3. Were not taking psychotropic, hormonal, or cardiovascular medications,
4. Did not have any physical or mental conditions that may interfere with their daily activities (e. g., migraine headaches, substance abuse, neuropathy.
5. Reported playing less than 2-hours of video games per month.
6. Were 55 years or older

**Participant type(s)**

Healthy volunteer

**Age group**

Senior

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

60

**Total final enrolment**

49

**Key exclusion criteria**

Did not meet the inclusion criteria with respect to age and listed health requirements for participation

**Date of first enrolment**

01/01/2017

**Date of final enrolment**

03/02/2019

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United States of America

**Study participating centre**

UC San Francisco - Neuroscape

675 Nelson Rising Lane

San Francisco

United States of America

94158

**Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

University of California, San Francisco

**Sponsor details**

Neuroscape Center  
675 Nelson Rising Lane  
San Francisco  
United States of America  
94152  
+1 4155027322  
joaquin.anguera@ucsf.edu

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Website**

<https://neuroscape.ucsf.edu>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/043mz5j54>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Research council

**Funder Name**

Neuroscape Alliance

**Results and Publications****Publication and dissemination plan**

Planned publication in a high-impact peer-reviewed journal

**Intention to publish date**

01/06/2022

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request (Joaquin.Anguera@ucsf.edu).

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>		14/05/2014	13/01/2022	No	Yes
<a href="#">Protocol (preprint)</a>		17/12/2021	13/01/2022	No	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		30/08/2022	13/12/2022	Yes	No