Single port/incision laparoscopic surgery compared with standard 3 port laparoscopic surgery for appendicectomy

Submission date 21/01/2011	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered[X] Protocol
Registration date 10/03/2011	Overall study status Completed	 [] Statistical analysis plan [X] Results
Last Edited 26/10/2018	Condition category Circulatory System	Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Study website https://w3.abdn.ac.uk/hsru/scarless/

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr Christiane Pflanz-Sinclair

Contact details

Centre for Healthcare Randomised Trials (CHaRT) Health Services Research Unit 3rd Floor, Health Sciences Building Polwarth Building Foresterhill Aberdeen United Kingdom AB25 2ZD

c.pflanzsinclair@abdn.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 9282

Study information

Scientific Title

Single port/incision laparoscopic surgery compared with standard 3 port laparoscopic surgery for appendicectomy: a randomised controlled trial

Acronym

SCARLESS

Study objectives

1. To compare the effectiveness of single port/incision laparoscopic appendicectomy with standard laparoscopic appendicectomy

2. To assess the feasibility of a randomised trial comparing single port laparoscopic to standard laparoscopic surgery for other surgical techniques

Objectives:

The primary objective is to compare effectiveness in terms of patient reported outcomes, clinical outcomes, resource use.

The secondary objective is to assess feasibility by quantifying patient eligibility and acceptability, feasibility of blinding participants to the intervention received, surgeon perception of interventions.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Multicentre Research Ethics Committee (MREC) approved on the 8th December 2010 (ref: 10 /SO802/77)

Study design Randomised interventional treatment based single centre pilot/feasibility trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s) Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Can be found at https://w3.abdn.ac.uk/hsru/scarless/Secure/Download/DownloadPage.aspx

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Oral and Gastrointestinal; Subtopic: Oral and Gastrointestinal (all Subtopics); Disease: Gastrointestinal

Interventions

Interventions:

Participants will be randomised to either single port/incision laparoscopic surgery (SPILS) or standard 3 port laparoscopic surgery.

Single port/incision laparoscopic surgery (SPILS): single intra-umbilical incision for appendicectomy. A multi channel port or three conventional trocars will be inserted. Conventional laparoscopic instruments will be used but roticulating/curved instruments will be also available for the procedure (if required).

Standard 3 port laparoscopic surgery: Three surgical incisions for appendicectomy (intra /supraumbilical incision to create a pneumoperitoneum and further two incisions located in the left iliac fossa and hypogastrium). Standard laparoscopic instruments will be used for the procedure as per existing hospital protocol.

Patients will be followed-up post-operatively using a 1 to 7 days diary (to be completed while in hospital and home (if patient has been discharged). Postal questionnaires will be completed by participants at 6 weeks after surgery.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

- 1. Pain Numerical Rating Scale at days 1 to 7 post surgery
- 2. Body Image Questionnaire at 6 weeks

Secondary outcome measures

1. Patient reported measures:

Hospital Experience Questionnaire (HEQ) measured at 6 weeks; analgesic usage peri-operatively, Days 1-7 (diary) and at 6 weeks and time to return to normal activities at 6 weeks after surgery. 2. Clinical outcomes:

Analgesic use; duration of operation (minutes) and complication rates; conversion rates peri-operatively; infection rates (intra-abdominal and wound) peri-operatively and at 6 weeks; hospital re-admission rates at 6 weeks after surgery; reoperation rates and port-site hernia at 6 weeks after surgery.

3. Feasibility measures:

Eligible patients per month; proportion formally considered for trial entry; proportion

randomised (and reasons why not); proportion who are unaware of their received intervention at 24 hours; proportion of those recruited with complete data set at 6 weeks; surgeons perception of SPILS approach and the suitability of available equipment peri-operatively.

Overall study start date

01/01/2011

Completion date

31/12/2011

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients aged 16 years and over, either sex

2. Presenting at Aberdeen Royal Infirmary (ARI)

3. Diagnosed with acute appendicitis and for whom laparoscopic surgical management is judged appropriate

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group Adult

Lower age limit 16 Years

Sex Both

Both

Target number of participants Planned sample size: 80; UK sample size: 80

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients who have had previous open abdominal surgery through midline incision

2. Patients who have had previous umbilical hernia repair with mesh

3. Patients unable to consent

Date of first enrolment 01/01/2011

Date of final enrolment 31/12/2011

Locations

Countries of recruitment Scotland

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Centre for Healthcare Randomised Trials (CHaRT) Aberdeen United Kingdom AB25 2ZD

Sponsor information

Organisation University of Aberdeen (UK)

Sponsor details Research and Innovation University Office King's College Aberdeen Scotland United Kingdom AB24 3FX

Sponsor type University/education

Website http://www.abdn.ac.uk/

ROR https://ror.org/016476m91

Funder(s)

Funder type Government

Funder Name Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorate

Alternative Name(s) SGHSC

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Local government

Location United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article	protocol	30/10/2012		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/01/2015		Yes	No