A comparison of nebulized 3% hypertonic saline and epinephrine versus nebulized normal saline and epinephrine in the treatment of acute bronchiolitis

Submission date 01/09/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	 Prospectively registered Protocol
Registration date 13/09/2005	Overall study status Completed	 [] Statistical analysis plan [X] Results
Last Edited 16/11/2009	Condition category Respiratory	 Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name Dr Simran Grewal

Contact details

2nd Floor, Rm 7217B Aberhart Centre 1 Edmonton, AB Canada T6G 2J3

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

BREATH

Study objectives

Bronchiolitis which is the most common lower respiratory tract infection under the age of one. Symptoms can range from mild to severe and include fever, rhinorrhea, cough, and dyspnea.

Compared to nebulized racemic epinephrine in normal saline, patients with acute bronchiolitis in the emergency department treated with nebulized racemic epinephrine in 3% hypertonic saline will have a statistically significant improvement in their Respiratory Assessment Change Score (RACS).

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s) Not provided at time of registration

Study design Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s) Hospital

Study type(s) Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied Bronchiolitis

Interventions

Patients who entered the study were randomized to receive either nebulized epinephrine in hypertonic saline or nebulized epinephrine in normal saline. Each patient had his or her oxygen saturation level, heart rate, respiratory rate and Respiratory Distress Assessment Instrument (RDAI) score measured at baseline and then again at 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes. The nurses, physicians and study team personnel remained blinded throughout the study. There were no invasive procedures involved.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Nebulized racemic epinephrine in normal saline and nebulized racemic epinephrine in 3% hypertonic saline

Primary outcome measure

The Respiratory Assessment Change Score (RACS) was the primary outcome variable of the study. This score is a clinical scoring system based on the Respiratory Distress Assessment Index (RDAI) and the respiratory rate.

Secondary outcome measures

Oxygen saturation and rate of admission to hospital.

Overall study start date 14/02/2004

Completion date 14/03/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Infants 6 weeks to 12 months of age with clinical symptoms of a viral respiratory infection (coryza or temperature ≥ 38.0°C), first episode of wheezing, oxygen saturation ≥ 85% but ≤ 96%, and initial Respiratory Distress Assessment Instrument (RDAI) score ≥ 4.

Participant type(s) Patient

Age group Child

Lower age limit 6 Weeks

Upper age limit 12 Months

Sex Not Specified

Target number of participants 46

Key exclusion criteria

Pre-existing cardiac or pulmonary disease, previous diagnosis of asthma by a physician, any previous use of bronchodilators, severe disease requiring resuscitation room care, inability to administer medication by nebulizer, inability to obtain informed consent secondary to a language barrier, or no phone access for follow-up.

Date of first enrolment 14/02/2004

Date of final enrolment 14/03/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment Canada

Study participating centre 2nd Floor, Rm 7217B Edmonton, AB Canada T6G 2J3

Sponsor information

Organisation University of Alberta, Department of Pediatrics (Canada)

Sponsor details 8213 Aberhart Centre 1 11402 University Avenue Edmonton, AB Canada T6G 2J3

Sponsor type University/education

ROR https://ror.org/0160cpw27

Funder(s)

Funder type University/education

Funder Name Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta (Canada)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/11/2009		Yes	No