A comparison of nebulized 3% hypertonic saline and epinephrine versus nebulized normal saline and epinephrine in the treatment of acute bronchiolitis

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
01/09/2005		☐ Protocol		
Registration date 13/09/2005	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
Last Edited 16/11/2009	Condition category Respiratory	[] Individual participant data		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Simran Grewal

Contact details

2nd Floor, Rm 7217B Aberhart Centre 1 Edmonton, AB Canada T6G 2J3

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

BREATH

Study objectives

Bronchiolitis which is the most common lower respiratory tract infection under the age of one. Symptoms can range from mild to severe and include fever, rhinorrhea, cough, and dyspnea.

Compared to nebulized racemic epinephrine in normal saline, patients with acute bronchiolitis in the emergency department treated with nebulized racemic epinephrine in 3% hypertonic saline will have a statistically significant improvement in their Respiratory Assessment Change Score (RACS).

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Bronchiolitis

Interventions

Patients who entered the study were randomized to receive either nebulized epinephrine in hypertonic saline or nebulized epinephrine in normal saline. Each patient had his or her oxygen saturation level, heart rate, respiratory rate and Respiratory Distress Assessment Instrument (RDAI) score measured at baseline and then again at 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes. The nurses, physicians and study team personnel remained blinded throughout the study. There were no invasive procedures involved.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Nebulized racemic epinephrine in normal saline and nebulized racemic epinephrine in 3% hypertonic saline

Primary outcome(s)

The Respiratory Assessment Change Score (RACS) was the primary outcome variable of the study. This score is a clinical scoring system based on the Respiratory Distress Assessment Index (RDAI) and the respiratory rate.

Key secondary outcome(s))

Oxygen saturation and rate of admission to hospital.

Completion date

14/03/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Infants 6 weeks to 12 months of age with clinical symptoms of a viral respiratory infection (coryza or temperature \geq 38.0°C), first episode of wheezing, oxygen saturation \geq 85% but \leq 96%, and initial Respiratory Distress Assessment Instrument (RDAI) score \geq 4.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Child

Lower age limit

6 weeks

Upper age limit

12 months

Sex

Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria

Pre-existing cardiac or pulmonary disease, previous diagnosis of asthma by a physician, any previous use of bronchodilators, severe disease requiring resuscitation room care, inability to administer medication by nebulizer, inability to obtain informed consent secondary to a language barrier, or no phone access for follow-up.

Date of first enrolment

14/02/2004

Date of final enrolment

14/03/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Canada

Study participating centre 2nd Floor, Rm 7217B Edmonton, AB Canada T6G 2J3

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Alberta, Department of Pediatrics (Canada)

ROR

https://ror.org/0160cpw27

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta (Canada)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/11/2009		Yes	No