An open randomised study to assess the efficacy of gatifloxacin versus azithromycin for the treatment of uncomplicated typhoid fever

Submission date	Recruitment status	[X] Prospective	
22/07/2005	No longer recruiting	[] Protocol	
Registration date	Overall study status	[] Statistical ar	
22/07/2005	Completed	[X] Results	
Last Edited	Condition category	[_] Individual pa	
11/03/2013	Infections and Infestations		

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s) Scientific

Contact name Dr Jeremy Farrar

Contact details

Hospital for Tropical Diseases The Hospital for Tropical Diseases **Oxford University Clinical Research** 190 Ben Ham Tu Ho Chi Minh Citv Viet Nam 5 +84 88362225 jfarrar@oucru.org

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

ely registered

nalysis plan

articipant data

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

BJ Study

Study objectives

There has been a dramatic change in Viet Nam in the sensitivity of Salmonella typhi (the bacteria that causes typhoid fever) to all antibiotics. In the Mekong Delta more than 95% of isolates are resistant to all first line antibiotics (defined as multidrug resistant) and show significantly poorer clinical response to the older fluoroquinolones. There is the very real risk that typhoid fever in Viet Nam will soon become effectively untreatable. There is an urgent need to develop treatments that combine speed of clinical response, reduction in secondary transmission and protection from the development of resistance. From uncontrolled clinical data from a small number of patients treated at Dong Thap Hospital in Viet Nam and from in vitro sensitivity data gatifloxacin maybe a suitable new treatment for multidrug resistant Typhoid Fever.

Ethics approval required Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s) Not provided at time of registration

Study design Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s) Not specified

Study type(s) Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied Typhoid fever

Interventions

An open randomised two-way comparison of gatifloxacin (10 mg/kg/day) versus azithromycin (20 mg/kg/day) for seven days for the treatment of symptomatic uncomplicated typhoid fever.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s) Gatifloxacin and azithromycin

Primary outcome measure Fever Clearance Time.

Secondary outcome measures Clinical and Microbiological Failure.

Overall study start date 01/10/2005

Completion date

30/09/2006

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients will be eligible if they have suspected or culture proven enteric fever provided:

1. They give fully informed consent

2. They are not obtunded not jaundiced

3. There are no signs of gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding or any other evidence of severity (see below)

4. No history of hypersensitivity to either of the trial drugs

5. No treatment with a quinolone or 3rd generation cephalosporin or macrolide within one week of hospital admission

6. They are not pregnant

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group Not Specified

Sex Not Specified

Target number of participants To be added

Key exclusion criteria

Pregnancy or lactation
Any signs of symptoms of severe disease
No informed consent

Date of first enrolment 01/10/2005

Date of final enrolment 30/09/2006

Locations

Countries of recruitment Viet Nam

Study participating centre Hospital for Tropical Diseases Ho Chi Minh City Viet Nam 5

Sponsor information

Organisation University of Oxford (UK)

Sponsor details University Offices Wellington Square Oxford England

United Kingdom OX1 2JD

Sponsor type University/education

Website http://www.ox.ac.uk

ROR https://ror.org/052gg0110

Funder(s)

Funder type Charity

Funder Name The Wellcome Trust (UK) (grant ref: 061330)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Results article</u>	Results	21/05/2008		Yes	No